SENATE

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Report} \\ 110\text{--}428 \end{array}$

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND VETERANS AFFAIRS AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATION BILL, 2009

July 22, 2008.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. Johnson, from the Committee on Appropriations, submitted the following

REPORT

[To accompany S. 3301]

The Committee on Appropriations reports the bill (S. 3301) making appropriations for military construction, the Department of Veterans Affairs, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2009, and for other purposes, reports favorably thereon and recommends that the bill do pass.

Amounts in new budget authority

Total of bill as reported to the Senate	\$119,742,925,000
Amount of 2008 appropriations ¹	108,391,250,000
Amount of 2009 budget estimate	115,344,081,000
Bill as recommended to Senate compared to—	, , ,
Amount of 2008 appropriations 1	+11,351,675,000
Amount of 2009 budget estimate	+4,398,844,000

 $^{^1\}mathrm{Excludes}$ \$4,761,684,000 in emergency supplemental funding provided in Public Law 110–252.

CONTENTS

	Page
Background:	1 age
Purpose of the Bill	4
Comparative Statement	4
Committee Recommendation	4
Overview and Summary of the Bill	4
Military Construction: Investing in Infrastructure for our Troops and	
Their Families	5
Their Families	
Åmerica's Veterans	6
Title I:	
Military Construction:	
Items of Special Interest:	
HearingsSummary of Committee Recommendations	8
Summary of Committee Recommendations	8
Reprogramming Guidelines	8
Real Property Maintenance	9
Global Defense Posture	9
Military Construction, Army	12
Military Construction, Navy and Marine Corps	13
Military Construction, Air Force	14
Military Construction, Defense-Wide	15
Military Construction, Reserve Components	17
North Atlantic Treaty Organization	19
Family Housing Overview	20
Family Housing Construction, Army	$\frac{20}{20}$
Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Army	$\frac{20}{21}$
Family Housing Construction, Navy and Marine Corps	21
Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Navy and Marine	21
Corps	23
Family Housing Construction, Air Force	$\frac{23}{23}$
Family Housing Constitution, All PorceFamily Housing Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	$\frac{23}{24}$
Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, 111 Force	$\frac{24}{25}$
Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide	$\frac{25}{25}$
Homeowners Assistance Fund	$\frac{25}{25}$
Chemical Demilitarization Construction, Defense-Wide	26
Base Closure Account 1990	26
Base Closure Account 2005	$\frac{20}{27}$
Administrative Provisions	36
Title II:	90
Department of Veterans Affairs	38
	30
Items of Special Interest:	0.0
Hearings	38
Summary of Committee Recommendation	38
Department Overview	38
Veterans Benefits Administration	41
Compensation and Pensions	42
Readjustment Benefits	43
Veterans Insurance and Indemnities	43
Veterans Housing Benefit Program Fund	44
Vocational Rehabilitation Loans Program Account	44
Native American Veteran Housing Loan Program Account	45
Veterans Health Administration:	
Medical Services	48
Medical Facilities	54

	Page
Title II—Continued	
Department of Veterans Affairs—Continued	
Veterans Health Administration—Continued	
Medical and Prosthetic Research	56
Medical Care Cost Recovery Collections	58
Medical Care Collection Fund—Revenues Applied	58
National Cemetery Administration	59
Departmental Administration	60
General Operating Expenses	60
Office of the Inspector General	61
Construction, Major Projects	62
Construction, Minor Projects	64
Grants for Construction of State Extended Care Facilities	65
Grants for Construction of State Veterans Cemeteries	66
Information Technology Systems	67
Administrative Provisions	70
Title III:	
Related Agencies:	
American Battle Monuments Commission:	
Salaries and Expenses	73
Foreign Currency Fluctuations	73
U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims: Salaries and Expenses	74
Department of Defense—Civil: Cemeterial Expenses, Army: Salaries	
and Expenses	75
Armed Forces Retirement Home	75
Title IV: General Provisions	76
Compliance With Paragraph 7, Rule XVI, of the Standing Rules of the Sen-	
ate	77
Compliance With Paragraph 7(c), Rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate	78
Compliance With Paragraph 12, Rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the	10
Senate	78
Budgetary Impact of Bill	80
Military Construction Project Listing by Location	81
Disclosure of Congressionally Directed Spending Items	103
Disclosure of congressionary Directed openants round	100

BACKGROUND

PURPOSE OF THE BILL

The Military Construction, Veterans Affairs and Related Agencies appropriations bill provides necessary funding for the planning, design, construction, alteration, and improvement of military facilities worldwide, for both active and reserve forces. It also finances the cost of military family housing and the U.S. share of the NATO Security Investment Program. In addition, the bill provides funding to implement base closures and realignments authorized by law. The bill provides resources to the Department of Veterans Affairs for veterans benefits and health care. The bill also provides funding for U.S. cemeteries and battlefield monuments both in the United States and abroad; U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims; and Armed Forces Retirement Homes.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

The Committee recommends appropriations totaling \$119,817,525,000 and rescissions totaling \$74,600,000 for fiscal year 2009 military construction, family housing, base closure, veterans health care and benefits, as well as related agencies. The table at the end of the report displays the Committee recommendation in comparison with the current fiscal year, and the President's fiscal year 2009 request.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends new fiscal year 2009 appropriations of \$119,742,925,000, including rescissions. This is \$11,351,675,000 over the fiscal year 2008 enacted level, excluding supplemental funding, and \$4,398,844,000 over the budget request. The basis for this recommendation is contained in the following "Overview and summary of the bill," and under the discussions pertaining to each individual appropriation. Complete project detail is provided in the tables at the end of the report.

OVERVIEW AND SUMMARY OF THE BILL

The Military Construction, Veterans Affairs and Related Agencies appropriations bill funds programs vital to the needs of America's military personnel and their families, and to the Nation's veterans. These programs—ranging from building housing and barracks for troops and their families, to offering cutting-edge health care to veterans, to providing for the upkeep and preservation of veterans cemeteries at home and overseas—touch the lives of millions of Americans who are currently serving their country in the Armed Forces, or who have served with honor in the past.

The President's budget request for fiscal year 2009, totaling \$115,344,081,000 for both mandatory and discretionary funding, is a record request, as is the Committee's recommendation for appropriations. The levels of funding contained in this bill reflect the realities of a Nation at war that is simultaneously realigning and growing its military forces, and of a veterans health care system that is straining under the weight of increased demand for services, new types of combat injuries, such as Traumatic Brain Injury, and an aging and longer living veteran population.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION: INVESTING IN INFRASTRUCTURE FOR OUR TROOPS AND THEIR FAMILIES

America's military troops and their families, as well as the civilian personnel who support them, are in the midst of an enormous upheaval involving both new stationing configurations and new and expanded mission requirements. Tens of thousands of military forces are on the move, returning to the United States from installations abroad as a result of the Defense Department's global defense transformation. At the same time, scores of other military troops and civilian personnel are being uprooted and moved as a result of the base closures and realignments mandated under the 2005 Base Realignment and Closure [BRAC] round. The result of these initiatives, along with the Army and Marine Corps "Grow the Force" program to increase the end strength of those services by 92,000 new troops, is a massive building surge by the Department of Defense.

The fact that these moves are taking place in the midst of the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan—which requires that combat deployments be coordinated closely with stationing realignments—has put great pressure on the Defense Department to pursue an aggressive construction schedule and to ensure that it remains on track. The Committee continues to be mindful of the complexity of the task confronting the Defense Department, and expects the Department to provide rigid management and oversight of the process, and to report to and consult with Congress on the progress of

construction associated with the various initiatives.

At \$24,400,239,000 the military construction budget request for fiscal year 2009 is a watershed for the Department. It should be

fiscal year 2009 is a watershed for the Department. It should be noted, however, that the majority of the recent growth in the military construction budget is due to increased funding for BRAC 2005, including \$9,065,386,000 for fiscal year 2009; Grow the Force projects, which total \$4,855,054,000; and global realignment, which encompasses more than a billion dollars of overseas construction projects. By contrast, the budget request for military construction supporting the services' traditional mission requirements has remained relatively static over the past several years. This is of concern to the Committee because of the potential that aging or outdated facilities for mission requirements that are not changing or for installations that are not transforming may get short shrift in the budget request.

For example, the Committee notes that the budget request for the Air National Guard—which is among the services that provide the Nation's front line of homeland defense—is down 59.8 percent from the fiscal year 2008 budget request, and down 88 percent from the fiscal year 2008 enacted level. The Committee believes that this is an unacceptable level of risk in the Air Guard construction program and urges the Department to ensure that all of the services, particularly the Guard and reserve components, receive adequate military construction funding to sustain and carry out their missions.

Because of the uneven emphasis among the services in funding regular military construction requirements as opposed to funding construction requirements resulting from global posture moves, BRAC 2005, and the Grow the Force initiative, the Committee has continued its practice of providing additional funding, where indicated, to supplement the President's budget request, with particular attention to mission essential and quality of life facilities. Because the military construction accounts are project based, with funding earmarked by the President for specific projects, the additional funding provided by the Committee is also project specific. All major construction projects funded through the military construction appropriations accounts are included in the President's budget request or the services' Future Years Defense Programs [FYDPs] and are consistent with the criteria for additional funding for military construction projects in section 2856 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103– 337).

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS: KEEPING THE NATION'S COMMITMENT TO AMERICA'S VETERANS

The Committee remains dedicated to ensuring the Department of Veterans Affairs [VA] has the resources it needs to provide timely and high quality services to the Nation's veterans. With the ongoing wars in Iraq and Afghanistan, the Department must be adequately positioned to assist veterans with combat-related injuries, while maintaining high quality services for veterans of previous eras. The VA also faces other challenges, including aging medical infrastructure; developing next generation information technology that will be essential to future health care delivery and timely claims processing; and outreaching to the new demographics of veterans.

This act provides funds to the Department of Veterans Affairs to improve the system of health care that will meet our veterans' needs now and in the future. The act funds initiatives that provide greater access to care for veterans living in rural areas of the country and Native populations by funding new clinics; providing greater emphasis on telemedicine; increasing the reimbursement for beneficiary travel to medical appointments; encouraging greater collaboration with other Federal entities and community-based groups; and significantly increasing the amount of funds available for the construction of new facilities, as well as improving the condition of current hospitals and clinics. The bill also addresses the growing needs of women veterans. With more women choosing the military as a career, the VA must evolve and be prepared to provide greater services for women. Additionally, the bill provides increased funding to allow the VA to conduct greater levels of research in areas where our veterans are experiencing medical issues

at a rate greater than the national average, particularly physical and mental wounds related to combat.

While the challenges that face the VA are immense, the Committee is committed to providing the resources necessary to enable the Department to provide the highest-possible services to America's veterans.

TITLE I

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

HEARINGS

The Subcommittee on Military Construction and Veterans Affairs and Related Agencies held two hearings related to the fiscal year 2009 Military Construction budget request. On April 24, 2008, the subcommittee heard testimony from representatives of the Department of Defense [DOD] and the United States Navy concerning fiscal year 2009 budget priorities, the fiscal year 2008 global war on terror emergency supplemental request, and base realignment and closure [BRAC]. On May 8, 2008, the subcommittee held a hearing on the budget requests of the United States Army and the United States Air Force concerning fiscal year 2009 construction priorities, BRAC, and the President's "Grow the Force" initiative to provide infrastructure to accommodate planned increases in the size of the Army.

SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

The budget request for fiscal year 2009 reflects an increase of \$3,770,202,000 above the amount enacted in fiscal year 2008, excluding supplemental funds.

The Committee recommends \$24,744,390,000 including rescissions. This is \$344,151,000 above the budget request.

REPROGRAMMING GUIDELINES

The following reprogramming guidelines apply for all military construction and family housing projects. A project or account (including the sub-elements of an account) which has been specifically reduced by the Congress in acting on the budget request is considered to be a congressional interest item and as such, prior approval is required. Accordingly, no reprogrammings to an item specifically reduced below the threshold by the Congress are permitted.

reduced below the threshold by the Congress are permitted.

The reprogramming criteria that apply to military construction projects (25 percent of the funded amount or \$2,000,000, whichever is less) continue to apply to new housing construction projects and to improvements over \$2,000,000. To provide the services the flexibility to proceed with construction contracts without disruption or delay, the costs associated with environmental hazard remediation such as asbestos removal, radon abatement, lead-based paint removal or abatement, and any other legislated environmental hazard remediation may be excluded, provided that such remediation requirements could not be reasonably anticipated at the time of the budget submission. This exclusion applies to projects authorized in

this budget year, as well as projects authorized in prior years for which construction has not been completed.

Furthermore, in instances where prior approval to a reprogramming request for a project or account has been received from the Committee, the adjusted amount approved becomes the new base for any future increase or decrease via below-threshold reprogrammings (provided that the project or account is not a congressional interest item as defined above).

In addition to these guidelines, the services are directed to adhere to the guidance for military construction reprogrammings and notifications, including the pertinent statutory authorities contained in DOD Financial Management Regulation 7000.14–R and relevant updates and policy memoranda.

REAL PROPERTY MAINTENANCE

The Committee recommends a continuation of the following general rules for repairing a facility under "Operation and Maintenance" account funding:

—Components of the facility may be repaired by replacement, and such replacement may be up to current standards or code.

—Interior arrangements and restorations may be included as repair, but additions, new facilities, and functional conversions must be performed as military construction projects.

—Such projects may be done concurrent with repair projects, as long as the final conjunctively funded project is a complete and usable facility.

—The appropriate Service Secretary shall submit a 21-day notification prior to carrying out any repair project with an estimated cost in excess of \$7,500,000.

The Department is directed to continue to report on the real property maintenance backlog at all installations for which there is a requested construction project in future budget requests. This information is to be provided on the Form 1390. In addition, for all troop housing requests, the Form 1391 is to continue to show all real property maintenance conducted in the past 2 years and all future requirements for unaccompanied housing at that installation.

GLOBAL DEFENSE POSTURE

The Committee continues to monitor the Defense Department's far-reaching realignment of U.S. forces and installations overseas, an effort which encompasses personnel and bases in the United States as well as throughout the European, Pacific, Central, and Africa Commands. Approximately 70,000 military personnel and 100,000 dependents are eventually slated to return to the United States as a result of the closure and realignment of U.S. military installations overseas. However, these global realignments come with a significant price tag for military construction both inside the United States and overseas. The President's fiscal year 2009 budget request includes \$1,144,087,000 designated for specific overseas locations, much of which is directly related to the global posture realignment. These overseas construction costs are associated with such initiatives as the realignment of U.S. forces from Okinawa to Guam, the consolidation of U.S. military bases in Germany and Italy, the expansion of U.S. military training facilities in Eastern

Europe, and the growing footprint of the U.S. military in Southwest Asia and Africa.

Beginning in fiscal year 2004, the Committee has required the Defense Department to prepare annual master plans for its installations overseas to ensure that the infrastructure plans are regularly reassessed, and revised if necessary, to reflect any shift in requirements due to changes in the global security environment.

Because of continued concern over the possibility of changes to the global defense posture, the Committee extends the requirement for the Defense Department to provide annually updated reports on the status of the global basing initiative to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress. These reports are to be submitted with the administration's budget submissions each year through fiscal year 2014 and should include, at a minimum, the following elements: an overview of the current overseas basing strategy and an explanation of any changes to the strategy; the status of host nation negotiations; the cost to date of implementing the military construction elements of the strategy; an updated estimate of the cost to complete the construction program; and an updated timeline for implementing the strategy. These reports shall be submitted in unclassified and, if necessary, classified form. The timely filing of these reports is essential to the ability of the Committee to exercise its oversight responsibilities, and it is therefore important that the Department adhere to the schedule and provide these reports at the same time as the annual budget submissions.

AFRICOM.—In the report accompanying the Military Construction, Veterans Affairs and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2008, the Committee expressed grave concerns that the Department of Defense was attempting to stand up a new Unified Command, AFRICOM, before many critical issues were thoroughly examined, not the least of which was the future presence of U.S. military forces on the African continent. Specifically, the Committee questioned whether the presence of a U.S. military command in Africa would conflict with sensitive U.S. diplomatic and host-nation developmental objectives. Because of the uncertainty over where AFRICOM would be eventually headquartered, what its infrastructure requirements would involve, and how the host nations on the African continent would react to the presence of the command, the Committee recommended that the Department restrict its military construction request for AFRICOM to expeditionary facilities intended to support immediate operational requirements until the new command was fully established and a more coordinated plan could be developed.

The Defense Department has since announced that the Africa Command will become fully operational on October 1, 2008. However, the operational architecture of the command, including where its headquarters will be located and how it will implement its dual military-civilian mission, remains to be determined. The Committee remains concerned that the Department rushed the decision to stand up a new and uniquely structured Unified Command without proper coordination with the Department of State and our African partners. Therefore, the Committee continues to recommend that all but basic expeditionary infrastructure requirements for AFRICOM be deferred until such time that a coordinated master

plan is approved by the Secretary of Defense that outlines the specific responsibilities of the command, its operational doctrine and supporting policies, as well as the required infrastructure needs, specified by project and location. In the interim, it is the understanding of the Committee that the infrastructure needs of the current AFRICOM headquarters in Stuttgart, Germany, can be met with existing facilities, and that no permanent construction

projects are required.

Guam Military Buildup.—The Committee remains concerned about the overall cost, timing, and execution of the Defense Department's proposed \$13,000,000,000 military buildup on Guam. The proposal, which is to be jointly financed with the Government of Japan, is centered on the relocation of approximately 8,000 marines and 9,000 dependents from Okinawa to Guam, with a goal of completing the move by 2014. In addition to the Marine buildup, U.S. Army, Navy, and Air Force personnel, capabilities, and facilities on Guam are also being increased. According to the Government Accountability Office [GAO], the result of all these activities will be an increase of approximately 176 percent in the number of military personnel and dependents on Guam, escalating from the current level of 14,000 to approximately 39,000. This does not factor in the number of civilian personnel and contractors who will be required to support the military buildup on Guam.

GAO recently reported that many key decisions associated with the proposed military expansion on Guam have yet to be made, including the ultimate makeup of the units to be stationed there and the extent of the military construction required to support such a massive buildup. GAO also reported that DOD may intend to seek funding for military construction projects associated with the buildup before a final environmental impact statement is issued or the full extent of funding and facility requirements is determined.

full extent of funding and facility requirements is determined. In testimony delivered to the Senate Committee on Energy and Natural Resources on May 1, 2008, GAO made the following observation: "DOD and the Government of Guam face several significant challenges associated with the military buildup, including addressing funding and operational challenges and community and infrastructure impacts, which could affect the development and implementation of their planning efforts. First, DOD has not identified all funding requirements and may encounter difficulties in obtaining funding given competing priorities within the Department. Second, DOD officials need to address the operational and training limitations on Guam, such as for sea and airlift capabilities, and training requirements for thousands of marines. Third, the increase in military personnel and their dependents on Guam and the large number of construction workers needed to build military facilities will create challenges for Guam's community and civilian infrastructure."

In an effort to clarify some of the uncertainty related to DOD's development plans for Guam, the Secretary of Defense is directed to provide the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress with a list of individual construction projects, by fiscal year, needed to complete the military infrastructure buildup on Guam. The project list should be provided with the President's fis-

cal year 2010 budget submission and updated each year thereafter through completion of the U.S. military buildup on Guam.

Timeliness of Reports.—The Committee has previously expressed concern at the Department's frequent failure to comply with deadlines for submitting mandated reports. Again in 2008, a number of reports related to major Department initiatives were not delivered on time, if at all, nor was notice received from the Department as to why the reports were late or when they would be submitted. Many of these reports are planning documents, intended to demonstrate that the Department is adequately coordinating its many ongoing initiatives, such as the Global Defense Posture moves, the Grow the Force initiative, and base realignment and closure. The failure to submit these reports contributes to the Committee's concern that the Department may not have developed a thorough blueprint for some of these programs prior to execution, nor adequately considered all of the infrastructure ramifications of carrying out significant force reorganizations while conducting two wars. Reports that have been mandated by the Committee are necessary to ensure proper congressional oversight and to inform congressional decisions related to the Department's budget requests; the failure to submit them in a timely manner is unacceptable. The Secretary of Defense and the service secretaries are therefore directed to submit all reports that are currently overdue no later than 30 days after the enactment of this act, and to assiduously comply with future reporting deadlines. In the event that a deadline is not met, the Department shall submit a written explanation for the failure to deliver the report, and an expected date of delivery, no later than 7 days after the deadline.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY

(INCLUDING RESCISSIONS OF FUNDS)

Appropriations, 2008 (including rescissions) 1	\$3,927,893,000
Budget estimate, 2009	4,615,920,000
Committee recommendation (including rescissions)	4.496.441.000

 $^{^1\,\}mathrm{Excludes}$ \$1,108,200,000 in emergency supplemental funding in Public Law 110–252.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The military construction appropriation for the Army provides for acquisition, construction, installation, and equipment of temporary or permanent public works, military installations, facilities, and real property for the Army. This appropriation also provides for facilities required as well as funds for infrastructure projects and programs required to support bases and installations around the world.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$4,496,441,000, including rescissions, for the Army for fiscal year 2009. This amount is \$568,548,000 above the fiscal year 2008 enacted level, excluding emergency supplemental funding, and \$119,479,000 below the budget request. Further detail of the Committee's recommendation is provided in the State table at the end of this report.

The Committee recommends rescissions from funds previously appropriated to this account due to bid savings and cancellations as follows:

ARMY RESCISSIONS [In thousands of dollars]

Public Law	Location	Project title	Committee recommendation
Public Law 110-5 (Fiscal Year 2007)	Georgia: Fort Stewart	Combined Arms Collective Training Facility.	- 5,000
	Kansas: Fort Leavenworth	Battle Seminar Facility	-3,600
	Maryland: Fort Meade	Administrative Facility	-5,200
	North Carolina: Fort Bragg.	Digital Multipurpose Range Complex.	-20,000
	Italy: Vicenza	Brigade Complex	- 5,300
	Italy: Vicenza	Barracks Complex (PN 61847).	-4,980
	Italy: Vicenza	Barracks Complex (PN 62438).	- 4,440
Subtotal, Public Law 110-5			- 48,520
Public Law 110-161 (Fiscal Year 2008)	Nevada: Hawthorne	Ground Water Treatment	-3,500
	New York: Fort Drum	Brigade Complex-Company Operations.	-6,600
	Texas: Fort Bliss	Medical and Dental Facil- ity.	- 6,500
Subtotal, Public Law 110-161			- 16,600
Total			- 65,120

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following projects to be awarded as early in fiscal year 2009 as practical:

Installation Chapel Center, Fort Campbell, Kentucky.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$630,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Soldier Readiness Processing Center, Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$648,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2008 (including rescissions) 1	\$2,187,837,000
Budget estimate, 2009	3,096,399,000
Committee recommendation	3,159,191,000

¹ Excludes \$355,907,000 in emergency supplemental funding in Public Law 110–252.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The military construction appropriation for the Navy and Marine Corps provides for acquisition, construction, installation, and equipment of temporary or permanent public works, naval installations, facilities, and real property for the Navy and the Marine Corps. This appropriation also provides for facilities required as well as funds for infrastructure projects and programs required to support bases and installations around the world.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$3,159,191,000 for Navy and Marine Corps military construction for fiscal year 2009. This amount is \$971,354,000 above the fiscal year 2008 enacted level, excluding emergency supplemental funding, and \$62,792,000 above the budget request. Further detail of the Committee's recommendation is provided in the State table at the end of this report.

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following project to

be awarded as early in fiscal year 2009 as practical:

Submarine Payloads Integration Laboratory, Newport Naval Station, Rhode Island.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$750,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

(INCLUDING RESCISSIONS OF FUNDS)

Appropriations, 2008 (including rescissions) 1	\$1,149,277,000
Budget estimate, 2009	934,892,000
Committee recommendation (including rescissions)	1.050.614.000

 $^{^{1}\,\}mathrm{Excludes}$ \$399,627,000 in emergency supplemental funding in Public Law 110–252.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The military construction appropriation for the Air Force provides for acquisition, construction, installation, and equipment of temporary or permanent public works, military installations, facilities, and real property for the Air Force. This appropriation also provides for facilities required as well as funds for infrastructure projects and programs required to support bases and installations around the world.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$1,050,614,000, including rescissions, for the Air Force in fiscal year 2009. This amount is \$98,663,000 below the fiscal year 2008 enacted level, excluding emergency supplemental funding, and \$115,722,000 above the budget request. Further detail of the Committee's recommendation is provided in the State table at the end of this report.

The Committee recommends rescissions from funds previously

appropriated to this account due to bid savings as follows:

AIR FORCE RESCISSIONS

[In thousands of dollars]

Public Law	Location	Project title	Committee recommendation
Public Law 110–161 (Fiscal Year 2008)		Road Improvements Repair Airfield	-\$1,980 -6,100
Total			- 8,080

STRATCOM Headquarters.—The Committee understands that the U.S. Strategic Command [STRATCOM] headquarters facility, located at Offutt Air Force Base, Nebraska, is in a severe state of disrepair. Electrical service and cooling system failures, as well as

flooding and fires in the headquarters complex, have impacted STRATCOM's ability to carry out its mission. As the lead combatant command for integration and synchronization of the Department of Defense's efforts to combat weapons of mass destruction, STRATCOM is essential to our Nation's security and must have adequate, safe, and suitable facilities to carry out its mission. The Committee therefore has provided \$10,000,000 within the Air Force planning and design account specifically to initiate the planning and design of a new headquarters to replace the inadequate and failing facility at Offutt AFB. The Committee urges the Air Force to begin design work on this facility immediately, and to advance the project into the Future Years Defense Program.

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following projects

to be awarded as early in fiscal year 2009 as practical:

Logistics Readiness Center, Mountain Home Air Force Base, Idaho.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,800,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Security Forces Building, Lackland AFB, Texas.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$900,000 be made available for the design of this facil-

ity.

F-22A Consolidated Munitions Maintenance, Holloman AFB, New Mexico.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$495,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

able for the design of this facility.

Missile Service Complex, F.E. Warren Air Force Base, Wyoming.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$810,000 be made available for

the design of this facility.

Centralized Administrative Processing Center, Sheppard AFB, Texas.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,314,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Fire and Rescue Station, Randolph AFB, Texas.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$972,000 be made available for the design of this facil-

ity

Joint Intelligence Technical Training Facility, Goodfellow AFB, Texas.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,656,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2008 ¹	\$1,599,404,000
Budget estimate, 2009	1,783,998,000
Committee recommendation	1,688,270,000

¹ Excludes \$890,921,000 in emergency supplemental funding in Public Law 110–252.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The military construction appropriation for the Department of Defense provides for acquisition, construction, installation, and equipment of temporary or permanent public works, military installations, facilities, and real property Defense-Wide. This appropriation also provides for facilities required as well as funds for infrastructure projects and programs required to support bases and installations around the world.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$1,688,270,000 for projects considered within the "Defense-Wide" account. This amount is \$88,866,000 above the fiscal year 2008 enacted level, and \$95,728,000 below the budget request. Further detail of the Committee's recommendation is provided in the State table at the end of this report.

European Missile Defense.—The Committee has provided full funding as requested for the European Midcourse Radar Site to be located in the Czech Republic, and has provided the requested level of funding for site development for the European Interceptor Site planned for Poland. The Committee restricts the obligation of those funds until the parliaments of Poland and the Czech Republic have ratified any missile defense agreements with the United States re-

lated to the deployment of the system.

The Committee notes that the proposed European missile defense system is intended to be of mutual benefit to the United States and its NATO allies, but the Department has not sought NATO funding to pay for any portion of the construction costs. The Committee, therefore, directs the Department to file a precautionary prefinancing request for funding through the NATO Security Investment Program Fund [NSIP], and to report back to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress within 60 days of enactment of this act on the actions taken to obtain NATO cost sharing for the two projects. The Department is to include in that report an updated construction timetable and cost estimate, and a report on the status of concluding a memorandum of understanding between the Missile Defense Agency and the Corps of Engineers regarding executive oversight of construction for the projects.

CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION

The Committee has provided \$10,000,000 for the Secretary of Defense "Contingency Construction" account. This account provides funds which may be used by the Secretary of Defense for unforeseen facility requirements and military exercises, including those related to the global war on terror.

ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM

The Committee recommends \$160,000,000 for the Energy Conservation Investment Program [ECIP]. This amount is \$80,000,000 above the budget request. ECIP has proven to be one of the Department's most effective programs in promoting energy conservation and investment in renewable energy resources, including wind, solar, geothermal, waste-to-energy, and biomass, at U.S. military installations. The Committee strongly supports the Department's energy conservation objectives, but believes that a more aggressive and broad-based program, with an increased emphasis on applying renewable energy resources to enhance energy security at military

installations, is warranted. Heightened concerns over the vulnerability of the power grid in the United States have underscored the need for the Department to increase its reliance on independently generated renewable energy resources and to make its installations

as energy self-sufficient as possible.

The Committee understands that the military services are working to increase energy efficiency and renewable energy on at least 35 bases and clusters of installations. With sufficient funding, this initiative could produce earlier and more mission critical results. The Committee recommendation provides an additional \$80,000,000 over the President's budget request for ECIP to be used to assess the risk that power disruptions pose to military installations and critical mission activities, and to develop plans, programs, and/or demonstration projects that use renewable energy resources to mitigate risk to task critical assets and promote energy efficiency and independence at military installations.

The Committee recognizes that energy security for mission critical assets is a cross service concern, and therefore directs the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Joint Chiefs of Staff, to provide an expenditure plan for the additional ECIP funding to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress no later

than January 30, 2009.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, RESERVE COMPONENTS

(INCLUDING RESCISSION OF FUNDS)

Appropriations, 2008 (including rescissions)	\$1,062,046,000
Budget estimate, 2009	931,667,000
Committee recommendation (including rescission)	1,287,902,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The military construction appropriation for Reserve Components provides for acquisition, construction, expansion, rehabilitation, and conversion of facilities for the training and administration of the Reserve Components. This appropriation also provides for facilities required as well as funds for infrastructure projects and programs required to support bases and installations.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$1,287,902,000, including rescission, for military construction projects for the Guard and Reserve Components. This amount is \$225,856,000 above the fiscal year 2008 enacted level and \$356,235,000 above the budget request. Further detail of the Committee's recommendation is provided in the State table at the end of this report.

The Committee recommends approval of military construction, reserve components, as outlined in the following table:

RESERVE COMPONENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

Component	Budget request	Committee recommendation
Army National Guard (including rescission) Air National Guard	539,296 34,374	659,269 180,624

RESERVE COMPONENTS—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Component	Budget request	Committee recommendation
Army Reserve	281,687 57,045 19,265	357,387 61,045 29,915
Total	931,667	1,287,902,000

The Committee recommends the following rescission from funds previously appropriated to this account due to bid savings:

ARMY NATIONAL GUARD RESCISSION

[In thousands of dollars]

Public Law	Location	Project title	Committee recommendation
Public Law 110-161 (Fiscal Year 2008)	Arkansas: Camp Robinson.	Ammunition Supply Point.	-1,400
Total			-1,400

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following projects to be awarded, as early in fiscal year 2009 as practical:

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

Infantry Platoon Battle Course, Fort Chaffee, Arkansas.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$204,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Field Maintenance Shop, Las Vegas, Nevada.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$2,058,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Readiness Center, The Dalles, Oregon.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$682,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Readiness Center, Dallas Armory, Oregon.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,681,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Combat Vehicle Training Facility, Fort Indiantown Gap, Pennsylvania.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$620,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Paint Booth, Northern Nevada Military Department, Nevada.—Of the funds provided for unspecified minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,500,000 be made available for the construction of this facility.

Westminster Zero Range, Westminster Training Site, Vermont.—Of the funds provided for unspecified minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,789,000 be made available for the construction of this facility.

Hangar 1001 Improvement, Fairchild Air Force Base, Washington.—Of the funds provided for unspecified minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$766,000 be made available for the renovation of this facility.

Tri-State Armory Addition, Kenova, West Virginia.—Of the funds provided for unspecified minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$2,000,000 be made available for the con-

struction of this facility.

Readiness Center, York, Pennsylvania.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$880,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR NATIONAL GUARD

Combat Communications Training Complex, Springfield-Beckley Air National Guard Base, Ohio.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,100,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

C-5 Taxiway Upgrades, Martinsburg Āir Base, West Virginia.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$850,000 be made available for the design

of this facility.

Conventional Munitions Shop, Joe Foss Field, South Dakota.—Of the funds provided for unspecified minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,900,000 be made available for the construction of this facility.

Security Gate, Rickenbacker Airport ANG Base, Ohio.—Of the funds provided for unspecified minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,600,000 be made available for the

construction of this facility.

Combat Arms Training Simulator/Maintenance Facility, Hector Field, North Dakota.—Of the funds provided for unspecified minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,500,000 be made available for the construction of this facility.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE RESERVE

Joint Services Lodging Facility, Youngstown Air Reserve Station, Ohio.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$900,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION

SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM

Appropriations, 2008	\$201,400,000
Budget estimate, 2009	240,867,000
Committee recommendation	240,867,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The North Atlantic Treaty Organization [NATO] appropriation provides for the U.S. cost-share of the NATO Security Investment Program for the acquisition and construction of military facilities and installations (including international military headquarters) and for related expenses for the collective defense of the NATO Treaty Area.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$240,867,000 for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program [NSIP] for fiscal year 2009. This amount is \$39,467,000 above the fiscal year 2008 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

Family Housing Overview

The Committee recommends \$3,216,750,000 for family housing construction, operations and maintenance, and the Department's family housing improvement and homeowners assistance funds. This amount is \$330,467,000 above the amount appropriated in fiscal year 2008 and \$13,295,000 above the fiscal year 2009 budget request.

FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, ARMY

Appropriations, 2008 (including rescission)	\$419,841,000
Budget estimate, 2009	678,580,000
Committee recommendation	678,580,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The family housing appropriation for the Army provides for expenses of family housing for construction, including acquisition, replacement, addition, expansion, extension, and alteration. This appropriation provides for the financing of all costs for construction, improvements and leasing of all Army housing. In addition to quality of life enhancements, the program contains initiatives to reduce operating costs and conserve energy by upgrading or replacing facilities which can be made more efficient through relatively modest investments in improvements. The Department of Defense is authorized to use limited partnerships, make direct and guaranteed loans, and convey Department-owned property to stimulate the private sector to increase the availability of affordable, quality housing for the Army.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$678,580,000 for family housing construction, Army, including construction improvements, in fiscal year 2009. This amount is \$258,739,000 above the fiscal year 2008 enacted level, and equal to the budget request.

CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends \$258,579,000 for new construction, as shown below:

ARMY FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION

[In thousands of dollars]

Location	Installation	Project title	Budget request	Committee recommendation
Germany Germany Germany		Family Housing Replacement Construction	32,000 20,000 43,000 38,000 125,000	32,000 20,000 43,000 38,000 125,000

ARMY FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Location	Installation	Project title	Budget request	Committee recommendation
Worldwide	Various Locations	Planning & Design	579	579
Total			258,579	258,579

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following projects are to be accomplished within the amounts provided for construction improvements:

ARMY CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

Location	Installation	Project title	Budget request	Committee recommendation
Alaska Colorado Georgia Texas	Fort Greely Fort Wainwright Fort Carson Fort Stewart Fort Bliss Wiesbaden/Hainerberg	Privatization (126 units) Privatization (104 units) Privatization (530 units) Privatization (932 units) Privatization (763 units) Improvements (97 units)	36,200 30,000 103,000 103,801 127,000 20,000	36,200 30,000 103,000 103,801 127,000 20,000
Total			420,001	420,001

FAMILY HOUSING OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY

Appropriations, 2008	\$731,920,000
Budget estimate, 2009	716,110,000
Committee recommendation	721,110,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The family housing operation and maintenance appropriation for the Army provides for the operation and maintenance of family housing. This includes debt payment, leasing, minor construction, principal and interest charges, and insurance premiums of Army family housing.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$721,110,000 for family housing operation and maintenance, Army. This amount is \$10,810,000 below the fiscal year 2008 enacted level, and \$5,000,000 above the budget request.

Family Housing Construction, Navy and Marine Corps

Appropriations, 2008 ¹	\$293,129,000
Budget estimate, 2009	382,778,000
Committee recommendation	381,073,000

 $^{^1\}mathrm{Excludes}$ \$11,766,000 in emergency supplemental funding in Public Law 110–252.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The family housing appropriation for the Navy and Marine Corps provides for expenses of family housing for construction, including acquisition, replacement, addition, expansion, extension, and alteration. This appropriation provides for the financing of all costs for construction, improvements, and leasing of all Navy and Marine Corps housing. In addition to quality of life enhancements, the program contains initiatives to reduce operating costs and conserve energy by upgrading or replacing facilities which can be made more efficient through relatively modest investments in improvements. The Department of Defense is authorized to use limited partnerships, make direct and guaranteed loans, and convey Department-owned property to stimulate the private sector to increase the availability of affordable, quality housing for the Navy and Marine Corps.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$381,073,000 for family housing construction, Navy and Marine Corps, in fiscal year 2009. This amount is \$87,944,000 above the fiscal year 2008 enacted level and \$1,705,000 below the budget request.

CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends \$63,062,000 for new construction, as shown below:

NAVY AND MARINE CORPS FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION

[In thousands of dollars]

Location	Installation	Project title	Budget request	Committee recommendation
Cuba Cuba Cuba Worldwide	Guantanamo Bay Guantanamo Bay	Replace Granadillo Circle Replace Granadillo Point Replace Bargo Housing Planning & Design	16,547 23,666 22,385 2,169	15,846 22,662 22,385 2,169
Total			64,767	63,062

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following projects are to be accomplished within the amounts provided for construction improvements:

NAVY AND MARINE CORPS CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

Location	Installation	Project title	Budget request	Committee recommendation
California	MCB Camp Pendle- ton.	Camp Pendleton Public Private Venture, Phase 7.	59,026	59,026
California	MCAGCC Twentynine Palms.	Twentynine Palms Public Private Venture, Phase 3.	49,600	49,600
Hawaii	MCBH Kaneohe Bay	Hawaii Public Private Venture, Phase 3	60,000	60,000
Mississippi	NCBC Gulfport	SE Region Privatization, Gulfport	8,400	8,400
North Carolina	MCB Camp Lejeune	Campe Lejeune Public Private Venture, Phase 5.	81,987	81,987
Japan	CFA Sasebo	Wholehouse Revitalization, Sakura Tower	8,761	8,761
Japan	CFA Sasebo	Wholehouse Revitalization, Dragon Crest	7,202	7,202
Japan	CFA Sasebo	Wholehouse Improvement, Hario Village	32,430	32,430
Japan	MCAS Iwakuni	Revitalize Monzen Townhouses, Phase 2	8,910	8,910
Guam	NAVBASE Guam	Reconfigure Interior	695	695

NAVY AND MARINE CORPS CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS—Continued [In thousands of dollars]

Location	Installation	Project title	Budget request	Committee recommendation
Total			318,011	318,011

Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps

Appropriations, 2008	\$371,404,000
Budget estimate, 2009	376,062,000
Committee recommendation	381.062.000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The family housing operation and maintenance appropriation for the Navy and Marine Corps provides for the operation and maintenance of family housing. This includes debt payment, leasing, minor construction, principal and interest charges, and insurance premiums of Navy and Marine Corps family housing.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$381,062,000 for family housing operation and maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps, in fiscal year 2009. This amount is \$9,658,000 above the fiscal year 2008 enacted level and \$5,000,000 above the budget request.

FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2008 (including rescissions)	\$312,747,000
Budget estimate, 2009	395,879,000
Committee recommendation	395,879,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The family housing appropriation for the Air Force provides for expenses of family housing for construction, including acquisition, replacement, addition, expansion, extension, and alteration. This appropriation provides for the financing of all costs for construction, improvements and leasing of all Air Force housing. In addition to quality of life enhancements, the program contains initiatives to reduce operating costs and conserve energy by upgrading or replacing facilities which can be made more efficient through relatively modest investments in improvements. The Department of Defense is authorized to use limited partnerships, make direct and guaranteed loans, and convey Department-owned property to stimulate the private sector to increase the availability of affordable, quality housing for the Air Force.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$395,879,000 for family housing construction, Air Force, in fiscal year 2009. This amount is \$83,132,000 above the fiscal year 2008 enacted level, and equal to the budget request.

CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends \$79,536,000 for new construction, as shown below:

AIR FORCE FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION

[In thousands of dollars]

Location	Installation	Project title	Budget request	Committee recommendation
United Kingdom Worldwide	RAF Lakenheath Various Locations	Replace Family Housing (182 units) Planning & Design	71,828 7,708	71,828 7,708
Total			79,536	79,536

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following projects are to be accomplished within the amounts provided for construction improvements:

AIR FORCE CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

Location	Installation	Project title	Budget request	Committee recommendation
Japan	Kadena AB	Improve Family Housing, Phase 9 (614 units).	150,245	150,245
Japan	Kadena AB	Install Government Furnished Materials (314 units).	1,716	1,716
Japan	Kadena AB	Install Air Conditioning Systems	368	368
Japan	Misawa AB	Improve Family Housing, Phase 4 (370 units).	46,829	46,829
Japan	Yokota AB	Improve Family Housing, Phase 7 (350 units).	51,007	51,007
Portugal	Lajes AB	Improve Family Housing (184 units)	41,275	41,275
United Kingdom	RAF Alconbury	Improve Family Housing (71 units)	13,153	13,153
United Kingdom	RAF Feltwell	Renovate Family Housing & Infrastructure (16 units).	11,700	11,700
United Kingdom	RAF Menwith Hill	Improve Family Housing (1 unit)	50	50
Total			316,343	316,343

FAMILY HOUSING OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2008	\$688,335,000
Budget estimate, 2009	599,465,000
Committee recommendation	604,465,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The family housing operation and maintenance appropriation for the Air Force provides for the operation and maintenance of family housing. This includes debt payment, leasing, minor construction, principal and interest charges, and insurance premiums of Air Force family housing.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$604,465,000 for family housing operation and maintenance, Air Force, in fiscal year 2009. This amount is \$83,870,000 below the fiscal year 2008 enacted level and \$5,000,000 above the budget request.

Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide

Appropriations, 2008	\$48,848,000
Budget estimate, 2009	49,231,000
Committee recommendation	49,231,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The family housing operation and maintenance appropriation for Defense-Wide provides for the operation and maintenance of family housing. This includes debt payment, leasing, minor construction, principal and interest charges, and insurance premiums of Defense family housing.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$49,231,000 for family housing operation and maintenance, Defense-Wide. This amount is \$383,000 above the fiscal year 2008 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

Family Housing Improvement Fund

Appropriations, 2008	\$500,000
Budget estimate, 2009	850,000
Committee recommendation	850,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The family housing improvement appropriation provides for the Department of Defense to undertake housing initiatives and to provide an alternative means of acquiring and improving military family housing and supporting facilities. This account provides seed money for housing privatization initiatives.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$850,000 for the Family Housing Improvement Fund. This amount is \$350,000 above the fiscal year 2008 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND

Appropriations, 2008	
Budget estimate, 2009	\$4,500,000
Committee recommendation	4,500,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Homeowners Assistance Program [HAP] Fund provides funds to assist eligible military personnel and civilian Federal employee homeowners who sustain a loss on the sale of their primary residence due to a declining residential real estate market attributable to the closure or realignment of a military installation. Program expenses include payments to homeowners for losses on private sales; cost of judicial foreclosure; property acquisition by liquidating and/or assuming outstanding mortgages; partial payment of homeowners' lost equity on government acquisitions; retirement of debt after sale of properties when the government assumes mortgages; and administrative expenses.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$4,500,000 for the Homeowners Assistance Fund. This amount is \$4,500,000 above the fiscal year 2008 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2008	\$104,176,000
Budget estimate, 2009	134,278,000
Committee recommendation	144,278,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

This account provides funding for design and construction of full-scale chemical disposal facilities and associated projects to upgrade installation support facilities and infrastructure required to support the Chemical Demilitarization Program. This account was established starting in fiscal year 2005 to comply with section 141(b) of the fiscal year 2003 National Defense Authorization Act.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$144,278,000 for chemical demilitarization construction projects, an increase of \$40,102,000 above the fiscal year 2008 enacted level and \$10,000,000 above the President's budget request.

The Committee continues to urge the Department to take all necessary and appropriate steps to dispose of the U.S. chemical weapons stockpile by the 2012 Chemical Weapons Convention deadline and, under no circumstances, later than 2017 consistent with section 8119 of Public Law 110–116. In light of the need for the Department to carry out its mission promptly and safely it will need to provide close oversight over the execution of contracts at the chemical demilitarization sites to ensure funds are spent prudently and efficiently. The Committee will continue to monitor closely the Department's compliance with both deadlines.

Base Closure Account 1990

Appropriations, 2008	\$295,689,000
Budget estimate, 2009	393,377,000
Committee recommendation	468,377,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The base closure appropriation (1990) provides for clean up and disposal of property consistent with the four closure rounds required by the base closure Acts of 1988 and 1990.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends a total of \$468,377,000 for the Base Closure Account 1990. This is \$172,688,000 above the fiscal year 2008 enacted level and \$75,000,000 above the President's budget request.

The Committee remains concerned about the backlog of environmental remediation activities required to complete the cleanup of U.S. military installations closed during previous BRAC rounds. The most recent estimate of the cost to complete the cleanup of

these bases is approximately \$3,000,000,000. The Committee recognizes that lengthy delays in completing environmental cleanup at shuttered bases hamper the ability of communities to put valuable property to economically and socially productive uses, and believes that more robust funding for the BRAC 1990 account will help to accelerate the cleanup and reuse of these bases. The additional funding above the President's budget request provided by the Committee for this account includes \$20,000,000 for the Army, \$40,000,000 for the Navy, and \$15,000,000 for the Air Force.

BASE CLOSURE ACCOUNT 1990 ENVIRONMENTAL OVERVIEW

From fiscal year 1990 through fiscal year 2008, a total of \$24,006,522,000 has been appropriated for the environmental clean up of military installations closed or realigned under prior BRAC rounds. The total amount appropriated for BRAC 1990, combined with the Committee recommendation for fiscal year 2009, is \$24,474,899,000.

In appropriating these funds, the Committee continues to provide the Department with broad flexibility to allocate funds by service, function, and installation. The following table displays the total amount appropriated for each round of base closure, including amounts recommended for fiscal year 2009 for BRAC 1990.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, 1990

[Total funding, fiscal year 1990 through fiscal year 2009]

		Fisca	l year	
	1990–2007	2008 enacted	2009 Committee recommendation	Total
Part I Part II Part III Part III	\$2,684,577,000 4,915,636,000 7,269,267,000 8,841,353,000	(1) (1) (1) (1) \$295,689,000	(1) (1) (1) (1) \$468,377,000	\$2,684,577,000 4,915,636,000 7,269,267,000 9,605,419,000
Total	23,710,833,000	295,689,000	468,377,000	24,474,899,000

¹ Not Applicable.

Base Closure Account 2005

Appropriations, 2008 1	\$7,235,591,000
Budget estimate, 2009	9,065,386,000
Committee recommendation	8.991.700.000

 $^{^{1}\,\}mathrm{Excluding}$ \$1,278,886,000 in emergency supplemental funding in Public Law 110–252.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The base realignment and closure appropriation for 2005 provides for clean up and disposal of property consistent with the 2005 closure round required by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (10 U.S.C. section 2687 note).

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends a total of \$8,891,700,000 for the Department of Defense Base Closure Account 2005. This amount is \$1,756,109,000 above the fiscal year 2008 enacted level, excluding

emergency supplemental funding, and \$73,686,000 below the budg-

et request.

The following chart details projects to be carried out using BRAC 2005 funding. Given the magnitude of this effort, and the number and scope of military construction projects associated with it, the Committee is concerned that the projected construction cost of individual projects planned under the BRAC 2005 program may escalate from the original estimate due to market conditions and other variables. The Committee therefore directs that any above-threshold cost or scope variation, any cancellation of projects, or any transfer of funds among construction projects associated with the BRAC 2005 projects identified in the table following this narrative be subject to the standard reprogramming and notification requirements that apply to the regular military construction appropriation.

BRAC 2005 PROJECTS [In thousands of dollars]

State	Service	Location	Commission recommendation	Project	Amount
Alaska	Air Force	Elmendorf AFB	80,110	Aircraft Support Equip Shop	3,000
			80,110	Add to and Alter for Squad Ops and AMU	8,200
			80	Alter Bldg 8515 for Supply and Security Forces	5,600
			80	Base Engineer Complex	22 000
			80	r dei Ceircollosion Control racinty	5.400
			08	Operations and Training Facility	8,900
			08	Training Fire Station	2,500
Alabama	Armv	Redstone Arsenal	189	Vehicle Maintenance Shop	46,000
	`		148	AMC & USASAC Headquarters, Increment II	98,000
	MDA	Redstone Arsenal	134	Von Braun Complex Phase 3 Increment II	127,000
Arkansas	Army	Jonesboro	13	Armed Forces Reserve Center	23,000
		NW Arkansas (Fayetteville)	13	Armed Forces Reserve Center	25,000
California	Navy	MCAS Miramar	137B	HRSC Consolidation	20,940
			138	Construct & Alter Regional Confinement Facility	31,950
		NAWS China Lake	184	Weapons and Armament Facility #1	32,870
			184	Renovate Facilities, Ordnance Area	9,270
			184	Lab Renovation, Building 5	25,520
			184	Ordnance Storage Facilities	12,110
Colorado	Air Force	Buckley AFB	91	BRAC AFR Training Facility	7,200
			143B	BRAC ARPC Administrative	25,000
Connecticut	Army	AFRC Middletown	15	Armed Forces Reserve Center	98,000
		Newtown Armory	15	Armed Forces Reserve Center	008'99
	Air Force	Bradley IAP AGS	85	Upgrade A-10 Engine CIRF	1,100
District of Columbia	Navy	Washington	149	Navy Systems Management Activity Relocation	14,963
			149	Navy Systems Management Activity Warehouse	7,610
Delaware	Army	Dover AFB	169	Joint Medical Examiner Facility	52,000
		AFRC Newark	16	Armed Forces Reserve Center	26,000
Florida	Army	Eglin AFB	4	Special Forces Complex	148,000
	Air Force	Eglin AFB	125	BRAC F-35 Construction Haul Road	810
	_	_	125	BKAC F-35 Duke Field Barriers	1,550

BRAC 2005 PROJECTS—Continued [In thousands of dollars]

Massachusetts	Air Force	Barnes MPT AGS	119 94	F–15 CIRF Sound Suppressor Foundation	1,500 1,750
Maryland	Army	Aberdeen Proving Ground	169	Medical Research Lab, Chem Bio Defense Non-Medical Chem Bio Fac	27,000
			136	Headquarters Bldg, Army Test and Eval Cmd	43,000
			5	C4ISR, Phase 2, Increment I	99,000
			187	Army Research Lab Vehicle Technology	35.000
		Bethesda	169	Community Support Facilities	11.200
		Fort Meade	130	MILDEP Adjudication Activities	51,000
			141	Defense Media Activity, Increment I	44,000
	Air Force	Andrews AFB	129	BRAC Construct Administrative Facility	53,000
			129	BRAC Construct POV Lane, Pearl Harbor Gate	1,350
	DISA	Fort Meade	140	Construct DISA Building	130,128
	TIMA	Bethesda [WRNMMC]	169	Medical Center Addition—Increment II	201,350
Maine	Navy	Inspector-Instructor Bath		Facility Renovation Project	540
		Portsmouth		Special Purpose BN Ops Facility	2,900
Michigan	Army	Detroit Arsenal	176	Administrative Office Buildings, Increment I	26,000
		Detroit Arsenal	176	Weapons Maintenance and Operations Fac	6,400
	Air Force	Selfridge ANGB	95	Add To Alert Complex	870
Missouri	Army	Leonard Wood	127	Prime Power School Complex	29,000
	Air Force	Lambert—St. Louis IAP AGS	94	Relocate 157 A0G	4,000
Mississippi	TMA	Keesler AFB	173G	Community Hospital	67,700
North Carolina	Army	_	3	Headquarters Bldg, FORSCOM/USARC, Increment II	150,000
Nebraska	Army		30	Armed Forces Reserve Center	13,290
New Hampshire	Army	Pease AFRC	31	Armed Forces Reserve Center	34,000
	Army	_	53	Equipment Concentration Site	27,000
		Picatinny Arsenal	186	Packaging, Handling, Shipping & Trans Ctr	26,000
			186	Fuze Eng Cmplx/Explosive Magazines	25,000
			186	Guns & Weapons Systems Lab (Turret)	12,000
			186	Guns & Weapons Systems Tech Data	13,000
	Navy	McGuire AFB (Cookstown)	89	Aviation Supply Dept & AIMD Ops Facility	37,010
		_	89	Munitions Maintenance Facility	1,800
			89	NAVY VR Fleet Logistics Ops Facility (INCR II of II)	28,882
			89	Aviation Support Facility Hangar Renovation	12,000
			89	C-130 Flight Simulator Facility	4,260
			89	Helicopters Hangars & MAG HQ (INCR II of II)	41,941
Nevada	Air Force	Nellis AFB	89,100	Construct Airfield Pavements	7,800
New York	Army	AFRC Farmingdale	34	Armed Forces Reserve Center, Increment II	27,000
	<u> </u>	West Point	5	US Military Academy Prep School, Increment I	100,000

BRAC 2005 PROJECTS—Continued [In thousands of dollars]

State	Service	Location	Commission recommendation	P roject	Amount
Ohio	Army	Columbus	37	Armed Forces Reserve Center	65,218
		Mansfield	37	Armed Forces Reserve Center	30,714
	Air Force	Wright-Patterson AFB	18/	Add to and Alter Sensors Laboratory [AFRL/SN]	40,000
			170	USAFSAM (Increment II)	30,000
Oklahoma	Army	Broken Arrow	73	Armed Forces Reserve Center	12,100
			38	Armed Forces Reserve Center	54,900
		Muskogee	38	Armed Forces Reserve Center	23,000
		Fort Sill	10	ADA Brigade Complex, Increment II	47,000
Oregon	Army	Camp Wythcombe	39	Armed Forces Reserve Center	45,500
Pennsylvania	Army	AFRC Lewisburg	40	Armed Forces Reserve Center	24,000
		AFRC Williamsport	40	Armed Forces Reserve Center	18,500
		AFRC Willow Grove	40	Armed Forces Reserve Center	30,000
	Navy	Philadelphia	137B	Renovate Building 9	20,570
		Tobyhanna Army Depot	57	Radar Maintenance Facility	2,450
Pennsylvania	Navy	NMCRC Pittsburgh	73	NMCRC Moundsville to NMCRC Pittsburgh, PA	4,920
Puerto Rico	Army	AFRC Fort Allen	41	Armed Forces Reserve Center	19,500
		AFRC Fort Buchanan	41	Armed Forces Reserve Center	28,000
		Ceiba	41	Armed Forces Reserve Center	36,000
		Mayaguez	41	Armed Forces Reserve Center	37,000
Rhode Island	Navy	NS Newport	181	Maritime Subsurface Sensor Operations Facility	15,320
South Carolina	Army	Shaw AFB	3	Headquarters Building, Third U.S. Army	102,000
	Air Force	Shaw AFB	3A	Dormitory Renovation for HQ 3rd Army	2,350
Tennessee	Army	Kingsport	43	Armed Forces Reserve Center	19,300
	Air Force	McGhee Tyson APT AGS	92	Expand Parking Apron & Hydrant Sys	5,200
Texas	Army	AFRC Amarillo	44	Armed Forces Reserve Center	24,000
		Fort Bliss	10	Division Headquarters Building	25,000
			10	Combat Aviation Brigade Complex, Increment III	103,000
			10	Brigade Combat Team Complex #3, Increment II	145,000
			10	Tactical Equipment Maintenance Facility 1	79,000
			10	Community Infrastructure	32,000
		AFRC Dyess AFB	44	Armed Forces Reserve Center	40,000
		AFRC Lewisville	44	Armed Forces Reserve Center	22,000
		AFRC Round Rock	44	Armed Forces Reserve Center	41.000

	Air Force	AFRC San Marcos AFRC Tyler NAS-JRB Fort Worth	44 44 113	Armed Forces Reserve Center Armed Forces Reserve Center BRAC AFR Add Avionics Shop	29,000 29,000 1,050
		Ellington Field	113 111 172	BRAC AFR ECM Shop Relocate 272 EIS HQ Medical Field Training Complex	1,150 2,650 18,000
			172	METC Medical Instructional Facility (Increment II)	96,400
			17.2	METC Student Dorm #1 (Increment II)	41,200 33,700
			172	METC Student Dorm #3 (Increment I)	48,000
			170	Tri-Service Research Facility	79,500
		Randolph AFB	170	AF Audit Agency Relocation	1,336
	TMA	Fort Sam Houston	172		294,074
40+	Air Force	Lackland AFB	172	WHMC Renovation of Ambulatory Care Center	51,000
	Army	Arlinoton Hall	129		2,300
		Fort Belvoir	5		8,300
			132	_	23,000
			168	_	48,000
			169	_	20,000
			168		36,000
		Fort Eustis	8	Headquarters Building, TRADOC	113,000
		Fort Lee	122	USAF Transportation Management School	16,500
			121	Warrior Training Facilities	12,000
			121	Combat Service Support School, Ph 1, Increment III	6,348
			133	Administrative Building [DCMA]	23,000
			121	Combat Service Support School, Ph 2, Increment II	143,000
	401		123	JCOE for Culinary Training	17,000
	NGA	Fort Belvoir	168	NGA Headquarters Facility	/43,868
	IMA	Fort Belvoir	169	Hospital Replacement—Increment III	197,750
	WHS	FORT BEIVOIR	133	Unice complex	2/4,330
	Navy	MCB Quantico	131	Collocate MILDEP Invest Agencies (INCK II of II)	213,109
		Chesapeake	138	Joint Regional Correctional Facility (INCR of II)	33,000
		MCB Quantico	138	Pre-trial Detainee Facility	5,5/0
		NS Norfolk	8	Renovate V47 for Combat Craft Facility	7,140
	DIA	Rivanna Sta (Charlottesville)	167	Joint Use Intelligence Analysis Facility—Phase 2	21,000
Vermont	Army	AFRC White River Junction	45	Armed Forces Reserve Center	28,000
Washington	Army	AFRC Everett	46	Armed Forces Reserve Center	28,000
	Air Force		116	Relocate Combat Communications	12,800
Wisconsin	Army		48	Armed Forces Reserve Center	25,375

BRAC 2005 PROJECTS—Continued [In thousands of dollars]

			3	4					
Amount	6,600 1,150 4,173 12,000 3,700	7,224,795	54,831 8,627 11,486	74,944	481,561 254,336 253,272 340,152	1,329,321	9,785	16,571	157,991 12,099 63,226
Project	Armed Forces Reserve Center Add Hydrant Refueling Outlet Planning and Design Planning and Design Planning and Design		Environmental Environmental Environmental Environmental		Operation and Maintenance Operation and Maintenance Operation and Maintenance Operation and Maintenance		Military Personnel Moves Military Personnel Moves Military Personnel Moves Military Personnel Moves		Other
Commission recommendation	73 97								
Location	Gen Mitchell IAP AGS		Various		Various		Various		Various
Service	Air Force		Army		Army		Army		Army Navy Air Force
State	Worldwide	Total Military Construction and P&D	Various Locations Various Locations Various Locations Various Locations Various Locations	Total Environmental	Various Locations Various Locations Various Locations Various Locations	Total Operation and Maintenance	Various Locations Various Locations Various Locations Various Locations	Total MilPers PCS	Various Locations

186,439	419,755	9,065,386
Other		
Various		
Defense Wide		
Various Locations	Total Other	TOTAL fiscal year 2009 FUNDING FOR BRAC 2005

Administrative Provisions

SEC. 101. The Committee includes a provision that restricts payments under a cost-plus-a-fixed-fee contract for work, except in cases of contracts for environmental restoration at base closure sites.

SEC. 102. The Committee includes a provision that permits use of funds for hire of passenger motor vehicles.

SEC. 103. The Committee includes a provision that permits use

of funds for defense access roads.

SEC. 104. The Committee includes a provision that prohibits construction of new bases inside the continental United States for which specific appropriations have not been made.

SEC. 105. The Committee includes a provision that limits the use

of funds for purchase of land or land easements. SEC. 106. The Committee includes a provision that prohibits the use of funds to acquire land, prepare a site, or install utilities for any family housing except housing for which funds have been made

Sec. 107. The Committee includes a provision that limits the use of minor construction funds to transfer or relocate activities among installations.

Sec. 108. The Committee includes a provision that prohibits the procurement of steel unless American producers, fabricators, and manufacturers have been allowed to compete.

SEC. 109. The Committee includes a provision that prohibits pay-

ments of real property taxes in foreign nations.

SEC. 110. The Committee includes a provision that prohibits construction of new bases overseas without prior notification.

SEC. 111. The Committee includes a provision that establishes a threshold for American preference of \$500,000 relating to architect and engineering services for overseas projects.

SEC. 112. The Committee includes a provision that establishes preference for American contractors for military construction in the United States territories and possessions in the Pacific, and on Kwajalein Atoll, or in countries bordering the Arabian Sea.

SEC. 113. The Committee includes a provision that requires notification of military exercises involving construction in excess of \$100,000.

Sec. 114. The Committee includes a provision that limits obligations during the last 2 months of the fiscal year.

SEC. 115. The Committee includes a provision that permits funds appropriated in prior years to be available for construction authorized during the current session of Congress.

SEC. 116. The Committee includes a provision that permits the use of expired or lapsed funds to pay the cost of supervision for any

project being completed with lapsed funds.
SEC. 117. The Committee includes a provision that permits obligation of funds from more than 1 fiscal year to execute a construction project, provided that the total obligation for such project is consistent with the total amount appropriated for the project.

SEC. 118. The Committee includes a provision that directs the Department to report annually on actions taken to encourage other nations to assume a greater share of the common defense burden. SEC. 119. The Committee includes a provision that allows transfer of proceeds from earlier base closure accounts to the continuing

base closure account (1990, parts I–IV).

SEC. 120. The Committee includes a provision that permits the transfer of funds from Family Housing Construction accounts to the DOD Family Housing Improvement Fund and from Military Construction accounts to the DOD Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund.

Sec. 121. The Committee includes a provision that requires the service secretaries to notify the congressional defense committees of all family housing privatization solicitations and agreements which contain any clause providing consideration for base realignment

and closure, force reductions and extended deployments.

SEC. 122. The Committee includes a provision that provides transfer authority to the Homeowners Assistance Program Fund.

SEC. 123. The Committee includes a provision that requires that all acts making appropriations for military construction be the sole funding source of all operation and maintenance for family housing, including flag and general officer quarters, and limits the repair on flag and general officer quarters to \$35,000 per unit per year without prior notification to the congressional defense committees.

SEC. 124. The Committee includes a provision that provides authority to expend funds from the "Ford Island Improvement" ac-

count.

SEC. 125. The Committee includes a provision that prohibits the expenditure of funds at installations or for projects no longer necessary as a result of BRAC 2005.

SEC. 126. The Committee includes a provision that allows the transfer of expired funds to the Foreign Currency Fluctuation, Construction, Defense Account.

SEC. 127. The Committee includes a provision that restricts the use of military construction and family housing construction funds to projects and activities identified in the report and detail tables accompanying this act.

SEC. 128. The Committee includes a provision transferring \$500,000 from the Air Force to the American Battle Monuments

Commission.

TITLE II

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

HEARINGS

The subcommittee on Military Construction and Veterans Affairs and Related Affairs held one hearing related to the fiscal year 2009 Department of Veterans Affairs [VA] budget request on April 10, 2008. The subcommittee heard testimony from the Honorable James Peake, M.D., Secretary of the Department of Veterans Affairs, concerning the VA's budget request for fiscal year 2009.

Additionally, on May 1, 2008, the subcommittee held a joint hearing with the subcommittee on Transportation, Housing and Urban Development related to homeless veteran programs administered by the Department of Veterans Affairs and the Department of Housing and Urban Development.

SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$94,792,750,000 for the Department of Veterans Affairs, including \$46,742,925,000 in mandatory spending and \$48,049,825,000 in discretionary spending. The amount provided for discretionary activities represents an increase of \$4,941,933,000 above the fiscal year 2008 enacted level, excluding emergency supplemental funding contained in Public Law 110–252, and an increase of \$3,285,693,000 above the budget request.

DEPARTMENT OVERVIEW

The Veterans Administration was established as an independent agency by Executive Order 5398 of July 21, 1930, in accordance with the Act of July 3, 1930 (46 Stat. 1016). This act authorized the President to consolidate and coordinate Federal agencies especially created for or concerned with the administration of laws providing benefits to veterans, including the Veterans' Bureau, the Bureau of Pensions, and the National Home for Disabled Volunteer Soldiers. On March 15, 1989, the Veterans Administration was elevated to Cabinet-level status as the Department of Veterans Affairs [VA].

The VA's mission is to serve America's veterans and their families as their principal advocate in ensuring they receive the care, support, and recognition they have earned in service to the Nation. On September 30, 2007, there were an estimated 23.5 million living veterans, with 23.4 million of them residing in the United States and Puerto Rico. There were an estimated 35.9 million dependents (spouses and dependent children) of living veterans in the United States and Puerto Rico. There were over 536,000 survivors

of deceased veterans receiving VA survivor benefits in the United States and Puerto Rico. Thus, more than 59.9 million people, or 19.6 percent of the total estimated resident population of the United States and Puerto Rico, were recipients, or potential recipients, of veterans benefits from the Federal Government. The VA's operating units include the Veterans Benefits Administration, Veterans Health Administration, National Cemetery Administration,

and staff support offices.

The Veterans Benefits Administration [VBA] provides an integrated program of non-medical veterans benefits. The VBA administers a broad range of benefits to veterans and other eligible beneficiaries through 57 regional offices and the records processing center in St. Louis, Missouri. The benefits provided include: compensation for service-connected disabilities; pensions for wartime, needy, and totally disabled veterans; vocational rehabilitation assistance; educational and training assistance; home buying assistance; estate protection services for veterans under legal disability; information and assistance through personalized contacts; and six life insurance programs.

The Veterans Health Administration [VHA] develops, maintains, and operates a national health care delivery system for eligible veterans; carries out a program of education and training of health care personnel; carries out a program of medical research and development; and furnishes health services to members of the Armed Forces during periods of war or national emergency. A system of 155 hospitals, 1,089 outpatient clinics, 135 nursing homes, and 50 VA residential rehabilitation treatment programs is maintained to

meet the VA's medical mission.

The National Cemetery Administration [NCA] provides for the interment of the remains of eligible deceased service members and discharged veterans in any national cemetery with available grave space; permanently maintains these graves; provides headstones and markers for the graves of eligible persons in national and private cemeteries; administers the grant program for aid to States in establishing, expanding, or improving State veterans' cemeteries; and provides certificates to families of deceased veterans recognizing the veterans' contributions and service to the Nation. The National Cemetery Administration includes 164 cemeterial installations and activities.

Other VA offices include the General Counsel, Office of Inspector General, Boards of Contract Appeals and Veterans Appeals, and the general administration, which supports the Secretary, Deputy Secretary, Under Secretary for Benefits, Under Secretary for

Health, and the Under Secretary for Memorial Affairs.

Medical Services and Medical Administration.—The Department's fiscal year 2009 budget request proposes merging the Medical services account and the Medical administration account. The Committee concurs with this recommendation. Prior to the establishment of the three medical care appropriations accounts in 2004 (Medical services, Medical administration, and Medical facilities), a medical facility director was allocated a single budget that could be used to address local operational priorities related to the care of veterans as needed. For example, funds could be used to address critical vacancies in nurse staffing or security personnel, patient

scheduling, or medical collections functions as the need arose. All of these functions are critical to the successful care and treatment of the patient.

The current account structure constrains the ability of medical center directors to assure an appropriate balance of clinical and operational staffing. For example, a director may need to hire additional clinical staff which is paid from the Medical services account. To accommodate the additional clinical staff, the director may need to increase support staff to conduct quality assurance or perform medical coding, which is paid from the Medical administration account. If sufficient funding is not available in the Medical administration account at the facility, the director cannot shift funding from the other medical care appropriations to support this function. By combining the two appropriations, the facility director could immediately hire additional clinical staff and the appropriate supporting staff without seeking a realignment of funds from VA head-

The Committee understands the rationale for having separate accounts to ensure proper oversight. However, the current account structure has created bureaucratic confusion at the medical center level often slowing effective delivery of health care. In order to provide the needed flexibility to medical center directors while ensuring proper oversight, the Committee recommends consolidating the Medical services and Medical administration accounts, but includes new reporting requirements to allow the Committee to effectively

monitor administrative functions.

Legislative Initiatives.—The Committee is pleased to note that the VA did not predicate its fiscal year 2009 budget request on a proposal to impose new fees and increased co-payments on veterans for medical services and prescription medicines. This is an issue which is clearly in the purview of the authorizing committee, and is not an appropriations issue. The Committee has repeatedly denied such budget assumptions in the past and commends the VA for following its guidance in developing the fiscal year 2009 budget request, which assumes no revenue from fees that have not been

passed into law.

Medical Facilities.—The Committee remains committed to providing the VA the resources it needs to recapitalize its aging medical facilities and infrastructure, modernize existing facilities, and ensure that life safety requirements are met throughout VA medical facilities. Over the past 2 fiscal years, the Committee has provided the Department more than \$2,300,000,000 above the requested levels for major construction, minor construction, and nonrecurring maintenance. However, the President's budget request for fiscal year 2009 has not built upon these investments and instead continues to underfund VA medical facilities. The President's request proposes to cut funding for major construction, despite the fact that the VA's 5-Year Capital Plan shows a need of slightly over \$5,500,000,000 in major capital projects. The budget request also proposes to reduce funding for minor construction, despite an aging infrastructure that, according to the VA's Facilities Condition Assessment, encompasses almost \$6,000,000,000 worth of code deficiencies. The Committee is pleased to note that the VA is obligating the additional funds that Congress has provided, but is disheartened that the President did not adequately address capital needs in his fiscal year 2009 request. Therefore, the Committee recommendation includes an additional \$1,336,165,000 over the President's budget request for major and minor construction and non-re-

curring maintenance needs in fiscal year 2009.

Services for Women Veterans.—The Committee remains dedicated to ensuring that the emerging needs of women veterans are met, and is committed to working with the Department to refine its programs, services, and outreach efforts in order to inform women veterans of their eligibility status and improve their access to services. The percentage of women veterans receiving care from the VA is expected to double in the next 5 years, with women combat veterans making up a large portion of the increase. The Committee recommendation includes an additional \$5,000,000 for outreach and transitional assistance for women veterans.

The Committee is pleased that the Department has begun to address the unique needs of women veterans with the establishment of the Center for Women Veterans and the Advisory Committee on Women Veterans, and encourages the Department to continue its ongoing study of women's issues and to expand outreach to women veterans to ensure they are aware of the benefits they have earned.

To better prepare for the anticipated surge of women veterans seeking health care from the VA, the Committee urges the Department to conduct a comprehensive assessment of the needs of women veterans, with a focus on specialized programs, including women veterans with post traumatic stress disorder, women who are homeless, women who require care for mental illness, sexual trauma, or substance abuse, and women who require prenatal care. Additionally, the Committee encourages the Department to create a certification program for clinicians working with victims of sexual trauma and to join with the Institute of Medicine, or a comparable organization, to conduct a study on the health consequences of women veterans serving in the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan.

VETERANS BENEFITS ADMINISTRATION

Appropriations, 2008	\$44,642,822,000
Budget estimate, 2009	46,155,162,000
Committee recommendation	46,901,162,000

ADMINISTRATION OVERVIEW

The Veterans Benefits Administration [VBA] is responsible for the payment of compensation and pension benefits to eligible service-connected disabled veterans. This administration also provides education benefits and housing loan guarantees.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$46,901,162,000 for the Veterans Benefits Administration. This amount is composed of \$43,111,681,000 for "Compensation and pensions"; \$3,832,944,000 for "Readjustment benefits"; \$42,300,000 for "Veterans insurance and indemnities"; \$2,000,000 for the "Veterans housing benefit program fund", with \$246,000,000 in credit subsidies and \$157,210,000 for administrative expenses; \$61,000 for the "Vocational rehabilitation loans program account" and \$320,000 for administrative ex-

penses; and \$646,000 for the "Native American veteran housing loan program account".

COMPENSATION AND PENSIONS

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriations, 2008	\$41,236,322,000
Budget estimate, 2009	
Committee recommendation	43,111,681,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Compensation is payable to living veterans who have suffered impairment of earning power from service-connected disabilities. The amount of compensation is based upon the impact of disabilities on a veteran's earning capacity. Death compensation or dependency and indemnity compensation is payable to the surviving spouses and dependents of veterans whose deaths occur while on active duty or result from service-connected disabilities. A clothing allowance may also be provided for service-connected veterans who use a prosthetic or orthopedic device.

Pensions are an income security benefit payable to needy wartime veterans who are precluded from gainful employment due to non-service-connected disabilities which render them permanently and totally disabled. Public law 107–103, the Veterans Education and Benefits Expansion Act of 2001, restored the automatic presumption of permanent and total non-service connected disability for purposes of awarding a pension to veterans age 65 and older, subject to the income limitations that apply to all pensioners. Death pensions are payable to needy surviving spouses and children of deceased wartime veterans. The rate payable for both disability and death pensions is determined on the basis of the annual income of the veteran or their survivors.

Claims Processing.—The Committee remains concerned with the time it takes the VA to efficiently process a veteran's disability claim. Through May 2008, the average days it took to process a claim was 182, and the pending backlog of claims stood at 390,000. Since 2000, VBA has experienced a steady increase in the number and complexity of disability claims filed. In fact, disability claims increased by 45 percent from 2000 to 2007. The Committee is committed to providing the VA with the resources it needs to expedite the processing of these claims. For fiscal year 2009, the Committee recommends for VBA's General operating expense account an additional \$70,000,000 above the President's budget request. Additionally, the Committee is aware that the VA has initiated special near-term workload and inventory reduction initiatives and directs the Department to report to the Committees on Appropriations of both House of Congress no later than December 31, 2008, on these initiatives and the impacts they have had in reducing the average waiting time and the pending backlog of claims.

Additionally, the Committee is concerned that the VA has not moved quickly enough to develop or acquire new technology that would allow the Department to more efficiently process claims. The Committee directs the VA to submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress no later than January

23, 2009, detailing what steps the VA has taken to modernize claims processing information technology infrastructure and the current plan to leverage technology to create a more efficient and veteran-friendly system.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$43,111,681,000 for "Compensation and pensions". This is an increase of \$1,875,359,000 above the fiscal year 2008 enacted level and the same as the budget request. The amount includes funds for a projected fiscal year 2009 cost-of-living increase of 2.5 percent for all disability compensation beneficiaries and pension recipients.

The appropriation includes \$26,798,000 in payments to the "General operating expenses", "Medical services", and "Information technology systems" accounts for expenses related to implementing provisions of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990, the Veterans' Benefits Act of 1992, the Veterans' Benefits Improvements Act of 1994, and the Veterans' Benefits Improvements Act of 1996.

READJUSTMENT BENEFITS

Appropriations, 2008	\$3,300,289,000
Budget estimate, 2009	3,086,944,000
Committee recommendation 1	3,832,944,000

¹ Includes \$746,000,000 for increased educational benefits provided in Public Law 110–252.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The "Readjustment benefits" appropriation finances the education and training of veterans and service members whose initial entry into active duty took place on or after July 1, 1985. These benefits are included in the All-Volunteer Force Educational Assistance Program (Montgomery GI bill) authorized under 38 U.S.C. section 30. Eligibility to receive this assistance began in 1987. Basic benefits are funded through appropriations made to the readjustment benefits appropriation and transfers from the Department of Defense. This account also finances vocational rehabilitation, specially adapted housing grants, automobile grants with the associated approved adaptive equipment for certain disabled veterans, and educational assistance allowances for eligible dependents of those veterans who died from service-connected causes or have a total permanent service-connected disability, as well as dependents of service members who were captured or missing in action.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$3,832,944,000 for "Readjustment benefits". This is an increase of \$532,655,000 above the fiscal year 2008 enacted level and \$746,000,000 above the budget request.

VETERANS INSURANCE AND INDEMNITIES

Appropriations, 2008	\$41,250,000
Budget estimate, 2009	42,300,000
Committee recommendation	42,300,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The "Veterans insurance and indemnities" appropriation consists of the former appropriations for military and naval insurance, applicable to World War I veterans; National Service Life Insurance, applicable to certain World War II veterans; Servicemen's indemnities, applicable to Korean conflict veterans; and veterans mortgage life insurance to individuals who have received a grant for specially adapted housing.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$42,300,000 for "Veterans insurance and indemnities". This is an increase of \$1,050,000 above the fiscal year 2008 enacted level and the same as the budget request. The Department estimates there will be 7,087,725 policies in force in fiscal year 2009 with a value of \$1,084,862,000,000.

VETERANS HOUSING BENEFIT PROGRAM FUND

	Program account	Administrative expenses
Appropriations, 2008	\$17,389,000	\$154,562,000
Budget estimate, 2009	2,000,000	157,210,000
Committee recommendation	2,000,000	157,210,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

This appropriation provides for all costs, with the exception of the "Native American veteran housing loan program" and the "Guaranteed transitional housing loans for homeless veterans program", of the VA's direct and guaranteed housing loans, as well as the administrative expenses to carry out these programs.

VA loan guaranties are made to service members, veterans, reservists and unremarried surviving spouses for the purchase of homes, condominiums, manufactured homes and for refinancing loans. VA guarantees part of the total loan, permitting the purchaser to obtain a mortgage with a competitive interest rate, even without a downpayment, if the lender agrees. The VA requires that a downpayment be made for a manufactured home. With a VA guaranty, the lender is protected against loss up to the amount of the guaranty if the borrower fails to repay the loan.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends such sums as may be necessary for funding subsidy payments, estimated to total \$2,000,000; and \$157,210,000 for administrative expenses for fiscal year 2009. Bill language limits gross obligations for direct loans for specially-adapted housing to \$500,000.

VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION LOANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

	Program account	Administrative expenses
Appropriations, 2008 Budget estimate, 2009 Committee recommendation	\$71,000 61,000 61,000	\$311,000 320,000 320,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

This appropriation covers the funding subsidy cost of direct loans for vocational rehabilitation of eligible veterans and, in addition, it includes administrative expenses necessary to carry out the direct loan program. Loans of up to \$1,041 (based on the indexed chapter 31 subsistence allowance rate) are currently available to service-connected disabled veterans enrolled in vocational rehabilitation programs, as provided under 38 U.S.C. chapter 31, when the veteran is temporarily in need of additional assistance. Repayment is made in 10 monthly installments, without interest, through deductions from future payments of compensation, pension, subsistence allowance, educational assistance allowance, or retirement pay. Virtually all loans are repaid in full and most in less than 1 year.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$61,000 for program costs and \$320,000 for administrative expenses for the "Vocational rehabilitation loans program account". The administrative expenses may be transferred to and merged with the "General operating expenses" account. Bill language is included limiting program direct loans to \$3,180,000. It is estimated that the VA will make 4,021 loans in fiscal year 2009, with an average amount of \$791.

NATIVE AMERICAN VETERAN HOUSING LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT

Appropriations, 2008	\$628,000
Budget estimate, 2009	646,000
Committee recommendation	646,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Native American Veteran Housing Loan Program is authorized by 38 U.S.C. chapter 37, section 3761 to provide direct loans to Native American veterans living on trust lands. The loans are available to purchase, construct, or improve homes to be occupied as veteran residences. The principal amount of a loan under this authority is limited to the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation's single-family conventional conforming loan limit. Veterans pay a funding fee of 1.25 percent of the loan amount but veterans with service-connected disability are exempt from paying the fee. Before a direct loan can be made, the veteran's tribal organization must sign a memorandum of understanding with the VA regarding the terms and conditions of the loan. The Native American Veteran Housing Loan Program began as a pilot program in 1993 and was made permanent by Public Law 109–233, the Veterans Housing Opportunity and Benefits Act of 2006.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$646,000 for administrative expenses associated with this program. This is \$18,000 above the fiscal year 2008 enacted level and the same as the budget request.

GUARANTEED TRANSITIONAL HOUSING LOANS FOR HOMELESS VETERANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

This program was established by Public Law 105–368, the Veterans Programs Enhancement Act of 1998. The program is a pilot project designed to expand the supply of transitional housing for homeless veterans and to guarantee up to 15 loans with a maximum aggregate value of \$100,000,000. The project must enforce sobriety standards and provide a wide range of supportive services such as counseling for substance abuse and development of job readiness skills.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

All funds authorized for the "Guaranteed transitional housing loans for homeless veterans program account" have been appropriated. Therefore, additional appropriations are not required. Administrative expenses of the program, limited to \$750,000 for fiscal year 2009, will be borne by the "Medical services" and "General operating expenses" accounts.

VETERANS HEALTH ADMINISTRATION

Appropriations, 2008	\$37,201,220,000
Budget estimate, 2009	39,178,503,000
Committee recommendation	41,078,232,000

ADMINISTRATION OVERVIEW

The Department of Veterans Affairs operates the largest Federal medical care delivery system in the country, with 155 hospitals, 50 VA residential rehabilitation treatment programs, 135 nursing homes, and 1,089 outpatient clinics, which include independent, satellite, community-based, and rural outreach clinics.

The Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Care Collections Fund [MCCF] was established by the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 (Public Law 105–33). In fiscal year 2004, Public Law 108–199 allowed the Department to deposit first-party and pharmaceutical copayments; third-party insurance payments and enhanced use collections; long-term care co-payments; Compensated Work Therapy Program collections; Compensation and Pension Living Expenses Program collections; and Parking Program fees into the MCCF.

The Parking Program provides funds for the construction, alteration, and acquisition (by purchase or lease) of parking garages at VA medical facilities authorized by 38 U.S.C. section 8109. The Secretary is required under certain circumstances to establish and collect fees for the use of such garages and parking facilities. Receipts from the parking fees are to be deposited into the MCCF and are used for medical services activities.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$41,078,232,000 for the Veterans Health Administration, without collections. This amount is composed of \$35,590,432,000 for Medical services; \$4,961,000,000 for Medical facilities; and \$526,800,000 for Medical and prosthetic re-

search. Medical care collections are expected to be \$2,544,000,000. Therefore, VHA will have total resources of \$43,622,232,000, plus any carryover from fiscal year 2008, available in fiscal year 2009.

AREAS OF INTEREST

DOD-VA Health Care Resource Sharing.—The Committee continues to believe that the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs should explore opportunities for sharing resources in a way that improves care for service members who are transitioning from DOD to VA care. As part of this effort, the Committee encourages the VA to survey opportunities for increased collaboration and resource sharing with DOD at military installations, including Fort Carson, Colorado.

VA Nursing Academy.—The Committee commends the VA for addressing the nursing shortage through the Veterans Affairs Nursing Academy. This 5-year pilot program is designed to establish partnerships with competitively selected nursing schools to expand the number of teaching faculty in VA facilities and affiliated nursing schools in order to increase student enrollment in baccalaureate nursing programs. The Committee also urges the VA to continue its collaboration with the Department of Defense through the Uniformed Services University of the Health Services [USUHS] by providing nurse faculty and nursing students in the graduate nursing education programs.

Advanced Nursing Education.—The Committee urges the VA, in conjunction with accredited schools of nursing, to explore the development of a fast track doctoral training program which would facilitate completion of a doctorate [Ph.D.] in nursing by qualified nurses employed within the VA network who possess a bachelor of science in nursing.

National Veterans Wheelchair Games.—The Committee understands that Spokane, Washington, has been selected as the host of the 2009 National Veterans Wheelchair Games. The Wheelchair Games are a multi-event sports and rehabilitation program for military service veterans who use wheelchairs for sports competition due to spinal cord injuries, amputations, or certain neurological problems. The Committee believes that the programs and activities that constitute the National Veterans Wheelchair Games are central to the rehabilitation, health promotion, and prevention of secondary medical conditions and encourages the VA to continue its work in this regard.

Caregiver Assistance.—The Committee is aware of the increasing toll that the conflicts in Iraq and Afghanistan are taking on the family members of veterans. The Committee recognizes that the VA lacks statutory authority to treat family members of veterans and that the programs that exist to counsel and train them in their new roles as caregivers remain limited. The Committee urges the VA to increase its efforts to expand outreach and services to families caring for injured veterans.

Energy Efficiency and Air Quality.—The Committee encourages the Department to implement programs to monitor and improve both energy efficiency and air quality. As new construction progresses on hospitals and improvements are made to existing buildings, the Department should be exploring cost-effective ways to op-

timize energy use and air quality.

Pharmaceutical Waste Disposal.—Proper disposal of pharmaceutical waste is essential in protecting public health. The Committee is concerned about recent published reports regarding the discovery of trace amounts of medications, including antibiotics, in the drinking water of certain U.S. cities. The Committee notes that no VA hospitals have been identified as a source of these pollutants, but wants to ensure that pharmaceutical waste is properly tracked and disposed of at VA medical centers. The Resource Conservation and Recovery Act regulates the manner in which hazardous hospital waste is disposed. The Committee directs the Department to report to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress no later than 90 days from enactment of this act on the VA policy in place to dispose of pharmaceutical waste. Additionally, the Committee encourages the VA to study the feasibility of developing a pilot program in a minimum of three VISNs to explore efficient ways, including manual disposal and potential automated solutions, to eliminate the potential hazard of wrongful disposal of pharmaceutical waste. The VA is directed to report to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress by February 15, 2009, on any steps taken to implement such a pilot program.

MEDICAL SERVICES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriations, 2008	\$29,104,220,000
Budget estimate, 2009 1	34,075,503,000
Committee recommendation 1	35,590,432,000

 $^{^{1}}$ Includes funding for Medical administration previously funded in a separate account.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Medical services appropriation combines into one account programs and funding previously appropriated under separate Medical services and Medical administration accounts.

The Medical services account provides for medical services of enrolled eligible veterans and certain dependent beneficiaries in VA medical centers, outpatient clinic facilities, contract hospitals, State homes, and outpatient programs on a fee basis. Hospital and outpatient care is also provided by the private sector for certain dependents and survivors of veterans under the civilian health and medical programs for the VA.

This account also provides funds for the expenses of management, security, and administration of the VA health care system. This appropriation provides for costs associated with the operation of the VA medical centers; other facilities; and VHA headquarters, plus the costs of Veterans Integrated Service Network [VISN] offices and facility director offices; chief of staff operations; quality of care oversight; legal services; billing and coding activities; procurement; financial management; and human resource management.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee concurs with the administration's request to merge the Medical services and Medical administration accounts. The Committee recommendation reflects this change for fiscal year 2009. The Committee recommends \$35,590,432,000 for "Medical services". This amount is an increase of \$6,486,212,000 over the fiscal year 2008 enacted level, and \$1,514,929,000 above the budget request. In addition, the VA has the authority to retain co-payments and third-party collections, estimated to total \$2,544,000,000 in fiscal year 2009.

The Committee has included bill language to make available through September 30, 2010, up to \$1,850,000,000 of the "Medical services" appropriation. This provides flexibility to the Department of Veterans Affairs as it continues to implement significant pro-

gram changes.

The bill includes language to allow for the transfer of \$15,000,000 to the DOD/VA Health Care Sharing Incentive Fund. The Fund provides a mechanism for the DOD and VA to increase their resource sharing activities to achieve cost effective use of health care services.

Rural Health Initiative.—According to the Department of Veterans Affairs, almost 38 percent of veterans currently enrolled in the VA system reside in either a rural area (as defined by the U.S. Census) or a highly rural area (a rural area within a county with less than seven civilians per square mile). As a result of this, Congress enacted Public Law 109–461, the Veterans Benefits, Health Care and Information Technology Act of 2006. Section 212 mandated the establishment of the Office of Rural Health [ORH]. The mission of the ORH is to improve access and quality of care for enrolled rural veterans by developing evidenced-based policies and innovative practices to support the unique needs of veterans residing in geographically rural areas. The Committee is pleased with the efforts made by the Department through the ORH, but believes more can be done.

 $_{
m this}$ end. $_{
m the}$ Committee recommendation includes \$250,000,000 for a new initiative, to be implemented in coordination with ORH, aimed at reducing the gap in care that often exists for veterans residing in rural areas and veterans from Native American populations. The rural health initiative will allow the Secretary to explore new ways of serving these populations and will provide the Department the resources and the latitude to fund initiatives, such as, but not limited to, increasing mobile clinics; establishing new outpatient clinics; expanding fee based care in areas not served by VA facilities; exploring collaboration with other Federal or community providers (such as the Indian Health Service, Community Mental Health and Health Centers, or other community-based organizations); accelerating deployment of telemedicine; and funding innovative pilot and demonstration programs. The Committee has included this funding in addition to the increase for Medical services in order to ensure that the level of medical care available for all veterans is not adversely affected. To assist the VA in implementing this new initiative, the Committee has also increased by \$250,000,000 above the budget request the Department's availability of funding through September 30, 2010. Additionally, in order to provide greater flexibility in administering the initiative, the Department is reminded that reprogramming authority applies to the Medical services account. The Committee directs the Department to track this funding separately and report quarterly, by VISN, on the initiatives funded under this new program.

Health Care Income Threshold.—In January 2003, the VA suspended health care enrollment for new Priority 8 veterans whose income exceeded a certain level. After 5 years, the ban remains in place. Currently, a veteran making as little as \$28,430 a year could be excluded from enrolling in the VA's health care system, based on income. The Committee has consistently deferred to the committees of jurisdiction on the issue of lifting the ban. However, given the skyrocketing cost of health care and the steep downturn in the economy, the Committee is very concerned that the income threshold is too low and encompasses too many veterans facing economic hardship. The Committee understands that if the VA health care system were to be opened to additional Priority 8 veterans, the Department would prefer an incremental approach as opposed to lifting the ban entirely, which could overwhelm the VA's ability to absorb the increased patient load. The VA believes that an incremental approach would give the Department time to budget adequately for direct medical service needs, as well as for infrastructure improvements to build physical capacity. Until such time as the appropriate legislation can be enacted to adequately deal with the suspension of Priority 8 veterans, the Committee has included \$350,000,000 within Medical services for the Department to raise the income threshold to an amount commensurate with the increased level of funding. Additionally, the Committee directs the Secretary to include cost estimates for annually raising the income threshold as part of the fiscal year 2010 budget request.

Account Consolidation Reporting Requirement.—The Committee concurs with the Department's proposal to consolidate the "Medical services" account and the "Medical administration" account. However, to continue proper oversight, the Department is directed to provide the Committee bi-annually a report tracking the costs associated with the current medical administration functions. Additionally, the Committee directs the Department to include in future budget submissions those functions formerly funded by medical administration as a sub-function within the "Medical services" ac-

count

Homeless Veterans.—According to the Department of Veterans Affairs, on any given night about 154,000 veterans are homeless. On May 1, 2008, the Committee held a hearing to address the issue of homelessness among the veteran population. The goal of the hearing was to approach the issue in a comprehensive manner. Thus the Committee heard testimony from the Department of Veterans Affairs and the Department of Housing and Urban Development, as well as experts from the housing and veteran community. The Committee remains supportive of the VA's homeless programs and has included an additional \$30,000,000 to increase the number of caseworkers and personnel for the Housing and Urban Development-Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing Program to accommodate the increase in section 8 vouchers.

The Committee is aware that the Senate Transportation, Housing and Urban Development, and Related Agencies appropriations bill, 2009, included \$10,000,000 for the Department of Housing and Urban Development to create a demonstration program to test methods of homeless prevention among the veteran population. As part of this demonstration program, the Department of Housing and Urban Development has been directed to coordinate with the Department of Veterans Affairs and the Department of Labor. The Committee directs the VA to dedicate at least \$5,000,000 for case-

workers for the demonstration program.

The Committee recommendation also includes an increase of \$8,000,000 above the President's request for the VA's Homeless Grant and Per Diem Program, bringing the funding to the fully authorized level of \$130,000,000. However, the Committee is aware that legislation is currently pending before Congress that would raise the authorization level for this program to \$200,000,000. Should this legislation pass, the Department is directed to increase funding for the Homeless Grant and Per Diem program to the fully authorized level. Additionally, the Committee recommendation includes an additional \$10,000,000 above the President's request for Homeless Grants and Per Diem Program Liaisons.

Beneficiary Travel Mileage Reimbursement.—In fiscal year 2008, the Committee provided \$125,000,000 for the VA to increase the mileage reimbursement for veterans to 28.5 cents per mile. The Committee commends the Department for following Congress' lead and utilizing the funding provided to raise the mileage rate. However, with the price of gasoline continuing to hit record high levels, the Committee believes that further increases are warranted. Therefore, the Committee has included an additional \$138,000,000 to raise the mileage reimbursement rate to 50.5 cents per mile, which bring the VA's rate into conformity with the General Serv-

ices Administration's rate for Federal employees.

Blind Rehabilitation Service/Military Eye Injury Center of Excellence.—The mission of the Blind Rehabilitation Service is to assist blind and visually impaired veterans in the development of skills needed for personal independence and successful integration into the community and family environment. These services include inpatient and outpatient blind and vision rehabilitation programs, adjustment counseling, patient and family education, and assistive

technology.

The nature of combat in Iraq and Afghanistan has exposed many service members to concussive blasts from improvised explosive devices and rocket propelled grenades. This has led to numerous head injuries, including traumatic brain injury [TBI]. One of the major effects of TBI is vision impairment. The Committee commends the Department for following Congress' direction by increasing and expanding services in both inpatient and outpatient facilities. While the VA's Blind Rehabilitation Service is known for its excellence in delivering comprehensive vision rehabilitation to the Nation's blind veterans at 10 VA Blind Rehabilitation Centers, more needs to be done to expand treatment to blind and visually impaired veterans in outpatient settings closer to where veterans live. Therefore, the Committee recommends an additional \$15,000,000 for the VA's Blind Rehabilitation Service to continue expansion of Visual Im-

pairment Services Teams, Blind Rehabilitation Outpatient Specialists, and Visual Impairment Services Outpatient Rehabilitation.

For the past several years, the Committee has urged the VA to expand outpatient blind rehabilitative services and training activities. The Committee continues to support this goal, and encourages the VA to collaborate with existing private sector organizations with blind rehabilitative services, such as the Lions World Services for the Blind in Little Rock, Arkansas, and similar organizations, to help address the need identified by the Government Accountability Office and the VA's Office of Finance and Allocation Resource Center, and the recommendations of the VA's Visual Impairment Advisory Board to increase the supply of blind rehabilitative

outpatient resources.

Public Law 110-181, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008, directed the Secretary of Defense to establish a center of excellence in the prevention, diagnosis, mitigation, treatment, and rehabilitation of military eye injuries. To foster greater synergy, the mandate required the Department of Defense to collaborate with the Department of Veterans Affairs to establish a military eye injury registry. The VA's fiscal year 2009 budget request was formulated before passage of Public Law 110–181, thus the request does not contain any funding for this initiative. The Committee recommendation includes \$6,900,000 for the VA's portion of this initiative. Additionally, the Committee directs the VA to submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations no later than January 23, 2009, outlining the status of, and the coordination efforts undertaken, to establish the center of excellence.

Readjustment Counseling.—The Committee strongly supports the services provided by Vet Centers. The Committee recommendation includes an additional \$15,000,000 for the VA to continue to expand the number of Vet Centers across the country and to staff them adequately. These centers are often the first stop for veterans and their families as they seek counseling to readjust to civilian life. A Department of Defense program has been developed that has been successfully utilized by Army families, which focuses on goals, family strengthening, and communication as tools to deal with stressful situations. The Committee encourages the VA to consider implementing the Defense Department's Healthy Habits program

in Vet Centers. Mental Health/Post Traumatic Stress Disorder/Traumatic Brain *Injury.*—The Committee remains deeply concerned about the propensity of returning combat soldiers to suffer from "invisible wounds." These invisible wounds encompass a number of mental health disorders, such as post traumatic stress disorder [PTSD], and traumatic brain injury [TBI]. The Committee is encouraged by the strides the Department has made in hiring additional mental health providers, opening new Vet Centers, and mitigating suicide hazards in inpatient wards. Moreover, the Committee is aware that on May 6, 2008, the Secretary of the Department of Veterans Affairs announced the formation of a "Blue Ribbon Work Group on Suicide Prevention in the Veterans Population." The work group has been tasked to make recommendations on ways the VA can improve its programs in suicide prevention, suicide research and suicide education. The Committee directs the VA to report to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress within 15 days of receiving the Work Group's recommendations, actions and costs associated with implementing those recommendations. While it is clear that the VA has begun to undertake several actions needed to ensure that the mental health needs of veterans are met, more can be done. For instance, many soldiers returning from Iraq and Afghanistan have faced a combination of PTSD and TBI. However, the relationship between the two injuries is not well understood. Thus the Committee encourages the VA to increase funding above the baseline, by at least \$2,000,000, for the National Centers for Post Traumatic Stress Disorder to expand programs to ensure that the combined impact of these wounds is properly understood.

The Committee also commends the staff of the VA's Polytrauma Rehabilitation Centers for their efforts in caring for those veterans suffering from polytraumatic wounds. The increased funding included within the Medical services account will allow the VA to continue to provide the highest possible care for those veterans and active duty soldiers who rely on the polytrauma centers and network sites as they rehabilitate from multiple traumatic wounds.

The Committee recognizes the prevalence of traumatic brain injuries among OEF/OIF veterans and the corresponding need for increased, specialized brain injury treatment. Public and private entities with experience in neurobehavioral rehabilitation and recovery can play an important role in providing the highest possible standards of care. Consistent with section 1703 of Public Law 110–181, the Committee directs the Department of Veterans Affairs to establish and expand cooperative agreements with these entities to maximize the opportunities and expertise available to treat wounded warriors.

Not later than September 30, 2009, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress, a report that identifies actions taken by the VA to expand the pool of expertise available to treat traumatic brain injury victims. The report shall describe the decisionmaking process used to determine the Federal or private facilities at which OEF/OIF TBI patients are treated; the number of cooperative agreements established with public and private entities with expertise in neurobehavioral rehabilitation and recovery, and the institutions with which cooperative agreements have been established; the number of OEF/OIF veterans treated by the VA for a TBI within the calendar year; and the number of OEF/OIF veterans referred to a public or private entity for TBI treatment via an established cooperative agreement.

Prosthetic and Sensory Aids Service.—The Prosthetic and Sensory Aids Service is an integrated delivery system designed to provide medically prescribed prosthetic and sensory aids, devices, assistive aids, repairs, and services to eligible disabled individuals to facilitate the treatment of their medical conditions. The Committee recommendation includes an additional \$30,000,000 above the President's request for this program. Additionally, the Committee encourages the Department to increase funding for the treatment of severe communication disabilities through the use of speech communication aids and the speech and language therapists who pre-

scribe them.

Hearing Loss.—The Committee recognizes the incidence and severity of hearing loss due to faulty sensory nerves, known as sensorineural hearing loss, and that its associated costs are increasing at dramatic rates. Currently, there are no approved therapeutics that either prevent or treat sensorineural hearing loss, a leading and costly cause of disability within the VA system. The Committee encourages the VA to examine and support the development and clinical testing of therapeutics aimed at preventing and treating sensorineural hearing loss along with age-related, noise-in-

duced and drug-induced hearing loss.

Third Party Billing.—In June 2008, the Government Accountability Office [GAO] issued a report which found that VA had ineffective controls over medical center billings. GAO made seven recommendations to improve the Department's third party billing and collection process. According to the report, the VA has concurred with all seven recommendations. The Committee directs the Department to provide a detailed report to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress by February 17, 2009, outlining how the VA is implementing these recommendations and what further steps are being taken to ensure proper coding in the billing process and to strengthen internal management oversight.

Community Reintegration.—The Committee urges the Department to develop a community reintegration demonstration project for servicemembers and veterans with disabilities that are receiving rehabilitation services in polytrauma centers. The Committee encourages the VA to consider collaboration with national non-profit disability services organizations which have a history of providing community-based reintegration services for individuals with

disabilities.

MEDICAL ADMINISTRATION

Appropriations, 2008\$3,517,000,000
Budget estimate, 2009
Committee recommendation ¹

 $^{^1}$ Funding for Medical administration has been consolidated into the Medical services account.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

To improve medical center efficiency, functions and funding provided for under the "Medical administration" account have been consolidated into the "Medical services" account as proposed in the fiscal year 2009 budget submission.

MEDICAL FACILITIES

Appropriations, 2008	.\$4,100,000,000
Budget estimate, 2009	4,661,000,000
Committee recommendation	4,961,000,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The "Medical facilities" account provides funds for the operation and maintenance of the VA health care system's vast capital infrastructure. This appropriation provides for costs associated with utilities, engineering, capital planning, leases, laundry, groundskeeping, housekeeping, facility repair, and property disposition and acquisition.

The Committee has included bill language to make available through September 30, 2010, up to \$350,000,000 of the medical facilities appropriation. This provision provides flexibility to the Department as it continues to implement significant program changes.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$4,961,000,000 for "Medical facilities". This amount is \$861,000,000 above the fiscal year 2008 enacted level and \$300,000,000 above the budget request. The Committee has provided a substantial increase above the budget request for the Medical facilities account. This reflects the Committee's ongoing commitment to ensuring that VA medical facilities are maintained at the highest possible level. The additional funds provided in the Committee recommendation are to be used for critical and non-recurring maintenance at existing facilities, as identified in facility condition assessment reports. Additionally, these funds are to be allocated in a manner not subject to the Veterans Equitable Resource Allocation.

Community Based Outpatient Clinics [CBOCs].—Access to quality health care is crucially important to America's veterans, many of whom live in areas that are not within easy reach of VA medical centers. The VA's network of Community Based Outpatient Clinics [CBOCs] provides a vital service to those veterans who live in rural or underserved areas, particularly given the current spike in gasoline costs. The Committee notes that the Department plans to open 64 new CBOCs in 2008, and another 51 in 2009. The Committee strongly supports the VA's CBOC program, and urges the Department to expand its network and expedite the construction of new CBOCs, particularly in rural communities.

Plentywood, Montana.—The Committee urges the VA to study the feasibility of establishing a Community Based Outpatient Clinic in Plentywood, Montana.

Northwest Washington.—The Committee is aware of the lack of access to VA primary and mental health care in Washington State and commends the Department's approval of a Community Based Outpatient Clinic [CBOC] in Northwest Washington. The Committee supports the recent opening of an interim clinic in the region, but is concerned about the lengthy delays in the opening of a permanent CBOC. The Committee urges the VA to move forward as quickly as possible with its plans to open a permanent community-based outpatient clinic in Northwest Washington.

South Puget Sound, Washington.—The Committee is aware of the lack of access to primary care, mental health and specialty care for thousands of veterans living in Lewis and Thurston Counties, Washington, and commends the VA for its decision to locate a Community Based Outpatient Clinic in South Puget Sound. The Committee urges the VA to open the South Puget Sound CBOC as soon as possible in order to increase access to these veterans.

Southeast Vermont.—The Committee is aware of the lack of access to VA primary care in southeast Vermont and encourages the Department to move forward with the approval of a Community Based Outpatient Clinic in southeast Vermont.

MEDICAL AND PROSTHETIC RESEARCH

Appropriations, 2008	\$480,000,000
Budget estimate, 2009	442,000,000
Committee recommendation	526,800,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The "Medical and prosthetic research" account provides funds for medical, rehabilitative, and health services research. Medical research supports basic and clinical studies that advance knowledge leading to improvements in the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of diseases and disabilities. Rehabilitation research focuses on rehabilitation engineering problems in the fields of prosthetics, orthotics, adaptive equipment for vehicles, sensory aids and related areas. Health services research focuses on improving the effectiveness and economy of the delivery of health services.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$526,800,000 for "Medical and prosthetic research". This is \$46,800,000 above the fiscal year 2008 enacted level and \$84,800,000 above the budget request.

The Committee remains highly supportive of this program, and recognizes its importance both in improving health care services to veterans and recruiting and retaining high-quality medical professionals in the Veterans Health Administration. Through the Department's research and development program, the VA has implemented a comprehensive research agenda to develop new treatments and tools for clinicians to ease the physical and psychological pain of men and women returning from conflicts, to improve access to VA health care services, and to accelerate discoveries and applications, especially for neurotrauma, sensory loss, amputation, polytrauma, and related prosthetic needs. However, the President's budget request proposes to reduce funding for the overall medical research program, and specifically proposes to cut funding for designated research areas, such as acute and traumatic injury; diabetes; mental illness; and military exposure. The Committee rejects this proposal and instead has expanded the scope of VA medical research. The Committee recommendation maintains the current level of VA research, with a 3.5 percent increase over the fiscal year 2008 enacted level to cover inflation, and includes an additional \$30,000,000 for the VA to begin research in critical areas, such as polytrauma, sensory loss, post traumatic stress disorder, and suicide prevention. As in years past, the Committee strongly encourages the Department to continue its effort to develop clinical practices using evidenced-based medicine.

Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis [ALS].—The Committee is concerned about the high incidence of Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis [ALS] among our Nation's veterans and believes that more needs to be done. Commonly referred to as Lou Gehrig's disease, ALS is a progressive, neurodegenerative disease that attacks nerve cells and pathways in the brain and spinal cord, eventually resulting in total paralysis and death. Studies by the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs [VA] have shown that vet-

erans of the 1991 gulf war are nearly twice as likely to suffer from ALS as other veterans.

The Committee is pleased by the VA's decision to treat ALS cases in gulf war veterans and rate them as a presumptive service-connected disability. However, the Committee is concerned other veterans returning from the Persian Gulf may be exposed to the same risk factors and believes more can be done to treat ALS cases in non-gulf war veterans. A 2005 study by the Harvard School of Public Health found that men with any history of military service are 60 percent more likely to develop ALS than men in the general population. Despite these findings, the Department reduced its ALS research budget by 20 percent from fiscal years 2005 through 2007. The Committee strongly encourages the VA to reevaluate its policies regarding this disease and to restore its baseline budget to the fiscal year 2005 level in order to quantify the correlation between ALS and combat service in all veterans. Therefore, the Committee directs the Department to submit to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress no later than April 1, 2009, a strategic plan to address this disease.

2009, a strategic plan to address this disease.

Gulf War Illness Research.—The Committee is encouraged by the Department of Veterans Affairs' commitment to continue research into gulf war illness and directs the Department to devote not less than \$15,000,000 annually, which is equal to the level of dedicated

funding in fiscal year 2008, for this research.

Longitudinal Study.—In fiscal year 2008, the Committee included report language directing the Department to fulfill the mandate carried in section 212 of Public Law 106-419, the Veterans Benefits and Health Care Improvement Act of 2000, to contract for a follow-up study, using the same participants of the original 1988 Vietnam Veterans Longitudinal Study. The follow-up study was to provide data on long-term effects of combat-related post traumatic stress disorder [PTSD] among Vietnam veterans, regardless of whether these veterans were utilizing the VA system, and the effectiveness of VA medical care in treating PTSD. In a March 31, 2008, report to the Committee, the Department offered an alternative approach, noting problems with the original contract and highlighting an Inspector General report which found that the original contract included ineffective planning, oversight, contracting and project management. While the alternative approach may in fact yield useful research, the Committee expects the VA to comply with Public Law 106-419 unless otherwise directed. The Committee, therefore, urges the Department to fulfill the mandate, through competitive means and complying with Federal and VA acquisition regulations, carried in Public Law 106-419, taking into consideration the concerns raised by several of the leading Veterans Service Organizations of the alternative approach, including the sample size and diversity of the research subjects. As a followon to the Department's March 31, 2008, report, the Department is directed to report back to the Committee no later than March 24, 2009, on the progress made to conduct a follow-up study using the same participants of the original 1988 Longitudinal Study.

Herbicide Exposure.—The Air Force Health Study [AFHS] was initiated in 1982 to examine the effects of herbicide exposure on health, mortality, and reproductive outcomes in veterans of Oper-

ation Ranch Hand. The study is noteworthy for the amount of data and biological specimens collected and preserved. The Committee urges the VA to use the unique assets of the Air Force Health Study to conduct additional research on the effects of herbicide exposure.

Nursing Research Program.—The Committee supports the Veterans Affairs Nursing Research Program, which facilitates research on the specific nursing needs of combat veterans and aging veterans. The Committee strongly supports continuation of this program. The Committee also encourages collaboration between VA nurses and recipients of Tri Service Nursing Research Program awards in the exploration of research proposals that improve the health and well-being of their shared beneficiary population.

Geriatric Care.—The Committee is concerned that as the median age of veterans rises, the VA has not adequately concentrated its resources to deliver world class geriatric care. The Committee strongly encourages the VA to evaluate the desirability of expanding research and clinical specialists in disciplines related to aging to stay at the forefront of geriatric care.

Vision Loss.—The Committee urges the VA to expand its efforts to develop and test adaptations and modifications for advanced information and communications technologies to ensure their usability by veterans with vision loss. The Committee notes the expertise of the American Foundation for the Blind and its Technology and Employment Center [AFB TECH] and, if appropriate, encourages the VA to consider collaboration with AFB to leverage its expertise in vision loss, including the product evaluation and standards development work at the AFB TECH laboratory.

MEDICAL CARE COST RECOVERY COLLECTIONS

MEDICAL CARE COLLECTION FUND

Appropriations, 2008	\$2,414,000,000
Budget estimate, 2009	1,879,000,000
Committee recommendation	2,544,000,000

MEDICAL CARE COLLECTION FUND—REVENUES APPLIED

Appropriations, 2008	-\$2,414,000,000
Budget estimate, 2009	-1,879,000,000
Committee recommendation	-2,544,000,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Medical Care Collection Fund [MCCF] was established by the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 (Public Law 105–33). In fiscal year 2004, Public Law 108–199 allowed the Department of Veterans Affairs to deposit first party and pharmacy co-payments; third party insurance payments and enhanced use collections; long-term care co-payments; Compensated Work Therapy Program collections; and Parking Program fees into the MCCF. The Secretary of Veterans Affairs has the authority to transfer funds between the MCCF and the medical services appropriation and medical facilities appropriation.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommendation includes the authority to retain co-payments and third party collections, estimated to total \$2,544,000,000 in fiscal year 2009.

NATIONAL CEMETERY ADMINISTRATION

Appropriations, 2008	\$195,000,000
Budget estimate, 2009	180,959,000
Committee recommendation	230,000,000

ADMINISTRATION OVERVIEW

The National Cemetery Administration was established in accordance with Public Law 93–94, the National Cemeteries Act of 1973. It has a four-fold mission: to provide for the interment in any national cemetery of the remains of eligible deceased service members and discharged veterans, together with their spouses and certain dependents, and permanently to maintain their graves; to provide headstones for, and to mark graves of eligible persons in national, State, and private cemeteries; to administer the grant program for aid to States in establishing, expanding, or improving State veterans' cemeteries; and to administer the Presidential Memorial Certificate Program.

In 2009, cemeterial activities will encompass a total of 164 cemeterial installations in 39 States, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico. The Committee's recommendation for the National Cemetery Administration provides funds for all of these cemeterial installations.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$230,000,000 for the National Cemetery Administration. This is an increase of \$35,000,000 over the fiscal year 2008 enacted level and \$49,041,000 above the budget request.

The Committee has included bill language to make available through September 30, 2010, up to \$23,000,000 of the "National Cemetery Administration" [NCA] appropriation.

The Committee has recommended additional funds for NCA to continue its efforts to correct gravesite deficiencies, including the repair of sunken graves and the realignment and maintenance of headstones.

Colorado National Cemeteries.—The State of Colorado's veteran population in the area known as Front Range is divided into two distinct geographical areas, generally represented as those areas encompassed by (1) Denver, Boulder, Fort Collins, and Greeley and, (2) Colorado Springs and Pueblo. The Committee is concerned that traveling to and from the Fort Logan National Cemetery in Denver may impose an undue hardship on the families of deceased veterans from southern Colorado. The Committee therefore urges the National Cemetery Administration to conduct a study to determine the need and feasibility of establishing two National Veterans Cemeteries in Colorado's Front Range region.

The Committee is also aware that in preparing for the Fort Logan National Cemetery reaching capacity, the VA may seek to acquire land for an expansion site. The Committee encourages the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to consider locations south of Colorado Springs, Colorado.

DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

Appropriations, 2008 ¹	\$5,556,100,000
Budget estimate, 2009	5,246,433,000
Committee recommendation	6,583,356,000

¹ Excludes \$516,377,000 in emergency supplemental funding included in Public Law 110-252.

ADMINISTRATION OVERVIEW

This appropriation provides for the administration of nonmedical veterans benefits through the Veterans Benefits Administration [VBA], the executive direction of the Department, several top level supporting offices, the Board of Contract Appeals, and the Board of Veterans' Appeals.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$6,583,356,000 for "Departmental administration". The amount is composed of \$1,779,125,000 for "General operating expenses"; \$93,900,000 for the "Office of the Inspector General"; \$1,217,747,000 for "Construction, major projects"; \$729,418,000 for "Construction, minor projects"; \$250,000,000 for grants for "Construction of State extended care facilities"; \$42,000,000 for "Grants for the construction of State veterans cemeteries"; and \$2,471,166,000 for "Information technology systems".

GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES

Appropriations, 2008 ¹	\$1,605,000,000
Budget estimate, 2009	1,699,867,000
Committee recommendation	1,779,125,000

¹ Excludes \$100,000,000 in emergency supplemental funding included in Public Law 110–252.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

This appropriation provides for the "General operating expenses" of the Department of Veterans Affairs.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$1,779,125,000 for "General operating expenses". This amount is \$174,125,000 above the fiscal year 2008 enacted level and \$79,258,000 above the budget request. The recommendation includes an increase of \$70,000,000 for the Veterans Benefit Administration to continue to hire an additional 500 claims adjudicators, to refine its training procedures, and to enter into operational leases to acquire space to accommodate the increased personnel. The Committee has also provided \$3,838,000 above the President's request for the Board of Veterans Appeals [BVA] and \$3,220,000 above the President's request for the Office of the General Counsel [GC]. The Committee has also provided an additional \$1,000,000 for the Office of Public and Intergovermental Affairs to implement a new initiative for better outreach to veterans. As the Department hires more claims processors, the num-

ber of expected appeals will increase. Thus the additional funding will provide both the BVA and GC with increased personnel to handle these appeals.

GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES

[In thousands of dollars]

Department	Fiscal year 2009 request	Committee recommendation
Office of the Secretary	8,530	8,530
Board of Veterans Appeals	64,744	68,582
Office of the General Counsel	69,739	72,959
Office of Management	36,946	38,146
Office of Human Resources and Administration	61,901	61,901
Office of Policy and Planning	14,602	14,602
Office of Security and Preparedness	12,025	12,025
Office of Public and Intergovernmental Affairs	10,005	11,005
Office of Congressional Affairs	4,379	4,379
Office of Construction and Facilities Management	45,243	45,243
Veterans Benefit Administration	1,371,753	1,441,753
Total	1,699,867	1,779,125

Franchise Fund.—The Franchise Fund was established in 1997 as a pilot program and made permanent in fiscal year 2006 under Public Law 109–114. The Committee directs the Department to provide a report on the Franchise Fund's business plan for fiscal year 2009. This plan should include a list of services, customers, overhead expenses, funds collected for services, and the unobligated balance from the previous fiscal year. The VA shall submit this report to the Committees on Appropriations in both Houses of Congress no later than 60 days following enactment of this act.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Appropriations, 2008	\$80,500,000
Budget estimate, 2009	76,500,000
Committee recommendation	93,900,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Office of Inspector General was established by the Inspector General Act of the 1978 and is responsible for the audit and investigation and inspections of all Department of Veterans Affairs programs and operations.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$93,900,000 for the Office of Inspector General. This is \$13,400,000 above the fiscal year 2008 enacted level and \$17,400,000 above the budget request.

The President's request proposes to cut funding for the Office of Inspector General [OIG]. This reduction in funding would cause the OIG to reduce its staff by 48 positions, resulting in fewer national audits and health care inspections. The Committee rejects the proposed reduction and provides sufficient funding for the OIG to maintain current services plus an additional \$4,500,000 to provide cyclical reviews of individual VBA Regional Offices to assess quality assurance of benefit claims processing, focusing on timeliness,

accuracy, reduction of backlogs, productivity, training, and transition assistance for veterans of the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan.

CONSTRUCTION, MAJOR PROJECTS

Appropriations, 2008 ¹	\$1,069,100,000
Budget estimate, 2009	581,582,000
Committee recommendation	1.217.747.000

¹Excludes \$396,377,000 in emergency supplemental funding included in Public Law 110-252.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The "Construction, major projects" account provides for constructing, altering, extending, and improving any of the facilities (including parking projects) under the jurisdiction or for the use of the VA, including planning, architectural and engineering services, Capital Asset Realignment Enhanced Services [CARES] activities, assessment, and site acquisition where the estimated cost of a project is more than the amount set forth in 38 U.S.C. section 8104(a)(3)(A). Proceeds realized from Enhanced Use Lease activities may be deposited into the "Construction, major projects" and "Construction, minor projects" accounts.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,217,747,000 for the construction of major projects. This is \$148,647,000 above the fiscal year 2008 enacted level and \$636,165,000 above the budget request. While the Committee has continued its practice of not earmarking major construction projects not requested in the budget submission, the Committee is extremely disappointed in the budget request for major construction. The Department's 5-Year Capital Plan outlines a capital construction need for medical facilities of over \$5,500,000,000. Yet the President's budget request for medical facility construction for the Veterans Health Administration totals only \$397,652,000, less than one-tenth of the requirement.

The Department is expected to identify additional capital requirements in fiscal year 2009, due to pending decisions from the Capital Asset Realignment for Enhanced Services process. This is likely to add additional major construction projects to an already largely unfunded list and create an even greater backlog of requirements. Therefore, the Committee has included an additional \$636,165,000 within the "Construction, Major Projects" account to accelerate funding for the 5-Year Capital Plan and to address necessary cost adjustments for previously appropriated projects. Based on the VA's 5-Year Capital Plan and the Department's process for designing and developing projects, the Committee has determined that this increased level of funding can be executed in fiscal year 2009 and is sufficient to complete planning and design for all of the projects in the current plan, address cost adjustments, and initiate construction and land acquisition activities on a number of projects. Within 45 days of enactment of this act, the Department is directed to provide the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress with a detailed expenditure plan of how these funds will be allocated, prior to obligation.

The following table reflects the President's budget request for major construction projects and activities, and the corresponding Committee recommendations. The table does not include the additional funding recommended by the Committee to accelerate execution of the 5-Year Capital Plan.

[In thousands of dollars]

Location and description	2009 request	Committee recommendation
Veterans Health Administration [VHA]:		
Denver, CO—New Medical Facility	20,000	20,000
Orlando, FL—New Medical Facility	120,000	120,000
San Juan, PR—Seismic Corrections Building	64,400	64,400
Lee County, FL—Outpatient Clinic	111,412	111,412
St. Louis, MO—Medical Facility Improvements and Cemetery Expansion	5,000	5,000
Bay Pines, FL—Inpatient/Outpatient Improvements	17,430	17,430
Tampa, FL—Polytrauma Expansion and Bed Tower Upgrades 1	21,120	
Palo Alto, CA—Centers for Ambulatory Care and Polytrauma Rehabilitation		
Center 1	38,290	
Advanced Planning Fund—Various Locations	40,000	40,000
Asbestos and Other Airborne Contaminates—Various Locations	3,000	3,000
BRAC Land Acquisitions—Various Locations	5,000	5,000
Claims Analyses—Various Locations	2,000	2,000
Facility Security Projects—Various Locations	11,930	11,930
Hazardous Waste Abatement—Various Locations	2,000	2,000
Judgment Fund—Various Locations	10,000	10,000
Sustainability and Energy	5,000	5,000
Sale of VA Assets	- 5,000	- 5,000
Total VHA	471,582	412,172
National Cemetery Administration [NCA]:		
Puerto Rico National Cemetery—Gravesite Expansion and Cemetery Improve-		
ments	33,900	33,900
Massachusetts National Cemetery—Gravesite Expansion and Cemetery Im-		
provements	20,500	20,500
Calverton, NY National Cemetery—Gravesite Expansion and Cemetery Improve-		
ments	29,000	29,000
Advanced Planning Fund—Various Locations	6,000	6,000
NCA Land Acquisition—Various Locations	5,000	5,000
Sustainability and Energy—Various Locations	10,600	10,600
Total NCA	105,000	105,000
General Administration—Advanced Planning Fund	5,000	5,000
Total construction, major projects	581,582	522,172

¹Funding for polytrauma rehabilitation center construction was provided in Public Law 110-252.

Capital Plan.

Beckley, West Virginia, Nursing Home.—The Committee urges the VA to include in the President's fiscal year 2010 budget sufficient funding for the construction of a 90-bed nursing home and adult day care center at the Beckley, West Virginia, VA Medical Center, which is included in the current VA 5-Year Capital Plan. Martinsburg, West Virginia, Medical Center.—The Committee urges the VA to include in the President's fiscal year 2010 budget \$3,560,000 for planning and design work associated with the renovation and expansion of the primary, mental health, and specialty outpatient care facility at the Martinsburg, West Virginia, VA Medical Center, which is included in the February 2005 VA 5-Year

Walla Walla, Washington, VA Medical Center Outpatient Clinic.—The Committee is aware of the substantial number of veterans served by the Walla Walla, Washington, VA Medical Center and is supportive of the VA's decision to approve construction of an outpatient clinic in Walla Walla as part of the CARES process. In order to complete the construction of this project in a timely manner, the Committee urges the VA to include design funding for the

clinic in the fiscal year 2010 budget request.

Louisville, Kentucky.—To accelerate the VA's 5 Year Capital Plan, the Committee has recommended an increase in funds for the Major construction account above the administration's fiscal year 2009 request. The Committee is acutely aware of the substantial number of veterans in the Louisville area who are in need of a new hospital. The increase in the overall funding level for the Major construction account will result in additional funds above the President's request. The additional funding is sufficient to accelerate the 5 Year Capital Plan, which includes land acquisition, planning and design for the Louisville VA Medical Center.

Omaha, Nebraska.—The Committee urges the Department to undertake a feasibility study to identify and outline the options available to the VA to remedy the infrastructure deterioration at the current Omaha VA Medical Center [Omaha VAMC], in Omaha,

NE. At a minimum, the report shall include the following:

(1) The feasibility of relocation or reconstruction of the Omaha VAMC, of entering into a partnership with a Federal, State, or local governmental agency, or other suitable entity, including but not limited to the Creighton University School of Medicine and the University of Nebraska Medical Center, for the construction and operation of a new facility;

(2) The medical, legal, and financial implications of each of the options identified, including recommendations regarding any statutory changes necessary for the Department to carry out any of the

options identified;

(3) A detailed cost-benefit analysis of each of the options identi-

fied; and,

(4) Estimates regarding the length of time and associated costs needed to complete such a facility under each of the options identified.

The VA is directed to report back to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress within 180 days after enactment of this act on what steps, if any, have been taken to undertake the feasibility study and any findings, should the study be complete.

CONSTRUCTION, MINOR PROJECTS

Appropriations, 2008	\$630,535,000
Budget estimate, 2009	329,418,000
Committee recommendation	729,418,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The "Construction, minor projects" account provides for constructing, altering, extending, and improving any of the facilities (including parking) under the jurisdiction or for the use of the VA, including planning, CARES activities, assessment of needs, archi-

tectural and engineering services, and site acquisition, where the estimated cost of a project is equal to or less than \$10,000,000. Public Law 106–117, the Veterans Millennium Health Care and Benefits Act of 1999, gave the VA the authority to make capital contributions from minor construction in enhanced-use leases. Proceeds realized from enhanced-use lease activities may be deposited into the "Construction, major projects" and "Construction, minor projects" accounts.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$729,418,000 for minor construction. This is \$98,883,000 above the fiscal year 2008 enacted level and \$400,000,000 above the budget request.

The Committee has included additional funds within the minor construction account to continue the efforts to reduce the backlog of minor construction projects estimated at \$860,000,000 and to begin an effort to modernize and upgrade research facilities. Additionally, of the increase provided within this account, the Committee directs that an additional \$75,000,000 above the budget request be used for gravesite expansion and infrastructure improvements at cemeteries operated by NCA and an additional \$42,000,000 above the budget request be used for minor construction associated with the Veterans Benefits Administration. The Committee directs the Department to submit an expenditure plan by February 25, 2009, to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress for the amount appropriated for minor construction.

Walla Walla, Washington, Mental Health Facility.—The Committee is aware of the great need for mental health care in the region served by the Walla Walla VA campus. The Committee applauds the Department's decision to build a state-of-the-art residential rehabilitation facility focused on mental health at the Walla Walla VA Medical Center and urges the VA to complete the project as soon as possible.

GRANTS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF STATE EXTENDED CARE FACILITIES

Appropriations, 2008	\$165,000,000
Budget estimate, 2009	85,000,000
Committee recommendation	250,000,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

This account is used to provide grants to assist States in acquiring or constructing State home facilities for furnishing domiciliary or nursing home care to veterans, and to expand, remodel or alter existing buildings for furnishing domiciliary, nursing home, or hospital care to veterans in State homes. The grant may not exceed 65 percent of the total cost of the project. Public Law 102–585 granted permanent authority for this program, and Public Law 106–117 provided greater specificity in directing VA to prescribe regulations for the number of beds for which grant assistance may be furnished. This program has been a successful partnership between the States and the VA in meeting the long-term care needs of elderly veterans for decades.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$250,000,000 for Grants for the construction of State extended care facilities. This is \$85,000,000 above the fiscal year 2008 enacted level and \$165,000,000 above the budget request. This program cost-effectively meets long-term health care needs of veterans.

On August 11, 2006, the VA published its interim final rule in the Federal Register setting new regulations regarding grants to States for construction or acquisition of State homes. To date, the Department has not issued its final rule, but has informed the Committee that it is in the final stage of processing. The new regulations set aside 70 percent of all funding appropriated to the Grants for Construction of State Extended Care Facilities account to ensure sufficient funds for life safety projects at existing facilities. The remaining 30 percent is utilized to provide Federal funds for construction of new State extended homes. The Department's fiscal year 2009 budget request proposes an \$80,000,000, or 48 percent, reduction below the fiscal year 2008 enacted level. For fiscal year 2008, the VA's own pending application list shows a need of over \$550,000,000 in State applications falling into priority group one and a total universe of pending applications totaling almost \$990,000,000. The Committee sees no rationale for cutting this program, given the need for safety requirements at existing facilities and the need for new State extended homes. Therefore, the Committee recommends additional funding within this account to assist the Department with life safety and facility construction grants.

Walla Walla, Washington, Long-Term Care Facility.—The Committee is aware of the collaborative efforts of the Walla Walla VA Medical Center and the Washington State Department of Veterans Affairs to meet the long term care needs of veterans in southeast Washington and northern Oregon by building a long-term care facility on the Walla Walla VA Medical Center grounds. The Committee supports the creation of a jointly operated veterans' home in Walla Walla and encourages the VA to promptly review this application, and if appropriate, place the project on the priority list for funding from the Grants for Construction of State Extended Care Facilities account in fiscal year 2009.

GRANTS FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF STATE VETERANS CEMETERIES

Appropriations, 2008	\$39,500,000
Budget estimate, 2009	32,000,000
Committee recommendation	42,000,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Public Law 105–368 amended title 38 U.S.C. section 2408 and established authority to provide aid to States for establishment, expansion, and improvement of State veterans cemeteries which are operated and permanently maintained by the States. This statutory change increased the maximum Federal share from 50 percent to 100 percent in order to fund construction costs and the initial equipment expenses when the cemetery is established. The States remain responsible for providing the land and for paying all costs

related to the operation and maintenance of the State cemeteries, including the costs for subsequent equipment purchases.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$42,000,000 for Grants for the construction of State veterans cemeteries. This is \$2,500,000 above the fiscal year 2008 enacted level and \$10,000,000 above the budget request.

The Committee is aware that pending applications for improvement or expansion of existing State cemeteries or establishment of new State cemeteries totals almost \$168,000,000 which reflects planned obligations in fiscal year 2008. The Committee has included additional funding to ensure that State cemeteries are maintained at the highest level and capacity exists for future burials and to expedite the backlog of applications.

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS

Appropriations, 2008 ¹	\$1,966,465,000
Budget estimate, 2009	2,442,066,000
Committee recommendation	2,471,166,000

¹Excludes \$20,000,000 in emergency supplemental funding provided in Public Law 110-252.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Information Technology [IT] Systems account was created in Public Law 109–114, the Military Quality of Life and Veterans Affairs Appropriations Act, 2006, in order to centralize the Department's IT development into one account. The establishment of this account has allowed for better budget planning, control and oversight of VA's IT system development.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee remains very supportive of IT efforts, particularly in the field of claims processing and electronic health records, and recommends an appropriation of \$2,471,166,000 for Information Technology Systems, an increase of \$504,701,000 above the fiscal year 2008 enacted level and \$29,100,000 above the budget request. Of the amounts provided within the IT account, \$1,741,929,000 is for non-pay expenses, including equipment associated with system development, and \$729,237,000 is for staffing and administrative expenses. The Committee directs the Department to continue to track non-pay and pay costs separately in future budget justifications for this account. Within the amounts provided, the Committee directs that \$5,000,000 be utilized for computers and other information technology needs associated with the increase in claims processors for the Veterans Benefits Administration and for increased staff across the VA.

To provide further oversight and monitoring of system development costs, the Committee has included bill language requiring the Department to submit an expenditure plan for the total amount provided, as well as a reprogramming base letter outlining, by project, total costs associated with each development project.

The Committee recommendation includes \$2,000,000 above the President's budget request to be used to address the VA's portion

of the implementation of the military eye injury registry, including costs for information technology needs, to support the Department of Defense's Military Eye Injury Center of Excellence.

Centralization of Information Technology Services.—The Committee is concerned that the centralization of information technology services in the Department is not meeting the intended objectives of the centralization approved by Congress. Effective delivery of health care in the 21st century demands that hospitals and clinics have state-of-the-art information technology systems. The repeated reprogramming requests from other departmental accounts to the Information Technology Systems account leads the Committee to believe the Department has not established an effective process for identifying its information technology needs, particularly those at the medical center level. The increasing amount of funds being transferred into the Information Technology Systems account also calls into question whether any efficiencies have been realized from the centralization. Additionally, the Committee has repeatedly heard complaints that the Office of Information and Technology has not established standardized policies and procedures for requesting and receiving information technology services, equipment, and development and has not established a clear organizational structure. Accordingly, the Committee directs the Department to conduct a study of the centralization to date to examine the degree to which efficiencies have been realized; standard policies and procedures for requesting information technology services, equipment, and development have been implemented; and a clear organizational structure, including leadership positions and functional description has been implemented. The results of the study should be reported to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress no later than 180 days from enactment of this act.

Financial and Logistics Integrated Technology Enterprise /FLITE].—The Committee has included bill language to make available \$67,200,000 for the Financial and Logistics Integrated Technology Enterprise [FLITE] program in fiscal year 2009. The FLITE program is a multi-year initiative to replace the existing financial and logistics systems of the Department of Veterans Affairs [VA] in order to remediate a longstanding material weakness in the Department's financial statements. Successful implementation of FLITE will integrate and standardize data and processes across all VA offices, provide management with access to timely and accurate information on VA operations, and establish an advanced technology environment for accounting and financial reporting.

The Committee remains highly supportive of FLITE and has been pleased by the program's defined scope and its realistic schedule and cost. The Committee also applauds the Department for taking important steps toward the implementation of FLITE on a nationwide scale, including the hiring of a dedicated program director and the adoption of a functional governance framework. This management structure provides a new and necessary level of accountability for this crucial initiative and is the first VA information technology project with a rigorous project management discipline. However, the Committee remains deeply concerned that the VA is not providing sufficient funding for FLITE. For fiscal years 2008

and 2009, internal budget cuts of nearly \$23,000,000 have lengthened the program schedule by 18 months and put the nationwide implementation of the program in jeopardy. Given the failure of the VA's last financial management initiative in Core FLS, the Committee believes it is important to adequately fund this new initiative to ensure its success. Therefore, the Committee has included bill language that restores these internal budget cuts and directs the Department to ensure that the FLITE program is completed

and implemented on its original schedule.

e-Travel.—The Committee commends the Department of Veterans Affairs commitment to improving the electronic travel system but is increasingly concerned with the funding of e-Travel within the Department. The budget justification has not yet provided demonstrable evidence that the services provided under the current e-Travel contract with FedTraveler, namely economies of scale in booking, approving, and reimbursing travel expenses, have saved the Department money. Given that this system is relatively new, and in the early stages of deployment, the estimated savings are still projections. The Department's fiscal year 2009 budget request includes funding amounts for the following two e-Travel programs: \$1,365,000 for VA-wide e-Travel and \$1,340,000 for e-Gov: e-Travel, yet there seems to be little distinction between the two programs, and both items go to the same account, creating an additional burden on the execution of these funds. The Committee notes that this program charges Government travelers a variety of fees for each trip, including a self-service booking fee of \$10.03 per transaction, an assisted-service booking fee of \$29.95 per transaction, and an accounting fee of \$10.64 per transaction for creating an expense report for each trip, with no discussion in the Department's budget justification. The Committee also understands that the FedTraveler system does not accommodate group travel and that each member of the group must make individual transactions, creating an additional workload and missing an opportunity for cost savings to the Department.

The Committee, therefore, encourages the VA to work with the General Services Administration to reassess the various fees allowed under the base contract and the potential savings associated with adding the group travel functionality to the system. In addition, the Committee directs the Department to combine the two funding line items into the "VA-wide e-Travel" line in the IT base reprogramming letter and in all subsequent budget submissions.

Reprogramming Requirements.—The Committee is growing increasingly concerned that the Office of Information and Technology [OIT] does not have sufficient financial controls to properly manage the large increases to its "Information Technology" account. In November 2005, the Committee mandated OIT obtain the consent of the Committees on Appropriations of both houses of Congress when exceeding the agreed-upon spending plan by more than \$1,000,000. However, in OIT's fiscal year 2007 obligation report, which compares the "Information Technology" account's initial spending plan to end-of-year obligations, it appears the Office mismanaged the allocation of its fiscal year 2007 IT appropriation. Of nearly 50 budget lines in the base reprogramming letter the VA submitted to Congress on April 10, 2007, the Office exceeded the \$1,000,000 thresh-

old in almost half of them, including 12 instances of exceeding the threshold by \$5,000,000 or more and 6 instances of exceeding the threshold by \$15,000,000 or more. Although OIT did not exceed its total budget authority of \$1,200,000,000, the frequency and amount of these errors in transaction activity signify a severe lack of internal financial controls and discipline. In fact, in a letter dated May 13, 2008, the Assistant Secretary for Information and Technology notified this Committee, after the fact, that they had disregarded the notification requirements and exceeded the reprogramming threshold "in several instances due to a lack of planning and insufficient financial controls". The Committee notes its extreme displeasure that the Office of Information Technology has not followed the agreed-upon processes and believes that more oversight is needed to ensure compliance with congressional directives and adherence to the established reprogramming guidelines. Therefore, the Committee directs the Office of Information Technology to track IT expenditures on a monthly basis and to report these findings to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress. The Committee reminds the Office of Information Technology that, as in the past, the accompanying act contains a statutory provision mandating the requirement for an IT base reprogramming letter and an administrative provision outlining the \$1,000,000 reprogramming threshold.

OneVA Portal Pilot.—The Committee is aware of the Department of Veterans Affairs pilot program that will initially focus on the creation of a unified veteran-focused information architecture and site design, identity management, and single sign-on to the existing VA web systems. The OneVA Portal Pilot will provide an easy solution for Veterans to access information about their entitled benefits and to ensure that this solution has the capability to deliver these types of value added services in a secure manner by providing strong authentication of those Veterans using the OneVA Portal. The Committee supports the budget request for this pilot project.

Administrative Provisions

SEC. 201. The Committee includes a provision which outlines reprogramming authority and responsibilities for the Veterans Benefits Administration.

Sec. 202. The Committee includes a provision which outlines reprogramming authority and responsibilities for the Veterans Health Administration.

SEC. 203. The Committee includes a provision which outlines the use of the "Salaries and expenses" account.

SEC. 204. The Committee includes a provision mandating that only construction funds may be used for land procurement.

SEC. 205. The Committee includes a provision allowing for reim-

bursements to the "Medical services" account.

SEC. 206. The Committee includes a provision allowing for payments of prior year obligations.

SEC. 207. The Committee includes a provision which allows for the use of fiscal year 2008 funds for prior year obligations.

SEC. 208. The Committee includes a provision which allows for payments from the National Service Life Insurance Fund.

SEC. 209. The Committee includes a provision which outlines the

use of funds from enhanced-use lease proceeds.

SEC. 210. The Committee includes a provision which provides for funds for the Office of Resolution Management and the Office of Employment Discrimination Complaint Adjudication.

Sec. 211. The Committee includes a provision which sets a limit

on new leases without congressional approval.

SEC. 212. The Committee includes a provision which requires dis-

closure of third party reimbursement information.

SEC. 213. The Committee includes a provision which allows for the transfer of revenue derived from enhanced use leases into the construction accounts.

SEC. 214. The Committee includes a provision which outlines authorized uses for "Medical services" funds.

SEC. 215. The Committee includes a provision which allows funds in the Medical Care Collection Fund to be transferred into the "Medical services" account.

SEC. 216. The Committee includes a provision which allows eligible veterans in the State of Alaska to obtain medical care services.

SEC. 217. The Committee includes a provision which allows for the transfer of funds into the construction accounts.

SEC. 218. The Committee includes a provision prohibiting the Department from implementing a national standardized contract for diabetes monitoring equipment.

SEC. 219. The Committee includes a provision which allows for

outreach and marketing to enroll new veterans.

SEC. 220. The Committee includes a provision requiring the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to submit quarterly financial reports on the Veterans Health Administration.

SEC. 221. The Committee includes a provision outlining transfer authority to the "Information technology systems" account.

SEC. 222. The Committee includes a provision outlining limits on transfers within the "Information technology systems" account.

SEC. 223. The Committee includes a provision allowing for the transfer of any prior year balances and/or credits in the "Reinstated entitlement program for survivors" account to the "Compensation and pensions" account.

SEC. 224. The Committee includes a provision maintaining re-

search for gulf war illness.

SEC. 225. The Committee includes a provision allowing the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to transfer limited funds to the Department of Health and Human Services, Graduate Psychology Education Program.

SEC. 226. The Committee includes a provision prohibiting any funds to be used to contract out any functions performed by more than 10 employees without a fair competition process.

SEC. 227. The Committee includes a provision authorizing the

lease of a major medical facility.

SEC. 228. The Committee includes a provision extending the effective date of section 1710 of title 38, United States Code which allows for the collection of co-payments for certain services.

SEC. 229. The Committee includes a provision extending the effective date of section 1729 of title 38, United States Code which provides for third party collections.

SEC. 230. The Committee includes a provision limiting the amount of non-recurring maintenance funds that can be obligated during the last 2 months of the fiscal year.

TITLE III

RELATED AGENCIES

AMERICAN BATTLE MONUMENTS COMMISSION

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The American Battle Monuments Commission [ABMC] is responsible for the following: the maintenance and construction of U.S. monuments and memorials commemorating the achievements in battle of our Armed Forces since April 1917 (the date of the United States entry into World War I); the erection of monuments and markers by U.S. citizens and organizations in foreign countries; and the design, construction, and maintenance of permanent military cemetery memorials in foreign countries. The Commission maintains 24 military memorial cemeteries and 31 monuments, memorials, and markers in 15 countries around the world, including three memorials on U.S. soil. It is presently charged with erecting an Interpretive Center at the Normandy American Cemetery, Normandy, France.

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriations, 2008	\$44,600,000
Budget estimate, 2009	47,470,000
Committee recommendation	59,470,000

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$59,470,000 for the "Salaries and expenses" account. This amount is \$14,870,000 above the fiscal year 2008 enacted level and \$12,000,000 above the budget request. The additional funding will provide ABMC with the resources necessary to accelerate the Interpretive Center Program, address maintenance that was deferred in fiscal year 2008, and initiate plans to stabilize the Pointe du Hoc Observation Bunker. The Committee directs the ABMC to submit a report to the Committees on Appropriations by February 28, 2009, detailing maintenance and infrastructure requirements at all ABMC memorials.

FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATIONS

Appropriations, 2008	\$11,000,000
Budget estimate, 2009	17,100,000
Committee recommendation	17,100,000

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends an estimated \$17,100,000 for the "Foreign currency fluctuation" account. This amount is \$6,100,000 above the fiscal year 2008 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

The Committee commends the Commission's handling of the Foreign Currency Fluctuation Account. In the past, the Commission has accurately managed this account, but today's volatile global economy and weak dollar have made this process difficult at best. Any drastic swings in the world's currency exchange impact the Commission's ability to hire host nation maintenance support to maintain American cemeteries abroad. Unwilling to risk the Commission's ability to maintain those cemeteries to the highest of standards, the Committee has included language in the accompanying bill, as proposed by the administration, that would allow funding for this account on a "such sums as necessary" basis. Funding this account in this manner will allow the Commission to maintain cemeteries regardless of the volatility of foreign currency fluctuations.

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR VETERANS CLAIMS

OVERVIEW

The U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims was established by the Veterans' Judicial Review Act of 1988. The Court is an independent judicial tribunal with exclusive jurisdiction to review decisions of the Board of Veterans' Appeals. It has the authority to decide all relevant questions of law; interpret constitutional, statutory, and regulatory provisions; and determine the meaning or applicability of the terms of an action by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs. It is authorized to compel action by the Secretary. It is authorized to hold unconstitutional or otherwise unlawful and set aside decisions, findings, conclusions, rules and regulations issued or adopted by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, the Board of Veterans' Appeals, or the Chairman of the Board that are found to be arbitrary or capricious. The Court's principle office location is Washington, District of Columbia; however, it is a national court, empowered to sit anywhere in the United States.

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriations, 2008	\$22,717,000
Budget estimate, 2009	23,975,000
Committee recommendation	23,975,000

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$23,975,000 for the "U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims". This amount is an increase of \$1,258,000 above the fiscal year 2008 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL

CEMETERIAL EXPENSES, ARMY

OVERVIEW

The Secretary of the Army is responsible for the administration, operation and maintenance of Arlington National Cemetery and the Soldiers' and Airmen's Home National Cemetery. In addition to its principal function as a national cemetery, Arlington is the site

of approximately 3,200 non-funeral ceremonies each year and has approximately 4 million visitors annually.

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriations, 2008	\$31,230,000
Budget estimate, 2009	31,230,000
Committee recommendation	42,230,000

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$42,230,000 for the "Cemeterial expenses, Army" account. This amount is \$11,000,000 above the fiscal year 2008 enacted level and \$11,000,000 above the budget request.

The Committee has provided the additional funding to accelerate gravesite expansion, known as the Millennium Project, and to continue the program to realign Government-issued headstones.

ARMED FORCES RETIREMENT HOME

TRUST FUND

Appropriations, 2008	63,010,000
GENERAL FUND	
Appropriations, 2008	\$800,000

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Armed Forces Retirement Home account provides funds to operate and maintain the Armed Forces Retirement Home—Washington, District of Columbia, and the Armed Forces Retirement Home—Gulfport, Mississippi. These two facilities provide medical and domiciliary care and other authorized benefits for the relief and support of certain retired and former military personnel of the Armed Forces.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends authority to expend \$63,010,000 from the Armed Forces Retirement Home Trust Fund to operate and maintain the Armed Forces Retirement Home—Washington, District of Columbia, and the Armed Forces Retirement Home—Gulfport, Mississippi. This amount is \$7,286,000 above the fiscal year 2008 enacted level for the Trust Fund and equal to the budget request.

TITLE IV

GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 401. The Committee includes a provision that prohibits the obligation of funds beyond the current fiscal year unless expressly so provided.
SEC. 402. The Committee includes a provision that requires pay

raises to be absorbed within the levels appropriated.

SEC. 403. The Committee includes a provision that prohibits the use of funds for programs, projects or activities not in compliance with Federal law relating to risk assessment, the protection of private property rights, or unfunded mandates.

SEC. 404. The Committee includes a provision that prohibits the use of funds to support or defeat legislation pending before Con-

SEC. 405. The Committee includes a provision that encourages

the expansion of E-Commerce technologies and procedures.

SEC. 406. The Committee includes a provision that limits funds from being transferred from this appropriations measure to any instrumentality of the United States Government without authority from an appropriations act.

SEC. 407. The Committee includes a provision that specifies the congressional committees that are to receive all reports and notifi-

cations.

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 7, RULE XVI, OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Paragraph 7 of rule XVI requires that Committee reports accompanying general appropriations bills identify each recommended amendment which proposes an item of appropriation which is not made to carry out the provisions of an existing law, a treaty stipulation, or an act or resolution previously passed by the Senate during that session. The Committee is filing an original bill, which is not covered under this rule, but reports this information in the spirit of full disclosure. The Committee recommends funding for the following programs which currently lack authorization:

Title I: Department of Defense

Military Construction, Army

Military Construction, Navy and Marine Corps

Military Construction, Air Force

Military Construction, Defense-Wide

Military Construction, Army National Guard

Military Construction, Air National Guard

Military Construction, Army Reserve Military Construction, Navy Reserve

Military Construction, Air Force Reserve

North Atlantic Treaty Organization, Security Investment Pro-

Family Housing Construction, Army

Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Army

Family Housing Construction, Navy and Marine Corps

Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps

Family Housing Construction, Air Force

Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide

Department of Defense, Family Housing Improvement Fund Homeowners Assistance Fund

Chemical Demilitarization Construction, Defense-Wide

Base Realignment and Closure Account, 1990

Base Realignment and Closure Account, 2005

Title II: Department of Veterans Affairs

Veterans Health Administration

National Cemetery Administration

Departmental Administration

Title III: Related Agencies

American Battle Monuments Commission U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims

Cemeterial Expenses, Army

Armed Forces Retirement Home

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 7(c), RULE XXVI OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Pursuant to paragraph 7(c) of rule XXVI, on July 17, 2008, the Committee ordered reported an original bill (S. 3301) making appropriations for military construction, the Department of Veterans Affairs, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2009, and for other purposes, and authorized the chairman of the committee or the chairman of the subcommittee to offer the text of the Senate bill as a committee amendment in the nature of a substitute to the House companion measure, with the bill subject to amendment and subject to the budget allocations, by a recorded vote of 29–0, a quorum being present. The vote was as follows:

s Nays

Chairman Byrd

Mr. Inouye

Mr. Leahy

Mr. Harkin

Ms. Mikulski

Mr. Kohl

Mrs. Murray

Mr. Dorgan

Mrs. Feinstein

Mr. Durbin

Mr. Johnson

Ms. Landrieu

Mr. Reed

Mr. Lautenberg

Mr. Nelson

Mr. Cochran

Mr. Stevens

Mr. Specter

Mr. Domenici

Mr. Bond

Mr. McConnell

Mr. Shelby

Mr. Gregg

Mr. Bennett

Mr. Craig

Mrs. Hutchison

Mr. Brownback

Mr. Allard

Mr. Alexander

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 12, RULE XXVI OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Paragraph 12 of rule XXVI requires that Committee reports on a bill or joint resolution repealing or amending any statute or part of any statute include "(a) the text of the statute or part thereof which is proposed to be repealed; and (b) a comparative print of that part of the bill or joint resolution making the amendment and of the statute or part thereof proposed to be amended, showing by stricken-through type and italics, parallel columns, or other appropriate typographical devices the omissions and insertions which would be made by the bill or joint resolution if enacted in the form recommended by the committee."

TITLE 38—VETERANS' BENEFITS

PART II—GENERAL BENEFITS

CHAPTER 17—HOSPITAL, NURSING HOME

CHAPTER 17—HOSPITAL, NURSING HOME, DOMICILIARY, AND MEDICAL CARE							
	SUBCH DOMIC	APTER ULIARY	II—HOSI CARE A	PITAL, N ND MED	URSING DICAL TE	HOME, REATME	OR NT
	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
§ 17	710. Eligi ciliary ca (a)(1) * *	are	or hosp	ital, nu	rsing h	ome, ar	nd domi-
	* (f)(1) * *		*	*	*	*	*
	amount e	* * efore [S qual to and \$5	$$10$ for ϵ	every day	the vet	eran rec	* 2009, an eives hoses nursing
§ 17	* 729. Recoverage care and (a)(1)* *	service		* ted Stat	* es of th	* e cost o	* of certain
	* (2)* * * (A)*	*	*	*	*	*	*
							* ore [Octo- a veteran

BUDGETARY IMPACT OF BILL

PREPARED IN CONSULTATION WITH THE CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE PURSUANT TO SEC. 308(a), PUBLIC LAW 93–344, AS AMENDED

[In millions of dollars]

	Budget	authority	Outlays	
	Committee allocation	Amount of bill	Committee allocation	Amount of bill
Comparison of amounts in the bill with Committee allocations to its subcommittees of amounts in the Budget Resolution for 2009: Subcommittee on Military Construction, Department of Veterans Affairs and Related Agencies:				
Mandatory	44,374	44,374	44,134	1 44,134
Discretionary	73,000	73,000	67,177	¹ 67,010
Projection of outlays associated with the recommendation:				
2009				² 88,119
2010				15,099
2011				8,582
2012				3,189
2013 and future years				2,255
Financial assistance to State and local governments for				
2009	NA NA	1,055	NA	671

NA: Not applicable.

 $^{^{1}\,\}mbox{lncludes}$ outlays from prior-year budget authority. $^{2}\,\mbox{Excludes}$ outlays from prior-year budget authority.

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
ALABAMA			
ARMY:			
ANNISTON ARMY DEPOT: POWERTRAIN TRANSMISSION REPAIR FACILITY SMALL ARMS REPAIR SHOP—DEPOT LEVEL	27,000 18,000	27,000 18,000	
AIR FORCE: MAXWELL AFB:	,		
ARR & SPACE BASIC COURSE COMBAT ARMS TNG FACIL- ITY ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:	15,556	15,556	
FORT MCCLELLAN: MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE	3,000	3,000	
ARMY RESERVE: REDSTONE ARSENAL:			
SYSTEM SOFTWARE ENGINEERING ANNEX, PHASE 3		16,500	+16,500
TOTAL, ALABAMA	63,556	80,056	+ 16,500
ALASKA			
ARMY:			
FORT RICHARDSON: CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTERFORT WAINWRIGHT:	15,000	15,000	
BARRACKS COMPLEX	63,000	63,000	
ORGANIZATIONAL VEHICLE PARKING	14,000	14,000	
PEDESTRIAN ACCESS BRIDGE TACTICAL VEHICLE WASH FACILITY	21 000	2,950	+ 2,950
TRAINING AIDS SUPPORT CENTER	21,000 12,400	21,000 12,400	
AIR FORCE:		,	
ELMENDORF AFB:			
C-17 RESTORE ROAD F-22 AEROSPACE GROUND EQUIP SHOP	2,000 7,200	2,000 7,200	
F-22 CORROSING CTRL/LO MX/COMPOSITE REPAIR FA- CILITY	22,400	22,400	
F-22 FLIGHT SIMULATOR	16,400	16,400	
F-22A 7 BAY AIRCRAFT SHELTER	20,400	20,400	
F–22A 8 BAY AIRCRAFT SHELTER F–22A FIELD TRAINING DETACHMENT	22,200 6,600	22,200 6,600	
F-22A SQUADRON OPS/AMU 6 BAY HANGAR	41,100	41,100	
DEFENSE-WIDE:	,	,	
FORT RICHARDSON: DENTAL CLINIC ADDITION/ALTERATIONARMY NATIONAL GUARD:	6,300	6,300	
BETHEL ARMORY: BETHEL READINESS CENTER		16,000	+ 16,000
ARMY RESERVE: FORT RICHARDSON: MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE		3,100	+ 3,100
			,
TOTAL, ALASKAARIZONA	270,000	292,050	+ 22,050
ARMY: FORT HUACHUCA:			
UNIT MAINTENANCE FACILITIESYUMA:	11,200	11,200	
RAW SEWAGE LAGOON AND OXIDATION POND	3,800	3,800	

82

ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: CAMP NAVAIO: READINESS CENTER READINESS CENTER READINESS CENTER READINESS CENTER READINESS CENTER PAPAGO MILITARY RESERVATION: READINESS CENTER TOTAL, ARIZONA ARKANSAS AIR NATIONAL GUARD: LITTLE ROCK AFB: REPLACE ENGINE SHOP TOTAL, ARKANSAS AIR NATIONAL GUARD: LITTLE ROCK AFB: REPLACE ENGINE SHOP TOTAL, ARKANSAS AIR NATIONAL GUARD: LITTLE ROCK AFB: REPLACE ENGINE SHOP TOTAL, ARKANSAS ARMY: FORT IRWIN: BARRACKS COMPLEX MILITARY OPERATIONS URBAN TERRAIN, PH 3 PESDIDIO MONTEREY. GENERAL INSTRUCTIONAL BUILDING SIERRA AD: WAYER TREATMENT PLANT 12,400 NAVY: BARSTOW: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS ACMP PENDLETON: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—41 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—33 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—34 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—52 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—62 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—62 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—62 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—62 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—61 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—62 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—61 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—62 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—61 AREA BAC	[iii tilousanus oi u	uliaisj		
Papeled Instruction Facility (MawTs)	Installation and project			recommendation compared with budget estimate
Papeled Instruction Facility (MawTs)	NAVY-			
ARIF FORCE: DAVIS MONTHAN AFB: FIRE/CRASH RESCUE STATION READINESS CENTER FLORINGSS READINESS CENTER READINESS CENTER TOTAL, ARIZONA ARKANSAS AIR NATIONAL GUARD: LITTLE ROCK AFB: REPLACE ENGINE SHOP TOTAL, ARKANSAS ARRACKS COMPLEX CALIFORNIA ARRACKS COMPLEX MILTRAY OPERATIONAL BUILDING SIERRA AD. WATER TREATMENT PLANT BARRACKS COMPLEX WATER TREATMENT PLANT BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—33 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—33 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—362 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—62 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—74 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—75 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—76 AREA BACHELOR				
ARIF FORCE: DAVIS MONTHAN AFB: FIRE/CRASH RESCUE STATION READINESS CENTER FLORINGSS READINESS CENTER READINESS CENTER TOTAL, ARIZONA ARKANSAS AIR NATIONAL GUARD: LITTLE ROCK AFB: REPLACE ENGINE SHOP TOTAL, ARKANSAS ARRACKS COMPLEX CALIFORNIA ARRACKS COMPLEX MILTRAY OPERATIONAL BUILDING SIERRA AD. WATER TREATMENT PLANT BARRACKS COMPLEX WATER TREATMENT PLANT BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—33 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—33 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—362 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—62 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—74 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—75 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—76 AREA BACHELOR		19,490	19,490	
FIRECRASH RESCUE STATION				
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: CAMP NAVAIO: READINESS CENTER READINESS CENTER READINESS CENTER READINESS CENTER READINESS CENTER PAPAGO MILITARY RESERVATION: READINESS CENTER TOTAL, ARIZONA ARKANSAS AIR NATIONAL GUARD: LITTLE ROCK AFB: REPLACE ENGINE SHOP TOTAL, ARKANSAS AIR NATIONAL GUARD: LITTLE ROCK AFB: REPLACE ENGINE SHOP TOTAL, ARKANSAS AIR NATIONAL GUARD: LITTLE ROCK AFB: REPLACE ENGINE SHOP TOTAL, ARKANSAS ARMY: FORT IRWIN: BARRACKS COMPLEX MILITARY OPERATIONS URBAN TERRAIN, PH 3 PESDIDIO MONTEREY. GENERAL INSTRUCTIONAL BUILDING SIERRA AD: WAYER TREATMENT PLANT 12,400 NAVY: BARSTOW: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS ACMP PENDLETON: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—41 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—33 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—34 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—52 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—62 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—62 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—62 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—62 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—61 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—62 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—61 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—62 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—61 AREA BAC				
CAMP NAVIO: READINESS CENTER 13,000 10,000			15,000	+ 15,000
READINESS CENTER FLORENCE: READINESS CENTER PAPAGO MILITARY RESERVATION: READINESS CENTER TOTAL, ARIZONA ARKANSAS AIR NATIONAL GUARD: LITTLE ROCK AFB: REPLACE ENGINE SHOP TOTAL, ARRANSAS ARRANCKS COMPLEX MILITARY OPERATIONS URBAN TERRAIN, PH 3 PRESIDIO MONTEREY: GENERAL INSTRUCTIONAL BUILDING SIERRA AD: WATER TREATMENT PLANT NAVY: BARSTOW: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS CAMP PENDLETON: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—41 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—33 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—43 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—43 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—43 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—43 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—AREA 13 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—AREA 14 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—AREA 13 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—BOLE MAR 21 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—BOLE MAR 21 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HORNO 33 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—BOLE MAR 21 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—BOLE MAR 21 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—BORNO 33 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—SAN MATEO AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—SAN MATEO AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—SOLE MAR 21 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—BOLE MAR 21 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—SOLE MAR 21 A				
FLORENCE: READINESS CENTER 13,800 13,800 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 24,000 36,000		12 000	12 000	
READINESS CENTER		13,000	13,000	
PAPAGO MILITARY RESERVATION: READINESS CENTER 24,000 24,000		13 800	13 800	
READINESS CENTER		10,000	10,000	
ARKANSAS AIR NATIONAL GUARD: LITTLE ROCK AFB: REPLACE ENGINE SHOP TOTAL, ARKANSAS ARMY: FORT IRWIN: BARRACKS COMPLEX MILITARY OPERATIONS URBAN TERRAIN, PH 3 PRESIDIO MONTEREY: GENERAL INSTRUCTIONAL BUILDING SIERRA AD: WATER TREATMENT PLANT NAVY: BARSTOW: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS CAMP PENDLETON. BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—41 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—43 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—43 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—52 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—62 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—62 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—62 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—78 AREA BACHEDOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—81 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—78 AREA BACHEDOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—78		24,000	24,000	
ARKANSAS AIR NATIONAL GUARD: LITTLE ROCK AFB: REPLACE ENGINE SHOP TOTAL, ARKANSAS ARMY: FORT IRWIN: BARRACKS COMPLEX MILITARY OPERATIONS URBAN TERRAIN, PH 3 PRESIDIO MONTEREY: GENERAL INSTRUCTIONAL BUILDING SIERRA AD: WATER TREATMENT PLANT NAVY: BARSTOW: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS CAMP PENDLETON. BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—41 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—43 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—43 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—52 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—62 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—62 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—62 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—78 AREA BACHEDOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—81 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—78 AREA BACHEDOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—78				
ARR NATIONAL GUARD: LITTLE ROCK AFB: REPLACE ENGINE SHOP	TOTAL, ARIZONA	85,290	100,290	+ 15,000
ARR NATIONAL GUARD: LITTLE ROCK AFB: REPLACE ENGINE SHOP	ARKANSAS			
LITTLE ROCK AFB: REPLACE ENGINE SHOP				
REPLACE ENGINE SHOP				
TOTAL, ARKANSAS			4 000	1 4 000
CALIFORNIA ARMY: FORT IRWIN: BARRACKS COMPLEX 17,500 1	NEI ENGL ENGINE SHOT		4,000	1 4,000
CALIFORNIA ARMY: FORT IRWIN: BARRACKS COMPLEX 17,500 1	TOTAL, ARKANSAS		4,000	+4,000
ARMY: FORT IRWIN: BARRACKS COMPLEX MILITARY OPERATIONS URBAN TERRAIN, PH 3 PRESIDIO MONTEREY: GENERAL INSTRUCTIONAL BUILDING SIERRA AD: WATER TREATMENT PLANT NAVY: BARSTOW: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS CAMP PENDLETON: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—41 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—43 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—43 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—62 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—62 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—AREA 13 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—AREA 14 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—AREA 13 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—AREA 14 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—BACHALOR BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—DEL MAR 21 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—AN MATEO AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—SAN MATEO AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—AN MATEO AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—AN AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—AN AREA BACHELO				
FORT IRWIN: BARRACKS COMPLEX MILITARY OPERATIONS URBAN TERRAIN, PH 3 PRESIDIO MONTEREY: GENERAL INSTRUCTIONAL BUILDING SIERRA AD: WATER TREATMENT PLANT NAVY: BARSTOW: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—41 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—33 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—43 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—62 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—62 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—AREA 13 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—AREA 14 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—CHAPPO 22 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—CHAPPO 22 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—DEL MAR 21 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HORNO 13 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HORNO 13 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HORNO 35 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HORNO 35 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—PICO 24 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—DEL MAR 21 AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—SAN MATEO AREA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—SAN M				
BARRACKS COMPLEX				
MILITARY OPERATIONS URBAN TERRAIN, PH 3		17.500	17.500	
PRESIDIO MONTEREY: GENERAL INSTRUCTIONAL BUILDING				
SIERRA AD:		22,100	22,100	
SIERRA AD: WATER TREATMENT PLANT 12,400 12,400 NAVY:		15,000	15,000	
NAVY: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS 7,830 7,830 7,830 CAMP PENDLETON:		13,000	15,000	
NAVY: BARSTOW: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS		12.400	12.400	
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS		12,.00	12,100	
CAMP PENDLETON: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—41 AREA 32,430 32,430 30,300 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—33 AREA 30,300 30,300 30,300 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—43 AREA 15,150 15,150 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—62 AREA 25,920 25,920 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—AREA 13 33,320 33,320 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—AREA 14 32,350 32,350 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—CHAPPO 22 AREA 48,640 48,640 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—DEL MAR 21 AREA 33,190 33,190 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—DEL MAR 21 AREA 33,440 33,440 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HORNO 13 AREA 40,660 40,660 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HORNO 53 AREA 40,660 40,660 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HORNO 53 AREA 34,340 34,340 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—PICO 24 AREA 32,870 32,870 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—PICO 24 AREA 32,260 32,260 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—SAN MATEO AREA 34,100 34,500 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—SAN MATEO AREA 34,120 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—41 AREA 32,430 32,430 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—33 AREA 30,300 30,300 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—43 AREA 15,150 15,150 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—62 AREA 25,920 25,920 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—AREA 13 33,320 33,320 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—AREA 14 32,350 32,350 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—CHAPPO 22 AREA 48,640 48,640 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—DEL MAR 21 AREA 33,190 33,190 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—DEL MAR 21 AREA 33,440 33,440 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HORNO 13 AREA 33,790 33,790 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HORNO 13 AREA 40,660 40,660 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—LAS PULGAS AREA 40,660 40,660 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—PICO 24 AREA 32,870 32,870 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—PICO 24 AREA 32,260 32,260 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—SAN MATEO AREA 34,500 34,500 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—DEL MAR 21 AREA 34,120 34,120 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—MARGARITA 33 33 32,550 BACHELOR E	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	7,830	7,830	
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—33 AREA 30,300 30,300 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—43 AREA 15,150 15,150 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—62 AREA 25,920 25,920 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—AREA 13 33,320 33,320 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—AREA 14 32,350 32,350 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—CHAPPO 22 AREA 48,640 48,640 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—DEL MAR 21 AREA 33,190 33,190 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—DEL MAR 21 AREA 33,440 33,440 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HORNO 13 AREA 33,790 33,790 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HORNO 53 AREA 40,660 40,660 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—LAS PULGAS AREA 34,340 34,340 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—PICO 24 AREA 32,870 32,870 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—PICO 24 AREA 32,260 32,260 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—DEL MAR 21 AREA 34,120 34,120 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—AN MATEO AREA 34,500 34,500 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—MARGARITA 33 33 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—MARGARITA 33 31,170 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—MARGARIT				
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—43 AREA 15,150 15,150 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—62 AREA 25,920 25,920 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—AREA 13 33,320 33,320 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—AREA 14 32,350 32,350 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—CHAPPO 22 AREA 48,640 48,640 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—DEL MAR 21 AREA 33,190 33,190 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HORNO 13 AREA 33,440 33,440 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HORNO 53 AREA 40,660 40,660 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—LAS PULGAS AREA 34,340 34,340 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—PICO 24 AREA 32,870 32,870 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—PICO 24 AREA 32,260 32,260 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—DEL MAR 21 AREA 34,100 34,500 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—SAN MATEO AREA 34,120 34,120 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—MARGARITA 33 33,790 32,550 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—MARGARITA 33 34,120 34,120 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—MARGARITA 33 36,550 32,550				
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—62 AREA 25,920 25,920 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—AREA 13 33,320 33,320 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—AREA 14 32,350 32,350 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—CHAPPO 22 AREA 48,640 48,640 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—DEL MAR 21 AREA 33,190 33,190 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—DEL MAR 21 AREA 33,440 33,440 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HORNO 13 AREA 33,790 33,790 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HORNO 53 AREA 40,660 40,660 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HORNO 53 AREA 34,340 34,340 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—PICO 24 AREA 32,870 32,870 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—PICO 24 AREA 32,260 32,260 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—SAN MATEO AREA 34,500 34,500 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—SAN MATEO AREA 34,120 34,120 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—MARGARITA 33 33,170 31,170 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—MARGARITA 33 34,500 32,550 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—MARGARITA 33 34,120 34,120				
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—AREA 13 33,320 33,320 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—AREA 14 32,350 32,350 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—CHAPPO 22 AREA 48,640 48,640 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—DEL MAR 21 AREA 33,190 33,190 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—DEL MAR 21 AREA 33,440 33,440 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HORNO 13 AREA 33,790 33,790 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HORNO 53 AREA 40,660 40,660 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HORNO 53 AREA 34,340 34,340 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—PICO 24 AREA 32,870 32,870 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—PICO 24 AREA 32,260 32,260 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—SAN MATEO AREA 34,500 34,500 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—DEL MAR 21 AREA 34,120 34,120 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—MARGARITA 33 33,750 32,550 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—MARGARITA 33 31,170 31,170 BEQ—ARMORY, TRAINING FACILITY, SOI 52 AREA 54,730 54,730 CONSOLIDATED COMMYELEC MAINTENANCE & STORAGE 10,050 10,050 CORROSION CONTROL WATER TREATMENT FACILITY 52,520 52,				
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—AREA 14 32,350 32,350 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—CHAPPO 22 AREA 48,640 48,640 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—DEL MAR 21 AREA 33,190 33,190 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—DEL MAR 21 AREA 33,440 33,440 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HORNO 13 AREA 33,790 33,790 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HORNO 53 AREA 40,660 40,660 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—LAS PULGAS AREA 34,340 34,340 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—PICO 24 AREA 32,870 32,870 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—PICO 24 AREA 34,500 34,500 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—DEL MAR 21 AREA 34,500 34,500 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—DEL MAR 21 AREA 34,120 34,120 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—MARGARITA 33 33,170 31,170 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—MARGARITA 33 31,170 31,170 BEQ—ARMORY, TRAINING FACILITY, SOI 52 AREA 54,730 54,730 CONSOLIDATED COMM/ELEC MAINTENANCE & STORAGE 10,050 10,050 CORROSION CONTROL WATER TREATMENT FACILITY 52,520 52,520				
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—CHAPPO 22 AREA 48,640 48,640 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—DEL MAR 21 AREA 33,190 33,190 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—DEL MAR 21 AREA 33,440 33,440 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HORNO 13 AREA 33,790 33,790 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HORNO 53 AREA 40,660 40,660 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—LAS PULGAS AREA 34,340 34,340 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—PICO 24 AREA 32,870 32,870 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—PICO 24 AREA 32,260 32,260 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—SAN MATEO AREA 34,500 34,500 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—DEL MAR 21 AREA 34,120 34,120 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—MARGARITA 33 33,250 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—MARGARITA 33 32,250 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—MARGARITA 33 31,170 31,170 BEQ—ARMORY, TRAINING FACILITY, SOI 52 AREA 54,730 54,730 CONSOLIDATED COMM/ELEC MAINTENANCE & STORAGE 10,050 10,050 CORROSION CONTROL WATER TREATMENT FACILITY 52,520 52,520				
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—DEL MAR 21 AREA 33,190 33,190 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—DEL MAR 21 AREA 33,440 33,440 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HORNO 13 AREA 33,790 33,790 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HORNO 53 AREA 40,660 40,660 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—LAS PULGAS AREA 34,340 34,340 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—PICO 24 AREA 32,870 32,870 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—PICO 24 AREA 32,260 32,260 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—SAN MATEO AREA 34,500 34,500 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—DEL MAR 21 AREA 34,120 34,120 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—MARGARITA 33 34,500 32,550 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—MARGARITA 33 33,170 31,170 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—MARGARITA 33 33,170 31,170 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—MARGARITA 33 33,170 31,170 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—MARGARITA 33 34,200 34,200 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—MARGARITA 33 34,120 34,120 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—MARGARITA 33 35,550 32,550 BACHEL				
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—DEL MAR 21 AREA 33,440 33,440 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HORNO 13 AREA 33,790 33,790 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HORNO 53 AREA 40,660 40,660 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HORNO 53 AREA 34,340 34,340 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—PICO 24 AREA 32,870 32,870 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—PICO 24 AREA 32,260 32,260 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—SAN MATEO AREA 34,500 34,500 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—DEL MAR 21 AREA 34,120 34,120 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS, SAN MATEO AREA 32,550 32,550 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS, SAN MATEO AREA 32,550 32,550 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS, MARGARITA 33 33,170 31,170 BEQ—ARMORY, TRAINING FACILITY, SOI 52 AREA 54,730 54,730 CONSOLIDATED COMM/ELEC MAINTENANCE & STORAGE 10,050 10,050 CORROSION CONTROL WATER TREATMENT FACILITY 52,520 52,520				
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HORNO 13 AREA 33,790 33,790 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HORNO 53 AREA 40,660 40,660 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—LAS PULGAS AREA 34,340 34,340 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—PICO 24 AREA 32,870 32,870 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—PICO 24 AREA 32,260 32,260 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—SAN MATEO AREA 34,500 34,500 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—DEL MAR 21 AREA 34,120 34,120 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—MARGARITA 33 32,550 32,550 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—MARGARITA 33 31,170 31,170 BEQ—ARMORY, TRAINING FACILITY, SOI 52 AREA 54,730 54,730 CONSOLIDATED COMM/ELEC MAINTENANCE & STORAGE 10,050 10,050 CORROSION CONTROL WATER TREATMENT FACILITY 52,520 52,520				
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HORNO 53 AREA		,		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—LAS PULGAS AREA 34,340 34,340 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—PICO 24 AREA 32,870 32,870 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—PICO 24 AREA 32,260 32,260 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—SAN MATEO AREA 34,500 34,500 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—DEL MAR 21 AREA 34,120 34,120 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS, SAN MATEO AREA 32,550 32,550 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—MARGARITA 33 31,170 31,170 BEQ—ARMORY, TRAINING FACILITY, SOI 52 AREA 54,730 54,730 CONSOLIDATED COMMYELEC MAINTENANCE & STORAGE 10,050 10,050 CORROSION CONTROL WATER TREATMENT FACILITY 52,520 52,520				
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—PICO 24 AREA 32,870 32,870 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—PICO 24 AREA 32,260 32,260 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—SAN MATEO AREA 34,500 34,500 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—DEL MAR 21 AREA 34,120 34,120 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS, SAN MATEO AREA 32,550 32,550 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—MARGARITA 33 33,170 31,170 BEQ—ARMORY, TRAINING FACILITY, SOI 52 AREA 54,730 54,730 CONSOLIDATED COMM/ELEC MAINTENANCE & STORAGE 10,050 10,050 CORROSION CONTROL WATER TREATMENT FACILITY 52,520 52,520				
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—PICO 24 AREA				
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—DEL MAR 21 AREA 34,120 34,120		32,260	32,260	
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS, SAN MATEO AREA 32,550 32,550 32,550 BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—MARGARITA 33 AREA 31,170 31,170 31,170				
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—MARGARITA 33 AREA 31,170 31,170 BEQ—ARMORY, TRAINING FACILITY, SOI 52 AREA 54,730 54,730 CONSOLIDATED COMM/ELEC MAINTENANCE & STORAGE 10,050 10,050 CORROSION CONTROL WATER TREATMENT FACILITY 52,520 52,520				
AREA		32,550	32,550	
BEQ.—ARMORY, TRAINING FACILITY, SOI 52 AREA 54,730 54,730 CONSOLIDATED COMM/ELEC MAINTENANCE & STORAGE 10,050 10,050 CORROSION CONTROL WATER TREATMENT FACILITY 52,520 52,520		01.170	01.170	
CONSOLIDATED COMM/ELEC MAINTENANCE & STORAGE 10,050 10,050				1
CORROSION CONTROL WATER TREATMENT FACILITY 52,520 52,520				
	INDOOR FITNESS CENTER	12,230		

<u></u>			
Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
INFANTRY TRAINING CENTER	11,500	11,500	
OPERATIONS ACCESS POINTS, RED BEACH	11,970	11,970	
REGIMENTAL MAINTENANCE COMPLEX (PHASE 3)	33,620	33,620	
SPECIAL OPERATIONS TRAINING BATTLE COURSE	22,250	22,250	
EL CENTRO:	22,200	22,200	
COMBINED CHILD CARE AND YOUTH CENTER	8,900	8,900	
MIRAMAR:	.,	.,	
COMBAT TRAINING TANK COMPLEX	10,820	10,820	
EMERGENCY RESPONSE STATION	6,530	6,530	
IN-LINE FUELING STATION MODIFICATION	22,930	22,930	
MILITARY WORKING DOG OPERATIONS CENTER	4,800	4,800	
MV-22 WASH RACK	3,690	3,690	
NORTH ISLAND:	20,000	20.000	
BERTHING LIMA CONVERSION	38,992	38,992	
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTERSAN CLEMENTE ISLAND:	14,270	14,270	
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	34,020	34,020	
SAN DIEGO:	34,020	34,020	
RECRUIT RECONDITIONING FACILITY	16,790	16,790	
RECRUIT SUPPORT BARRACKS	34,430	34,430	
TWENTYNINE PALMS:	01,100	01,100	
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	36,470	36,470	
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	36,280	36,280	
BEQ AND PARKING STRUCTURE	51,800	51,800	
COMBINED ARMS MOUT (PHASE 2)	21,000	21,000	
AIR FORCE:	,	,	
EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE:			
F-35 RAMP & SECURITY UPGRADE	3,100	3,100	
TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE:			
LARGE CRASH RESCUE STATION		12,100	+ 12,100
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
CORONADO:	0.000	0.000	
SOF COMBAT CREW TRAINING FACILITY DEFENSE DISTRIBUTION DEPOT—TRACY:	9,800	9,800	
REPLACE GENERAL PURPOSE WAREHOUSE	41.000	41.000	
REPLACE TRUCK ENTRANCE/CONTROL FACILITY	9,300	9,300	
ARMY RESERVE:	3,300	3,300	
FORT HUNTER LIGGETT:			
MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE	3,950	3,950	
NAVY RESERVE:	.,	,,,,,,	
LEMOORE:			
MARINE CORPS RESERVE CENTER	15,420	15,420	
TOTAL CALIFORNIA	1 000 000	1 211 000	. 10 100
TOTAL, CALIFORNIA	1,298,992	1,311,092	+ 12,100
COLORADO			
ARMY:			
FORT CARSON:			
BARRACKS & DINING	154.000	154.000	
BATTALION COMPLEX	45,000	45,000	
BRIGADE/BATTALION HQS	46,000	46,000	
COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITIES	93,000	93,000	
INFRASTRUCTURE, BCT	69,000	69,000	
PHYSICAL FITNESS FACILITY	22,000	22,000	
UNIT MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	15,000	15,000	
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOPS	84,000	84,000	
AIR FORCE:			
PETERSON AFB:		4.000	. 4 000
LAND ACQUISITION—23 ACRES	l	4,900	+ 4,900

84

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
U.S. AIR FORCE ACADEMY: UPGRADE ACADEMIC FACILITY, PH V	18,000	18,000	
DEFENSE-WIDE: BUCKLEY AIR FORCE BASE: SATELLITE PHARMACY	3,000	3,000	
CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE: PUEBLO ARMY DEPOT: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY, PHASE 10 ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:	65,060	65,060	
DENVER: READINESS CENTER	9,000	9,000	
GRAND JUNCTION: READINESS CENTERAIR NATIONAL GUARD:	9,000	9,000	
BUCKLEY AFB: ALERT CREW HEADQUARTERS		4,200	+ 4,200
TOTAL, COLORADOCONNECTICUT	632,060	641,160	+ 9,100
NAVY: NEW LONDON: INDOOR SMALL ARMS RANGE PIER 31 REPLACEMENT ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:	46,060	11,000 46,060	+ 11,000
CAMP RELL: REGIONAL TRAINING INSTITUTEEAST HAVEN:	28,000	28,000	
KD RANGE ADD/ALT	13,800	13,800	
TOTAL, CONNECTICUT DELAWARE	87,860	98,860	+ 11,000
AIR FORCE: DOVER AFB:			
ADAL PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER DEFENSE-WIDE: DOVER AFB:	19,000	19,000	
ALTER FUEL STORAGE TANK	3,373	3,373	
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY ADD/ALTAIR NATIONAL GUARD:	28,000	28,000	
NEW CASTLE COUNTY AIRPORT: REPLACE C-130 AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE SHOPS TFI—INFO OPS SQUADRON (IOS) FACILITY NAVY RESERVE:	3,200	11,600 3,200	+11,600
WILMINGTON: NOSC PORTION, ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER	11,530	11,530	
TOTAL, DELAWARE	65,103	76,703	+ 11,600
NAVY:			
NAVAL RESEARCH LAB: AUTONOMOUS SYSTEM RESEARCH LAB	24,220	24,220	
TOTAL, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	24,220	24,220	

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
FLORIDA			
ARMY:			
MIAMI DORAL:			
SOUTHCOM HEADQUARTERS, INCR 2	81,600	81,600	
NAVY:			
JACKSONVILLE:	40.000	40.000	
P-8A INTEGRATED TRAINING CENTER CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	48,220 12,890	48,220 12,890	
MAYPORT:	12,030	12,030	
ALPHA WHARF IMPROVEMENTS	14,900	14,900	
TAMPA:	,	,	
JOINT COMMUNICATIONS SQUADRON FACILITY	29,000	29,000	
AIR FORCE:			
CAPE CANAVERAL AIR STATION:		0 000	. 0 000
SATELLITE OPERATIONS SUPPORT FACILITY EGLIN AFB:		8,000	+ 8,000
F-35 STUDENT DORMITORY (144 ROOM)	19,000	19,000	
MACDILL AFB:	15,000	10,000	
SOCCENT HDQTRS & COMMANDANT FACILITY	21,000	21,000	
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
EGLIN AFB:			
SOF BATTALION OPS COMPLEX	40,000	40,000	
HURLBURT FIELD: SOF SPECIAL TACTICS GROUP FACILITY	8,900	8,900	
JACKSONVILLE:	0,300	0,500	
REPLACE FUEL STORAGE TANKS	34,000	34,000	
MACDILL AFB:	,	,	
SOF ADD/ALTER 501B (HQ SOCOM)	10,500	10,500	
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
CAMP BLANDING: AMMUNITION SUPPLY POINT	12,400	12,400	
AMMONITION SOLI EL L'OINT	12,400	12,400	
TOTAL, FLORIDA	332,410	340,410	+ 8,000
GEORGIA			
ARMY: FORT BENNING:			
AUTOMATED ANTI-ARMOR RANGE	8,800	8,800	
BASIC 10M-25M FIRING RANGE 1	2,400	2.400	
BASIC 10M-25M FIRING RANGE 2	2,400	2,400	
BASIC 10M-25M FIRING RANGE 3	2,350	2,350	
BASIC 10M-25M FIRING RANGE 4	2,500	2,500	
BASIC 10M—25M FIRING RANGE 5	2,500	2,500	
DIGITAL MULTIPURPOSE TRAINING RANGEFIRE AND MOVEMENT RANGE	17,500 2,450	17,500 2,450	
MAINTENANCE SHOP	42,000	42.000	
MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE 1	4,900	4,900	
MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE 2	4,900	4,900	
MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE 3	4,500	4,500	
RANGE ACCESS ROAD	9,100	9,100	
RECEPTION STATION PHASE 2	39,000	39,000 6,900	
STATIONARY TANK RANGETACTICAL VEHICLE WASH FACILITY	6,900 10,800	10,800	
TRACKED VEHICLE DRIVERS COURSE	16,000	16.000	
TRAINEE COMPLEX	32,000	32,000	
TRAINING AREA INFRASTRUCTURE—OSUT AREA	16,000	16,000	
TRAINING AREA INFRASTRUCTURE—NORTHERN AREA	13,800	13,800	
UNIT MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	27,000	27,000	l

Lin thousands of do	maisj		
Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
FORT STEWART:			
BARRACKS & DINING	121,000	121,000	
BRIGADE COMPLEX	30,000	30,000	
BRIGADE/BATTALION HQS	36,000	36,000	
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	20,000	20,000	
COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITIES	75,000	75,000	
INFRASTRUCTURE	59.000	59,000	
PHYSICAL FITNESS FACILITY	28,000	28,000	
SHOOT HOUSE	2,300	2,300	
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOPS	67,000	67,000	
NAVY:	·		
ALBANY:			
BEQ	15,320	15,320	
AIR FORCE:			
ROBINS AFB:			
AIRCRAFT HANGAR	24,100	24,100	
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
AUGUSTA:			
REGIONAL SECURITY OPERATIONS CENTER INC IV	100,220	100,220	
FORT BENNING:			
CONSOLIDATED TROOP MEDICAL CLINIC	3,900	3,900	
HUNTER ARMY AIRFIELD:	0.500	0.500	
REPLACE FUEL STORAGE TANK	3,500	3,500	
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
DOBBINS ARB:	45.000	45.000	
READINESS CENTER	45,000	45,000	
NAVY RESERVE:			
MARIETTA: MARINE CORPS RESERVE CENTER	7,560	7 500	
WARNINE CORFS RESERVE CENTER	7,300	7,560	
DOBBINS AIR RESERVE BASE:			
CONSTRUCT NEW CONTROL TOWER		6,450	+ 6,45
CONCINCOT NEW CONTINCE TOWER		0,100	1 0,10
TOTAL, GEORGIA	905,700	912,150	+ 6,45
HAWAII	·		
HAWAH			
ARMY:			
POHAKULOA TRAINING AREA:			
ACCESS ROAD PH 5		21,300	+ 21,30
SCHOFIELD BARRACKS:			
BARRACKS	42,000	42,000	
BATTALION COMPLEX	69,000	69,000	
BATTALION COMPLEX	27,000	27,000	
BRIGADE COMPLEX	65,000	65,000	
INFRASTRUCTURE EXPANSION	76,000	76,000	
WAHIAWA:	40.000	40.000	
WIDEBAND SATCOM OPERATIONS CENTER	40,000	40,000	
BARKING SANDS:			
ADVANCED RADAR DETECTION LABORATORY	28,900	28,900	
KANEOHE BAY:	20,300	20,300	
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	28,200	28,200	
PEARL HARBOR:	20,200	20,200	
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	29,300	29,300	
FITNESS CENTER JOINT FORCES DEPLOYMENT STAGING AREA FISC	45,000 5,990	45,000 5,990	
SUB DRIVE-IN MAGNETIC SILENCING FACILITY (INC 2)	41,088	41,088	
AIR FORCE:	41,000	41,000	
HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE:			
UPGRADE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION, PHASE 6		8,700	+ 8,70
of divide electrical pioniliporion, fillion o		0,700	. 10,70

E			
Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
PEARL HARBOR:	07.700	07.700	
REPLACE FUEL PIPELINEARMY RESERVE:	27,700	27,700	
FORT SHAFTER: ARMY RESERVE CENTER	10 100	10 100	
	,	19,199	
TOTAL, HAWAII	544,377	574,377	+ 30,000
IDAHO			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: ORCHARD TRAINING AREA:			
LIVE FIRE SHOOT HOUSE	1,850	1,850	
ARMY RESERVE: HAYDEN LAKE:			
AR CENTER/OMS/UNHEATED STORAGE	9,580	9,580	
TOTAL, IDAHO	11,430	11,430	
ILLINOIS			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
URBANA ARMORY:			10.100
READINESS CENTER		16,186	+ 16,186
GREAT LAKES:	00.040	00.040	
RTC SPECIAL PROGRAMS BARRACKS DEFENSE-WIDE:	62,940	62,940	
SCOTT AFB:	10.077	10.077	
USTRANSCOM JOINT INTEL OPERATIONS CENTER	13,977	13,977	
TOTAL, ILLINOIS	76,917	93,103	+ 16,186
INDIANA			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: CAMP ATTERBURY:			
MULTI PURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE	5,800	5,800	
LAWRENCE: READINESS CENTER	21,000	21,000	
ARMY RESERVE:	21,000	21,000	
CRANE ARMY AMMO ACTIVITY: READY SERVICE MAGAZINE COMPLEX		8,300	+ 8,300
		·	
TOTAL, INDIANA	26,800	35,100	+ 8,300
IOWA			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: FORT DODGE:			
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE AND COMM TRAINING COM-			
PLEX		5,600	+ 5,600
TOTAL, IOWA		5,600	+ 5,600
KANSAS			
ARMY:			
FORT RILEY: BATTALION COMPLEX	38,000	38,000	
BRIGADE COMPLEX	79,000	79,000	22 000
COMMISSARY RAIL SIDING		15,000	- 23,000

Ę			
Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
FORT RILEY:			
HOSPITAL ADDITION/ALTERATION	52,000		- 52,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
SMOKY HILL ANG RANGE: SMOKY HILL RANGE SUPPORT FACILITY		7,100	+7,100
ARMY RESERVE:		7,100	17,100
DODGE CITY:	0.100	0.100	
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	8,100	8,100	
TOTAL, KANSAS	215,100	147,200	- 67,900
KENTUCKY			
ARMY:			
FORT CAMPBELL:			
BATTALION COMPLEX	37,000	37,000	
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER		8,600	
TRAINING SUPPORT CENTER		15,513	
UNIT MAINTENANCE FACILITIES DEFENSE-WIDE:	47,000	47,000	
PERFENSE-WIDE: FORT CAMPBELL:			
MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC	24,000	24,000	
NEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	21,400	21,400	
SOF TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	15,000	15,000	
CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE: BLUE GRASS ARMY DEPOT:			
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY PHASE 9	57,218	67,218	+ 10,000
DEFENSE ACCESS ROAD		12,000	
ARMY RESERVE:	,	,	
FORT CAMPBELL: SCHOOL AGE SERVICES CENTER		10.000	. 10 000
20HOOF AGE SEKNIGES CENIEK		10,000	+ 10,000
TOTAL, KENTUCKY	237,731	257,731	+20,000
LOUISIANA			
ARMY:			
FORT POLK:			
UNIT OPERATIONS FACILITIES	29,000	29,000	
AIR FORCE: BARKSDALE AFB:			
SECURITY FORCES COMPLEX		14,600	+ 14,600
			1 11,000
TOTAL, LOUISIANA	29,000	43,600	+ 14,600
MAINE			
NAVY:			
PORTSMOUTH:			
DRY DOCK #3 WATERFRONT SUPPORT FACILITY		20,660	+ 20,660
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: BANGOR:			
REGIONAL TRAINING INSTITUTE PH 1	20,000	20,000	
TOTAL, MAINE	20,000	40,660	+ 20,660
NAVY: Indian Head:			
ENERGETICS SYSTEMS & TECH LAB COMPLEX PH 1		12,050	+ 12,050
SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT UPGRADES			

[iii tilousalius of u	onarsj		
Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
SUITLAND:			
NATIONAL MARITIME INTEL CENTER (INCREMENTED) AIR FORCE:	12,439	12,439	
ANDREWS AFB:	00.000	00.000	
ADMIN FACILITY ADDITION NCR RELOCATION—ADMIN FACILITY	28,000 49,648	28,000 49,648	
DEFENSE-WIDE:	,,,,	.,.	
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND: USAMRICD REPLACEMENT, INC I	23,750	23,750	
FORT DETRICK:		200,000	
USAMRIID STAGE I, INC IIIFORT MEADE:	209,000	209,000	
NSAW CAMPUS CHILLED WATER BACKUP	19,100	19,100	
NSAW SOUTH CAMPUS STORMWATER MANAGEMENT SYS- TEM	11,900	11,900	
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:	,,,,,,	,,,,,,	
EDGEWOOD: AASF ADD/ALT	28,000	28,000	
SALISBURY:	0.000	0.000	
READINESS CENTER ADD/ALTARMY RESERVE:	9,800	9,800	
BALTIMORE: ARMY RESERVE CENTER	11 000	11.000	
AKMY KESERVE GENTER	11,600	11,600	
TOTAL, MARYLAND	417,167	429,217	+ 12,050
MASSACHUSETTS			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: METHUEN:			
READINESS CENTER, ADD/ALT [ADRS]	21,000	21,000	
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: OTIS ANG BASE:			
DIGITAL GROUND STATION		14,300	+ 14,300
ARMY RESERVE: FORT DEVENS:			
SHOOTHOUSE	1,900	1,900	
TOTAL, MASSACHUSETTS	22,900	37,200	+ 14,300
MICHIGAN	22,300	37,200	1 14,500
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
CAMP GRAYLING:			
BARRACKS REPLACEMENT, PHASE 1INFANTRY SQUAD BATTLE COURSE		16,943 2,000	+ 16,943 + 2,000
ARMY RESERVE:		2,000	1 2,000
DETROIT ARSENAL: ACCESS CONTROL POINT		6,100	+ 6,100
SAGINAW:		0,100	1 0,100
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	11,500	11,500	
TOTAL, MICHIGAN	11,500	36,543	+ 25,043
MINNESOTA			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
ARDEN HILLS: READINESS CENTER	15,000	15,000	
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:	15,000	15,000	
DULUTH: REPLACE FUEL CELL HANGAR		4,500	+ 4,500
NEI EIGE FOLL GELL HANGAN		4,300	1 4,300

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
TOTAL, MINNESOTA	15,000	19,500	+ 4,500
MISSISSIPPI			
NAVY:			
GULFPORT:			
25 NAVAL CONSTRUCTION REGIMENT HQ FACILITY	6,900	6,900	
BATTALION MAINTENANCE FACILITY		5,870	+ 5,870
FITNESS CENTER		6,340	+ 6,340
AIR FORCE:			
COLUMBUS AFB: CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	8,100	8,100	
KEESLER AFB:	0,100	0,100	
INDOOR FIRING RANGE		6,600	+ 6,600
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
GULFPORT-BILOXI IAP: RELOCATE MUNITIONS STORAGE COMPLEX		3,400	+ 3,400
		-,,,,,	,
TOTAL, MISSISSIPPI	15,000	37,210	+ 22,210
MISSOURI			
ARMY:			
FORT LEONARD WOOD: MINE DETECTION TRAINING FACILITY AND K—9 KENNEL		10,800	+ 10.800
TRAINING SUPPORT CENTER	18.500	18.500	+ 10,800
URBAN ASSAULT COURSE	2,350	2,350	
DEFENSE-WIDE: FORT LEONARD WOOD:			
PRIMARY CARE CLINIC ADDITION/ALTERATION	22,000	22,000	
ARMY RESERVE:		,,,,,,	
WELDON SPRINGS: ARMY RESERVE CENTER	11,700	11,700	
ARMI RESERVE GENIER	11,700	11,700	
TOTAL, MISSOURI	54,550	65,350	+ 10,800
MONTANA			
AIR FORCE:			
MALMSTROM AFB:			
UPGRADE WEAPONS STORAGE AREA, PHASE 1		10,000	+ 10,000
TOTAL, MONTANA		10,000	+ 10,000
NEVADA			
AIR FORCE:			
CREECH AFB:			
UAS 432 WING HQ MISSION SPT FACILITY		7,000	
UAS DINING HALLUAS FLIGHT SIM & ACADEMICS FACILITY	9,000 9,800	9,000 9.800	
UAS MAIN GATE/SEWER TRANSFER FACILITY	6,500	6,500	
UAS OPS FACILITY	16,200	16,200	
NELLIS AFB: AIRFIELD FIRE RESCUE STATION		9,800	+ 9.800
F-16 AGGRESSOR HANGAR/AIRCRAFT MAINT UNIT	30,800	30,800	+ 9,800
F-16 AGRESSOR SQUADRON OPS/INFRASTRUCTURE	17,500	17,500	
F-35 AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS	5,000	5,000	
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: ELKO:			
READINESS CENTER		11,375	+ 11,375

91

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
ARMY RESERVE:			
LAS VEGAS: ARMY RESERVE CENTER	22,000	22.000	
ARWIT RESERVE CENTER	33,900	33,900	
TOTAL, NEVADA	135,700	156,875	+21,175
NEW JERSEY			
NAVY:			
EARLE NAVAL WEAPONS STATION: MAIN GATE SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS		8,160	+ 8,160
LAKEHURST:	15.440	15.440	
ADVANCED ARRESTING GEAR TEST SITEARMY RESERVE:	15,440	15,440	
FORT DIX:			
MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE	3,825	3,825	
TOTAL, NEW JERSEY	19,265	27,425	+ 8,160
NEW MEXICO			
AIR FORCE:			
HOLLOMAN AFB: F—22 ALTER HANGAR FOR LO/CRF	14,500	14,500	
F—22A ADAL A/C MAINT UNIT	1,050	1,050	
F-22A ADAL FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY	3,150	3,150	
F-22A ADAL JET ENGINE MAINTENANCE SHOP	2,150	2,150	
F-22A AEROSPACE GROUND EQUIP FACILITY	4,600	4,600	
DEFENSE-WIDE: CANNON AFB:			
CV-22 FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY		8,300	+ 8,300
SOF MAINTENANCE HANGAR	18,100	18,100	
KIRTLAND AFB:			
REPLACE FUEL STORAGE TANKS	14,400	14,400	
TOTAL, NEW MEXICO	57,950	66,250	+ 8,300
NEW YORK			
ARMY:			
FORT DRUM:			
BRIGADE COMPLEX—BARRACKS BRIGADE COMPLEX—BARRACKS	29,000	29,000 24,000	
UNIT MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	24,000 37,000	37,000	
U.S. MILITARY ACADEMY:	07,000	07,000	
SCIENCE FACILITY, PH 1	67,000	67,000	
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
FORT DRUM: MANEUVER AREA TRAINING EQUIP SITE PH 3	11,000	11,000	
QUEENSBURY:	11,000	11,000	
FIELD MAINTENANCE SHOP	5,900	5,900	
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: GABRESKI AIRPORT:			
REPLACE PARARESCUE OPS FACILITY PH 2		7,500	+7,500
HANCOCK FIELD:	E 000	E 000	
TFI—REAPER IOC/FOC BEDDOWNARMY RESERVE:	5,000	5,000	
KINGSTON:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	13,494	13,494	
SHOREHAM: ADD/ALT ARMY RESERVE CENTER	15,031	15,031	
The state of the s	. 10,001	. 10,001	

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
STATEN ISLAND:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER	18,550	18,550	
TOTAL, NEW YORK	225,975	233,475	+ 7,500
NORTH CAROLINA	220,370	200,170	1 7,000
ARMY:			
FORT BRAGG:			
ACCESS ROADS PHASE 1	13,200	13,200	
TRAINING SUPPORT CENTER	20,500	20,500	
UTILITY UPGRADE (CAMP MACKALL)	3,200	3,200	
CAMP LEJEUNE:			
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—CAMP JOHNSON	38,230	38,230	
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—CAMP JOHNSON	23,760	23,760	
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—FRENCH CREEK	33,960	33,960	
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HADNOT POINT	39,890	39,890	
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HADNOT POINT BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—COURTHOUSE BAY	39,320 35,890	39,320 35,890	
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HADNOT POINT	42,950	42,950	
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	13,960	13,960	
CONSOLIDATED MESS HALL—HADNOT POINT (200			
AREA)	25,000	25,000	
INFANTRY PLATOON BATTLE COURSE—SR1	18,250	18,250	
MESS HALL—HADNOT POINT (400 AREA) MOD K-RANGES (PHASE 2)	21,660 20,220	21,660 20,220	
CHERRY POINT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION:	20,220	20,220	
2ND MAW COMMAND OPERATIONS FACILITY	30,480	30,480	
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	30,100	30,100	
ENGINEERING PRODUCT SUPPORT FACILITY	16,840	16,840	
NEW RIVER:	0.000	2 202	
AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON ADDITIONBACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	6,830 36,740	6,830 36.740	
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—MCAS	25,620	25,620	
ENLISTED DINING FACILITY	17,090	17,090	
AIR FORCE:	,	,	
SEYMOUR JOHNSON AFB:			
CONSOLIDATED SUPPORT CENTER DEFENSE-WIDE:		12,200	+ 12,20
FORT BRAGG:			
NEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	28,170	28,170	
NEW INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL (IRWIN)	27,945	27,945	
NEW MIDDLE SCHOOL	22,356	22,356	
SOF EXPAND TRAINING COMPOUND	14,200	14,200	
SOF HEADQUARTERS FACILITY	14,600	14,600	
SOF SECURITY/FORCE PROTECTIONSOF TRAINING FACILITY	4,150 5,300	4,150 5,300	
ARMY RESERVE:	3,300	3,300	
RALEIGH:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	25,581	25,581	
TOTAL, NORTH CAROLINA	695,992	708,192	+ 12,200
NORTH DAKOTA		, /-	
AIR FORCE:			
GRAND FORKS AFB:			
FIRE STATION		13,000	+ 13,00
TOTAL NODTH DAVOTA		10.000	. 10.00
TOTAL, NORTH DAKOTA	I	13,000	+ 13,000

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
OKLAHOMA			
ARMY:			
FORT SILL:			
TRAINING COMPLEX UPGRADE	63,000	63,000	
AIR FORCE:			
ALTUS AFB: CONSOL DIGITAL AIRPORT SURVEILL RADAR/RAPCON			
FAC		10,200	+ 10,200
TINKER AFB:			
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR DEFENSE-WIDE:	48,600	48,600	
ALTUS AFB:			
REPLACE FUEL STORAGE DIKES	2,850	2,850	
TINKER AFB:	05.000	05.000	
MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT	65,000	65,000	
TINKER AFB:			
AFR SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE HANGAR	9,900	9,900	
TOTAL OVIALIOMA	100 250	100 550	. 10 200
TOTAL, OKLAHOMA	189,350	199,550	+ 10,200
PENNSYLVANIA			
ARMY:			
CARLISLE BARRACKS: MUSEUM SUPPORT FACILITY	13,400	13,400	
TOBYHANNA ARMY DEPOT:	15,400	13,400	
ELECTRONICS MAINTENANCE SHOP	15,000	15,000	
NAVY:			
PHILADELPHIA: FULL SCALE ELECTRIC DRIVE TEST FACILITY	22,020	22,020	
DEFENSE-WIDE:	22,020	22,020	
PHILADELPHIA:			
CONVERT WAREHOUSE TO ADMIN SPACE	1,200	1,200	
ARMY RESERVE: LETTERKENNY ARMY DEPOT:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER	14,914	14,914	
UPGRADE MUNITION IGLOOS PHASE 2		7,500	+ 7,500
TOTAL, PENNSYLVANIA	66,534	74,034	+ 7,500
RHODE ISLAND	00,334	74,054	7,500
NAVY: NEWPORT:			
FITNESS FACILITY	29,900	29,900	
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:	,	,	
NORTH KINGSTOWN:		F 000	
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY		5,000	+ 5,000
QUONSET STATE AIRPORT:			
CONSTRUCT AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL TOWER		7,700	+ 7,700
TOTAL, RHODE ISLAND	29,900	42,600	+ 12,700
	25,500	42,000	1 12,700
SOUTH CAROLINA			
ARMY: FORT JACKSON:			
TRAINING COMPLEX UPGRADE	30,000	30,000	
	. 55,000	. 55,000	

94

[III tilousalius of	aonaroj		
Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
NAVY:			
BEAUFORT:			
EOD/ORDNANCE OPERATIONS FACILITY	5,940	5,940	
PARRIS ISLAND: THIRD RECRUIT TRAINING BATTALION (PHASE 2)	36,400	36,400	
THIRD RECRUIT TRAINING BN COMPLEX (PHASE 3)		28,350	
AIR FORCE:			
CHARLESTON AFB: C-17 FLIGHT SIMULATOR ADDITION	4.500	4.500	
SHAW AFB:		,,,,,,	
PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER		9,900	+ 9,900
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: ANDERSON:			
READINESS CENTER	12,000	12,000	
BEAUFORT:			
READINESS CENTER ADD/ALTFASTOVER:	3,400	3,400	
JOINT FORCES HEADQUARTERS	28,000	28,000	
TOTAL, SOUTH CAROLINA	148,590	158,490	+ 9,900
SOUTH DAKOTA			·
AIR FORCE:			
ELLSWORTH AIR FORCE BASE:			
BASE ENTRY AND PERIMETER GATESARMY NATIONAL GUARD:		11,000	+ 11,000
RAPID CITY:			
ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER		29,000	
BARRACKS/DINING/ADMIN AND PARKING COMPLEX PHASE 1		14,463	+ 14,463
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:		14,403	T 14,403
JOE FOSS FIELD:			
AIRCRAFT READY SHELTERS/AMU		4,500	+ 4,500
TOTAL, SOUTH DAKOTA	29,000	58,963	+ 29,963
TENNESSEE	,	·	,
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
KNOXVILLE:			
REPLACE SQUADRON OPERATIONS		8,000	+ 8,000
ARMY RESERVE: CHATTANOOGA:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER	10,600	10,600	
TOTAL, TENNESSEE			
TEXAS	10,600	18,600	+ 8,000
ARMY: CORPUS CHRISTI:			
DYNAMIC COMPONENT REBUILD FACILITY	39,000	39,000	
FORT BLISS:		140.000	
BARRACKS & DININGBARRACKS & DINING		148,000 148,000	
BATTALION COMPLEX		34,000	
BRIGADE/BATTALION HQS		44,000	
BRIGADE/BATTALION HQS		44,000	
CHAPEL		9,000	
COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITIES, BCT	90,000	90,000	
COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITIES, BCT1	90,000	90,000	

[III tilousalius of ut	marsj		
Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
DIGITAL MULTIPURPOSE RANGE COMPLEX	42,000	42,000	
INFRASTRUCTURE, IBCT1	98,000	98,000	
INFRASTRUCTURE, IBCT2 TRAINING SUPPORT CENTER	100,000 12,600	100,000 12,600	
UNIT MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	10,200	10,200	
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOPS	81,000	81,000	
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOPS	81,000	81,000	
FORT HOOD: UNIT MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	32,000	32,000	
FORT SAM HOUSTON: TRAINEE BARRACKS COMPLEX	96,000	96,000	
RED RIVER ARMY DEPOT: MANEUVER SYSTEMS SUSTAINMENT CTR, PHASE 1	6,900	6,900	
AIR FORCE: DYESS AFB:			
MULTIPURPOSE C-130 MAINTENANCE HANGARFORT HOOD:		21,000	+21,000
TACP JOINT AIR GROUND CTRLACKLAND AFB:	10,800	10,800	
BMT RECRUIT DORMITORY DEFENSE-WIDE:	75,515	75,515	
FORT SAM HOUSTON: MEDICAL INSTRUCTIONAL FACILITYARMY RESERVE:	13,000	13,000	
SINTON: ARMY RESERVE CENTER	9,700	9,700	
TOTAL, TEXAS	1,314,715	1,335,715	+21,000
UTAH			
AIR FORCE:			
HILL AFB: F-22A HEAVY MAINT FACILITY & COMPOSITE BACK			
SHOP	36,000	36,000	
THREE-BAY FIRE STATION		5,400	+ 5,400
HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	20,400	20,400	
CAMP WILLIAMS: AMMUNITION SUPPLY POINT	17,500	17,500	
TOTAL LITALI	72.000	70.200	
TOTAL, UTAH VERMONT	73,900	79,300	+ 5,400
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: ETHAN ALLEN FIRING RANGE JERICHO:			
READINESS CENTER		10,200	+10,200
BURLINGTON IAP: SECURITY FORCES AND COMM FACILITY	6,600	6,600	
TOTAL, VERMONT	6,600	16,800	+ 10,200
VIRGINIA			
ARMY:			
FORT BELVOIR:			
EMERGENCY SERVICES CENTERFORT EUSTIS:	7,200	7,200	
UNIT OPERATIONS FACILITIES	14,400	14,400	l

[III tilousalius of u	viidisj		
Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
FORT LEE			
FORT LEE:	10.000	10.000	
DINING FACILITY	10,600	10,600	
TRAINEE BARRACKS COMPLEX	90,000	90,000	
FORT MYER:	14000	14.000	
BARRACKS	14,000	14,000	
NAVY:			
NORFOLK:	10.500	10.500	
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	10,500	10,500	
NORFOLK HARBOR CHANNEL DREDGING	42,830	42,830	
QUANTICO:	07.750	07.750	
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR, TYPE II	27,750	27,750	
AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON (GREEN SIDE)	36,280	36,280	
INFRASTRUCTURE—RUSSELL ROAD (PHASE 1)	7,450	7,450	
INSTRUCTION FACILITY ADDITION—TBS	6,350	6,350	
INSTRUCTION FACILITY TBS (PHASE I)	25,200	25,200	
MESS HALL—OCS	13,750	13,750	
OCS HEADQUARTERS FACILITY		5,980	+ 5,980
STUDENT QUARTERS—TBS (PHASE 3)	27,530	27,530	
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
CRANEY ISLAND:			
REPLACE FUEL STORAGE TANKS	39,900	39,900	
DAM NECK:			
SOF OPERATIONAL FACILITY INC II	31,000	31,000	
FORT STORY:			
SOF SMALL ARMS RANGE	11,600	11,600	
PENTAGON:			
PENTAGON ATHLETIC CENTER PHASE 2	6,967	6,967	
PFPA HAZMAT FACILITY	16,401	16,401	
RAVEN ROCK WEST POWER PLANT	15,572	15,572	
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
ARLINGTON:			
ARLINGTON HALL READINESS CENTER PH2	15,500	15,500	
FORT PICKETT:			
MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE	2,950	2,950	
ARMY RESERVE:			
FORT EUSTIS:			
TRAINING SUPPORT CENTER, PHASE 1		13,600	+ 13,600
NAVY RESERVE:			
NORFOLK:			
EODMU 10 OPERATIONS FACILITY	8,170	8,170	
WILLIAMSBURG:			
ORDNANCE HANDLING CARGO OPS TRAINING SUPPORT	12,320	12,320	
TOTAL, VIRGINIA	494,220	513,800	+ 19,580
WASHINGTON			
ARMY:			
FORT LEWIS:	F4.000	E4.000	
BATTALION COMPLEX	54,000	54,000	
BATTALION COMPLEX	47,000	47,000	
BRIGADE COMPLEX	30,000	30,000	
BRIGADE COMPLEX, INCR 3	102,000	102,000	
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	27,000	27,000	
NAVY:			
BANGOR:	50	50	
LIMITED AREA PROD & STORAGE COMPLEX (INC V)	50,700	50,700	
WHIDBEY ISLAND:	24.000	04.000	
HANGAR 5 RECAPITALIZATION (INCREMENTED)	34,000	34,000	l

ţ			
Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
AIR FORCE:			
MCCHORD AFB: C-17 ADAL FLIGHT SIMULATOR	5,500	5,500	
DEFENSE-WIDE:	5,500	5,500	
FORT LEWIS: SOF RANGER BATTALION COMPLEXARMY NATIONAL GUARD:	38,000	38,000	
FORT LEWIS: AVIATION READINESS CENTER	32,000	32,000	
MCCHORD AFB: 262 INFO WARFARE AGGRESSOR SQUADRON FACILITY ARMY RESERVE:		8,600	+ 8,600
SEATTLE: ARMY RESERVE CENTER	37,500	37,500	
TOTAL, WASHINGTON	457,700	466,300	+ 8,600
WEST VIRGINIA	·	·	
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
YEAGER AIRPORT, CHARLESTON: FUEL SYSTEM/CORROSION CONTROL HANGAR		27,000	+ 27,000
TOTAL, WEST VIRGINIA		27,000	+ 27,000
WISCONSIN			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: TRUAX FIELD:			
COMMUNICATIONS AND AUDIO VISUAL TRAINING FACIL-		6,300	+ 6,300
ARMY RESERVE: FORT MCCOY:			
AUTO QUALIFICATION TRAINING RANGE	4,000	4,000	
TOTAL, WISCONSIN	4,000	10,300	+ 6,300
WYOMING			
AIR FORCE:			
F. E. WARREN AFB: RENOVATE HISTORIC DORMITORY	8,600	8,600	
CHEYENNE MAP: TFI—C-130 SQDN OPERATIONS FACILITY	7,000	7,000	
TOTAL, WYOMING	15,600	15,600	
AFGHANISTAN			
ARMY:			
BAGRAM AIR BASE: BULK FUEL STORAGE & SUPPLY, PHASE 8 BULK FUEL STORAGE & SUPPLY, PHASE 5 SOF HQ COMPLEX	26,000 22,000 19,000	26,000 22,000 19,000	
AIR FORCE:	.,.,,	.,	
BAGRAM AIR BASE: C—130 MAINTENANCE HANGAR CARGO HANDLING AREA EXPANSION REFUELER RAMP	27,400 8,800 21,000	27,400 8,800 21,000	
TOTAL, AFGHANISTAN	124,200	124,200	
TOTAL, AFURANISTAN	124,200	124,200	l

<u></u>			
Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
DIEGO GARCIA			
NAVY:			
DIEGO GARCIA: WHARF UPGRADE AND WAREHOUSE	35,060	35,060	
TOTAL, DIEGO GARCIA	35,060	35,060	
DJIBOUTI			
NAVY:			
CAMP LEMONIER:	10.000		10.000
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	12,830	15 250	- 12,830
AIRCRAFT PARKING APRONTELCOM FACILITY	15,250 3,330	15,250 3,330	
TECOM PROJETT	3,330	3,330	
TOTAL, DJIBOUTI	31,410	18,580	- 12,830
GERMANY			
ARMY:			
KATTERBACH:			
AIRCRAFT/VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	19,000	19,000	
WIESBADEN MILITARY COMMUNITY:			
COMMAND & BATTLE CENTER, INCREMENT I DEFENSE-WIDE:	119,000	59,500	- 59,500
GERMERSHEIM:			
LOGISTICS DISTRIBUTION CENTER EUROPE	48,000	48,000	
TOTAL, GERMANY	186,000	126,500	- 59,500
GREECE	,		,
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
SOUDA BAY:			
FUEL STORAGE TANKS AND PIPELINE REPLACEMENT	27,761	27,761	
TOTAL, GREECE	27,761	27,761	
GUAM	,	·	
NAVY: GUAM NB:			
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS, MAIN BASE	62,360	62,360	
KILO WHARF EXTENSION	50,912	50,912	
WASTEWATER COLLECTION SYSTEM & UPGRADE	26,070	26,070	
AIR FORCE: ANDERSEN AFB:			
COMBAT COMM MAINTENANCE FACILITY	5,200	5,200	
DEFENSE-WIDE:	-,	-,	
GUAM NH:			
CENTRAL UTILITY PLANT	30,000	30,000	
TOTAL, GUAM	174,542	174,542	
GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA			
NAVY:			
GUANTANAMO BAY:			
CONSOLIDATED FITNESS COMPLEX	20,600	20,600	
TOTAL, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA	20,600	20,600	
וטוחב, עטחויוחויוט שאו, טטשא	20,000	20,000	

[iii tilousalius of u	onuroj		
Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
ITALY			
ARMY:			
VICENZA: BDE COMPLEX—BARRACKS/COMMUNITY, INCR 2 BDE COMPLEX—OPERATIONS SPT FACILITY, INCR 2	15,000 15,000	15,000 15,000	
TOTAL, ITALY	30,000	30,000	
JAPAN	,	,	
ARMY:			
CAMP ZAMA: SENSITIVE COMPARTMENTED INFORMATION FACILITY SAGAMIHARA:	2,350	2,350	
BATTLE COMMAND TRAINING CENTER	17,500	17,500	
TOTAL, JAPAN	19,850	19,850	
KOREA	13,630	13,030	
ARMY:			
CAMP HUMPHREYS: VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	20,000	20,000	
TOTAL, KOREA	20,000	20,000	
KYRGYZSTAN			
AIR FORCE:			
Manas air base: Hot cargo pad	6,000	6 000	
HUT CANGO FAD	0,000	6,000	
TOTAL, KYRGYZSTAN	6,000	6,000	
QATAR			
AIR FORCE: AL UDEID:			
CAS PARKING APRON	59,638		- 59,638
DEFENSE-WIDE: AL UDEID:			
SOF TRAINING RANGE	9,200	9,200	
TOTAL, QATAR	68,838	9,200	- 59,638
UNITED KINGDOM	00,030	3,200	33,030
AIR FORCE:			
ROYAL AIR FORCE LAKENHEATH:			
LARGE VEHICLE INSPECTION STATION	7,400	7,400	
TOTAL, UNITED KINGDOM	7,400	7,400	
NATO SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM	240,867	240,867	
WORLDWIDE CLASSIFIED			
AIR FORCE:			
SPECIAL EVALUATION PROGRAM	891	891	
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED			
ARMY: HOST NATION SUPPORT	24.000	24.000	
MINOR CONSTRUCTION	24,000 23,000	24,000 23,000	
PLANNING AND DESIGN			

100
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued
[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
RESCISSION (Public Law 110-5)		-48,520	- 48,520
RESCISSION (Public Law 110-161)		-16,600	- 16,600
NAVY:			
DATA CENTER	28,000	35,000	+ 7,000
JOINT OPERATIONS & SUPPORT COMPLEX, PH 1	17,800	17,800	
PLANNING AND DESIGN	239,128	237,690	- 1,438
MINOR CONSTRUCTION	13,670	13,670	
AIR FORCE:			
COMMON BATTLEFIELD AIRMAN TRAINING COMPLEX	15,000	15,000	
UAS FIELD TRAINING UNIT MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	22,000	22,000	
UAS FIELD TRAINING UNIT OPS COMPLEX	15,500	15,500	
PLANNING AND DESIGN	70,494	71,534	+ 1,040
STRATCOM REPLACEMENT FACILITY DESIGN		10,000	+ 10,000
MINOR CONSTRUCTION	15,000	15,000	
RESCISSION (Public Law 110-161)		-8,080	- 8,08
DEFENSE-WIDE:		,	,
AN/TPY-2 #3	25,500		- 25,50
BMDS—EUROPEAN INTERCEPTOR SITE	132,600	26,072	- 106,528
BMDS—EUROPEAN MIDCOURSE RADAR SITE	108,560	108,560	
CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION	10,000	10,000	
ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM	80,000	160,000	+ 80,000
	00,000	100,000	
PLANNING AND DESIGN:	1 000	1 000	
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DEPENDENT EDUCATION	1,830	1,830	
MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY	14,889	14,889	
NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY	20,221	20,221	
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	16,833	16,833	
TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY	58,252	58,252	
UNDISTRIBUTED	43,768	43,768	
SUBTOTAL, PLANNING AND DESIGN	155,793	155,793	
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION:			
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY	4,099	4,099	
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DEPENDENT EDUCATION	382	382	
THE JOINT STAFF	9,228	9,228	
MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY	3,457	3,457	
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	7,697	7,697	
TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY	3,990	3,990	
UNDISTRIBUTED	3,000	3,000	
SUBTOTAL, UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	31,853	31,853	
			1 1 1 1 1 1
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: PLANNING AND DESIGN	48,796	64,247	+ 15,45
	48,796 11,800	64,247 25,555	+ 13,45
PLANNING AND DESIGN			+ 13,75
PLANNING AND DESIGN	11,800	25,555	+ 13,75
PLANNING AND DESIGN	11,800	25,555	+ 13,755 - 1,400
PLANNING AND DESIGN	11,800	25,555 1,400	+ 13,755 - 1,400 + 12,612
PLANNING AND DESIGN MINOR CONSTRUCTION RESCISSION (PUBLIC LAW 110–161) AIR NATIONAL GUARD: PLANNING AND DESIGN MINOR CONSTRUCTION	11,800 5,374	25,555 1,400 17,986	+ 13,755 - 1,400 + 12,612
PLANNING AND DESIGN MINOR CONSTRUCTION RESCISSION (PUBLIC LAW 110–161) AIR NATIONAL GUARD: PLANNING AND DESIGN MINOR CONSTRUCTION	5,374 7,200	25,555 1,400 17,986	+ 13,75: - 1,400 + 12,61: + 9,000
PLANNING AND DESIGN	11,800 5,374 7,200 13,963	25,555 - 1,400 17,986 16,200 22,563	+13,75 $-1,40$ $+12,61$ $+9,00$ $+8,60$
PLANNING AND DESIGN MINOR CONSTRUCTION RESCISSION (PUBLIC LAW 110–161) AIR NATIONAL GUARD: PLANNING AND DESIGN MINOR CONSTRUCTION ARMY RESERVE: PLANNING AND DESIGN MINOR CONSTRUCTION	5,374 7,200	25,555 - 1,400 17,986 16,200	+13,75 $-1,40$ $+12,61$ $+9,00$ $+8,60$
PLANNING AND DESIGN MINOR CONSTRUCTION RESCISSION (PUBLIC LAW 110–161) AIR NATIONAL GUARD: PLANNING AND DESIGN MINOR CONSTRUCTION ARMY RESERVE: PLANNING AND DESIGN MINOR CONSTRUCTION NINOR CONSTRUCTION NAVY RESERVE:	5,374 7,200 13,963 3,100	25,555 -1,400 17,986 16,200 22,563 5,100	+ 13,75 - 1,40 + 12,61 + 9,00 + 8,60 + 2,00
PLANNING AND DESIGN MINOR CONSTRUCTION RESCISSION (PUBLIC LAW 110–161) AIR NATIONAL GUARD: PLANNING AND DESIGN MINOR CONSTRUCTION ARMY RESERVE: PLANNING AND DESIGN MINOR CONSTRUCTION NAVY RESERVE: PLANNING AND DESIGN	11,800 5,374 7,200 13,963	25,555 -1,400 17,986 16,200 22,563 5,100 3,045	$ \begin{array}{r} +13,75! \\ -1,40! \\ +12,61! \\ +9,00! \\ +8,60! \\ +2,00! \\ +1,00! \end{array} $
PLANNING AND DESIGN MINOR CONSTRUCTION RESCISSION (PUBLIC LAW 110–161) AIR NATIONAL GUARD: PLANNING AND DESIGN MINOR CONSTRUCTION ARMY RESERVE: PLANNING AND DESIGN MINOR CONSTRUCTION NAVY RESERVE: PLANNING AND DESIGN MINOR CONSTRUCTION MINOR CONSTRUCTION MINOR CONSTRUCTION	5,374 7,200 13,963 3,100	25,555 -1,400 17,986 16,200 22,563 5,100	$ \begin{array}{r} +13,75 \\ -1,40 \\ +12,61 \\ +9,00 \\ +8,60 \\ +2,00 \\ +1,00 \end{array} $
PLANNING AND DESIGN MINOR CONSTRUCTION RESCISSION (PUBLIC LAW 110–161) AIR NATIONAL GUARD: PLANNING AND DESIGN MINOR CONSTRUCTION ARMY RESERVE: PLANNING AND DESIGN MINOR CONSTRUCTION NAVY RESERVE: PLANNING AND DESIGN	5,374 7,200 13,963 3,100	25,555 -1,400 17,986 16,200 22,563 5,100 3,045	$ \begin{array}{r} +13,75! \\ -1,40! \\ +12,61! \\ +9,00! \\ +8,60! \\ +2,00! \end{array} $

101
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued
[In thousands of dollars]

[iii tilousalius oi uo	iidisj		
Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, ARMY			
GERMANY:			
WIESBADEN: FAMILY HOUSING REPLACEMENTWIESBADEN AB:	32,000	32,000	
FAMILY HOUSING REPLACEMENT	20,000	20,000	
FAMILY HOUSING REPLACEMENTFAMILY HOUSING REPLACEMENT	43,000 38,000	43,000 38,000	
KOREA:	30,000	30,000	
CAMP HUMPHREYS:			
FAMILY HOUSING NEW CONSTRUCTION	125,000	125,000	
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	420,001	420,001	
PLANNING AND DESIGN	579	579	
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	678,580	678,580	
FAMILY HOUSING OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY			
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	113,017	118,017	+ 5,000
SERVICES ACCOUNT	22,437	22,437	1 3,000
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	60,254	60,254	
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	1,241	1,241	
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	42,089	42,089	
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	192,849	192,849 252.189	
PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS	252,189 32,034	32,189	
TRIVITE THOU SOLT ORT COOLS	32,004	32,004	
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	716,110	721,110	+ 5,000
FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS			
GUANTANAMO:			
GUANTANAMO NAVAL STATION:			
REPLACE BARGO HOUSING	22,385	22,385	
REPLACE GRANADILLO CIRCLE HOUSING REPLACE GRANADILLO POINT HOUSING	16,547	15,846	- 701 - 1,004
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	23,666 318,011	22,662 318,011	- 1,004
PLANNING AND DESIGN	2,169	2,169	
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	382,778	381,073	-1,705
FAMILY HOUSING OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS			
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	44,068	49,068	+ 5,000
SERVICES ACCOUNT	13,347	13,347	
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	60,063	60,063	
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNTFURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	655 15,036	655 15,036	
LEASING	130,261	130,261	
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	87,074	87,074	
PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS	25,558	25,558	
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	376,062	381,062	+ 5,000
FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE			
UNITED KINGDOM: RAF LAKENHEATH:			
REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING (182 UNITS)	71,828	71,828	
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	316,343	316,343	
PLANNING AND DESIGN	7,708	7,708	

102
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued
[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	395,879	395,879	
FAMILY HOUSING OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE			
JTILITIES ACCOUNT	100,997	105,997	+ 5,000
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT		58,396	
SERVICES ACCOUNT		20,166	
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT		42,189	
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	,	1,999	
LEASINGMAINTENANCE		94,246	
DEBT ACCOUNT	227,912	227,912 1	
PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS	53,559	53,559	
CUDTOTAL ODERATION AND MAINTENANCE	E00 4CE	CO4 4CE	. 5.000
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	599,465	604,465	+ 5,000
FAMILY HOUSING OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE			
DPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:	_	7	
UTILITIES ACCOUNT [NSA]		7	
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT [NSA]LEASING [NSA]		28 10,407	
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (NSA)		70	
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT [DIA]		4,359	
LEASING [DIA]		33,066	
UTILITIES ACCOUNT [DLA]	346	346	
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT [DLA]		38	
SERVICES ACCOUNT [DLA]		33	
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT [DLA]		382	
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY [DLA]	495	495	
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	49,231	49,231	
DOD FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUNDHOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM		850 4,500	
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE	1,000	1,000	
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, 1990	393,377	468,377	+ 75,000
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, 2005		8,991,700	- 73,680
RECAP			
ARMY	4,615,920	4,561,561	– 54,35 9
RESCISSION		-65,120	- 65,120
NAVY AND MARINE CORPS	3,096,399	3,159,191	+ 62,792
RESCISSION		1 050 004	
AIR FORCE	934,892	1,058,694	+ 123,80
RESCISSION DEFENSE-WIDE	1,783,998	- 8,080 1,688,270	— 8,080 — 95,723
RESCISSION	1,765,556	1,000,270	- 33,720
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	539,296	660,669	+ 121,373
RESCISSION		-1,400	- 1,400
AIR NATIONAL GUARD	34,374	180,286	+ 145,912
RESCISSIONARMY RESERVE	281,687	357,387	+ 75,700
RESCISSION	201,007		
NAVY RESERVERESCISSION	57,045	61,045	+ 4,000
AIR FORCE RESERVE	19,265	29,915	+ 10,650
RESCISSION	240.007	040.007	
VATORESCISSION	240,867	240,867	
CHEMICAL DEMIL CONST DEFENSE-WIDE	134,278	144,278	+ 10,000

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Committee recommendation compared with budget estimate (+ or -)
RESCISSION			
FAMILY HOUSING, ARMYRESCISSION	1,394,690	1,399,690	+ 5,000
FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY/MC RESCISSION	758,840	762,135	+ 3,295
FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE	995,344	1,000,344	+ 5,000
FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE	49,231	49,231	
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE	9,458,763	9,460,077	+ 1,314
RESCISSION			
GRAND TOTAL	24,400,239	24,744,390	+ 344,151

DISCLOSURE OF CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS

The Constitution vests in the Congress the power of the purse. The Committee believes strongly that Congress should make the decisions on how to allocate the people's money.

As defined in Rule XLIV of the Standing Rules of the Senate, the term "congressional directed spending item" means a provision or report language included primarily at the request of a Senator, providing, authorizing, or recommending a specific amount of discretionary budget authority, credit authority, or other spending authority for a contract, loan, loan guarantee, grant, loan authority, or other expenditure with or to an entity, or targeted to a specific State, locality or congressional district, other than through a statutory or administrative, formula-driven, or competitive award process.

For each item, a Member is required to provide a certification that neither the Member nor the Senator's immediate family has a pecuniary interest in such congressionally directed spending item. Such certifications are available to the public on the website of the Senate Committee on Appropriations (www.appropriations.senate.gov/senators.cfm).

Following is a list of congressionally directed spending items included in the Senate recommendation discussed in this report, along with the name of each Senator who submitted a request to the Committee of jurisdiction for each item so identified. Neither the Committee recommendation nor this report contains any limited tax benefits or limited tariff benefits as defined in rule XLIV.

CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS [In thousands of dollars]

Funding Member		\$27,000 The President; Senators Sessions and Shelby	18,000 The President; Senators Sessions and Shelby	_	÷	16,500 Senators Sessions and Shelby	15,000 The President	12,400 The President	63,000 The President	14,000 The President	21,000 The President	2,950 Senator Stevens	16,400 The President	÷	20,400 The President	<u> </u>	22,200 The President	41,100 The President	6,600 The President	22,400 The President	6,300 The President	16,000 Senators Stevens and Murkowski	3,100 Senator Stevens	11,200 The President	3,800 The President	19,490 The President, Senator Kyl	15,000 Senator Kyl	13,000 The President	13,800 The President	_	4,000 Senators Lincoln and Pryor		17,500 The President, Senator Feinstein 22,100 The President, Senator Feinstein
Fu	NSTRUCTION	_																															
Project	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE MILITARY AND FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION	_				_	_	_	_	Alaska:	Alaska:	Alaska:	Alaska:	Alaska:	Alaska:	Alaska:	Alaska:	Alaska:	Alaska:	Alaska:	Alaska:	Alaska:		_	Arizona:	_	Arizona:	_		_		_	California: FORT IRWIN—BARRACKS COMPLEX (GTF)
Account		Army	Army	Air Force				Army	Army	Army			Air Force	Air Force	Air Force			Air Force	Air Force		Def-Wide—TMA	Army Guard		Army	Army	Navy	Air Force	Army Guard	Army Guard		Air Guard	Air Guard	Army Army

Army Nawy Nayy Nayy Nayy Nayy Nayy Nayy Nay	California: SIERRA AD—WATER IREATMENT PLANT California: SIERRA AD—WATER IREATMENT PLANT California: BARSTOW—BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—PICO (24 AREA) California: CAMP PENDLETOW—BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—PICO (24 AREA) California: CAMP PENDLETOW—BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—BARGARITA (33 AREA California: CAMP PENDLETOW—BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—BEL MAR (21 AREA) California: CAMP PENDLETOW—BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—DEL MAR (21 AREA) California: CAMP PENDLETOW—BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—DEL MAR (21 AREA) California: CAMP PENDLETOW—BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—DEL MAR (31 AREA) California: CAMP PENDLETOW—BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HORNO (13 AREA) (GTF) California: CAMP PENDLETOW—BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HORNO (13 AREA) (GTF) California: CAMP PENDLETOW—BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HORNO (13 AREA) (GTF) California: CAMP PENDLETOW—CORROSION CONIROL WATER TREATMENT FACILITY (GTF) California: CAMP PENDLETOW—NEW TRANING STING (GTF) California: CAMP PENDLETOW—BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HORNO (13 AREA) (GTF) California: CAMP PENDLETOW—NEW TRANING STING (GTF) California: CAMP PENDLETOW—NEW TRANING STING (GTF) California: CAMP PENDLETOW—NEW TRANING STING (GTF) California: CAMP PENDLETOW—NEW TRANING CANING CAN	15,000 12,400 7,830 32,540 34,120 34,120 34,500 33,130 12,230 32,350 32,350 32,350 32,350 32,350 32,350 32,350 32,350	The President, Senator Feinstein The President, Senators Feinstein and Boxer The President, Senator Feinstein
	California: CAMP PENDLETON—California: CAMP PEND	24,790 10,050 48,640 30,300 15,150 25,920 34,340 32,430	Senator Senator Senator Senator Senator Senator Senator Senator Senator
Navy Navy Navy Navy Navy Navy Navy Navy	California: CAMP PENDLETON—California: CAMP PENDLETON—California: CAMP PENDLETON—California: CAMP PENDLETON—California: CAMP PENDLETON—California: CAMP PENDLETON—California: MIRAMAR—MILTAR—California: MIRAMAR—MILTAR—California: MIRAMAR—IN-LINE California: MIRAMAR—IN-LINE California: MORTH ISLAND—CH California: SAN CLEMENTE ISLA California: SAN CLEMENTE ISLA California: SAN DIEGO—RECRU	22,256 33,320 33,320 33,440 11,970 8,900 8,900 8,900 8,900 10,820 10,820 10,820 11,270 14,270 34,430 16,790	Senator Senato

CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS—Continued [In thousands of dollars]

Account	Project	Funding	Member
Navy	California: TWENTYNINE PALMS—BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	36,280	The President, Senator Feinstein
Navy	California: TWENTYNINE PALMS—BEQ AND PARKING STRUCTURE (GTF)	51,800	The President, Senator Feinstein
Navy	California: TWENTYNINE PALMS—BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS (GTF)	36,470	The President, Senator Feinstein
Navy	California: TWENTYNINE PALMS—COMBINED ARMS MOUT (PHASE 2)	21,000	The President, Senator Feinstein
Air Force	California: EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE—F-35 RAMP & SECURITY UPGRADE	3,100	The President, Senator Feinstein
Air Force	California: Travis Air Force Base—Large Crash Rescue Station	12.100	Senators Feinstein and Boxer
	California: Defense Distribution Depot-tracy—replace general purpose warehouse	41,000	The President. Senator Feinstein
		9,300	The President, Senator Feinstein
	_		
Def-Wide—SOCOM	California: CORONADO—SOF COMBAT CREW TRAINING FACILITY	008'6	The President, Senator Feinstein
Army Reserve	California: FORT HUNTER LIGGETT—MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE	3,950	The President, Senator Feinstein
Navy Reserve	California: Lemoore—marine corps reserve center	15,420	The President, Senator Feinstein
	Colorado: FORT CARSON—BARRACKS & DINING (GTF)	154,000	The President; Senators Allard and Salazar
Army	Colorado: FORT CARSON—BATTALION COMPLEX (GTF)	45,000	The President; Senators Allard and Salazar
	Colorado: FORT CARSON—UNIT MAINTENANCE FACILITIES (GTF)	15,000	The President: Senators Allard and Salazar
Army	Colorado: FORT CARSON—PHYSICAL FITNESS FACILITY (GTF)	22,000	and
Armý	Colorado: FORT CARSON—BRIGADE/BATTALION HQS (GTF)	46,000	and
Army	Colorado: FORT CARSON—COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITIES (GTF)	93,000	The President; Senators Allard and Salazar
Army	Colorado: FORT CARSON—VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOPS (GTF)	84,000	The President; Senators Allard and Salazar
Army	Colorado: FORT CARSON—INFRASTRUCTURE, BCT (GTF)	000'69	The President; Senators Allard and Salazar
Air Force	Colorado: U.S. AIR FORCE ACADEMY—UPGRADE ACADEMIC FAC, PH V	18,000	The President; Senators Allard and Salazar
Air Force	Colorado: Peterson AFB—Land Acquisition—23 Acres	4,900	
Def-Wide—TMA	Colorado: BUCKLEY AIR FORCE BASE—SATELLITE PHARMACY	3,000	The President; Senators Allard and Salazar
Army Guard	Colorado: GRAND JUNCTION—READINESS CENTER (GTF)	000'6	The President; Senators Allard and Salazar
Army Guard	Colorado: DENVER—READINESS CENTER (GTF)	9,000	The President; Senators Allard and Salazar
Air Guard	Colorado: Buckley AFB—Alert Crew Headquarters	4,200	Senators Salazar and Allard
Chem Demil Const	Colorado: PUEBLO DEPOT—AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY PH 10	65,060	The President; Senators Allard and Salazar
Navy	Connecticut: GROTON—PIER 31 REPLACEMENT	46,060	The President, Senator Dodd
Navy	Connecticut: Naval Submarine Base New London—Indoor Small Arms Range	11,000	Senators Dodd and Lieberman
Army Guard	Connecticut: CAMP RELL—REGIONAL TRAINING INSTITUTE	28,000	The President, Senator Dodd
Army Guard	Connecticut: EAST HAVEN—KD RANGE ADD/ALT	13,800	The President, Senator Dodd
Navy	District of Columbia: AUTONOMOUS SYSTEM RESEARCH LAB	24,220	The President
Air Force		19,000	The President; Senators Biden and Carper
Def-Wide—DLA	Delaware: DOVER AFB—ALTER FUEL STORAGE TANK	3,373	The President, Senator Biden

Army Guard Air Guard Air Guard Navy Reserve Amy Navy Nary Army A	IN THE CASTLE— IN THE CASTLE CONTROLL CASTLE CONTROLL— IN THE CASTLE CONTROLL— IN THE CASTLE CONTROLL— IN THE CASTLE CASTLE CONTROLL— IN THE CASTLE C	28,000 11,500 11,500 12,800 12,800 14,900 29,000 19,000 8,900 10,500 10,500 10,500 10,500 10,500 10,500 10,500 10,500 10,500 10,500 10,500 10,500 10,500 27,000 27,000 27,000 27,000 10,500 10,500 10,500 27,000 27,	The President, Senator Biden The President, Senator Biden and Carper Senators Biden and Carper The President, Senators Martinez and Nelson The President, Senators Sakson and Chambliss The President, Senators Isakson and Chambliss
Amy	Georgia: FORT BENNING—BASIC 10M-25M FIRING RANGE 5 Georgia: FORT BENNING—MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE 3 Georgia: FORT BENNING—MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE 3 GEORGIA: FORT BENNING—MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE 2 GEORGIA: FORT BENNING—MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE 2 GEORGIA: FORT BENNING—MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE 2 GEORGIA: FORT BENNING—ARAGE ACCESS ROAD GEORGIA: FORT BENNING—TRAINING AREA INFRASTRUCTURE—OSUT AREA GEORGIA: FORT BENNING—TRAINING AREA INFRASTRUCTURE—NORTHERN AREA GEORGIA: FORT STEWART—SHOOT HOUSE (GTF) GEORGIA: FORT STEWART—SHOOT HOUSE (GTF)	2,500 4,900 4,500 4,900 8,800 6,900 9,100 116,000 13,800 2,300	The President; Senators Isakson and Chambliss

CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS—Continued [In thousands of dollars]

Account	Project	Funding	Member
	ATTAC TRIBUTATION TO THE CONTRACT TO THE CONTR	000	
Army	Georgia:	000,65	The President; Senators Isakson and Chambliss
Army	Georgia:	28,000	The President; Senators Isakson and Chambliss
Army	Georgia:	20,000	The President; Senators Isakson and Chambliss
Army	Georgia:	36,000	The President; Senators Isakson and Chambliss
Army	Georgia:	75,000	The President; Senators Isakson and Chambliss
Army	Georgia:	67,000	The President; Senators Isakson and Chambliss
Army	Georgia:	30,000	The President; Senators Isakson and Chambliss
Navy	Georgia:	15,320	The President; Senators Isakson and Chambliss
Air Force	Georgia:	24,100	The President; Senators Isakson and Chambliss
	Georgia:	100,220	The President; Senators Isakson and Chambliss
Def-Wide—TMA	Georgia:	3,900	The President; Senators Isakson and Chambliss
Def-Wide—DLA		3,500	The President; Senators Isakson and Chambliss
Army Guard		45,000	The President; Senators Isakson and Chambliss
Naw Reserve	Georgia:	7,560	The President: Senators Isakson and Chambliss
	_	6,450	Senators Chambliss and Isakson
		65,000	The President, Senator Inouve
		000,69	The President, Senator Incuve
		27,000	The President, Senator Inouye
Army		42,000	The President, Senator Inouye
Army		76,000	The President, Senator Inouye
Army		40,000	The President, Senator Inouye
Army		21,300	Senators Inouye and Akaka
Navy		28,900	The President, Senator Inouye
Navy		28,200	The President, Senator Inouye
Navy		45,000	The President, Senator Inouye
Navy		29,300	The President, Senator Inouye
Navy		41,088	The President, Senator Inouye
Navy		5,990	The President, Senator Inouye
Air Force		8,700	Senator Akaka
		27,700	The President, Senator Inouye
Army Reserve		19,199	The President, Senator Inouye
Air Force		1,800	Senators Crapo and Craig
Army Guard	_	1,850	The President
Army Reserve		9,580	The President

109	9
13,977 The President, Senator Durbin 16,186 Senator Durbin 16,186 Senator Durbin 16,186 Senator Durbin 16,180 The President 1,500 The President 1,500 Senator Bayh and Lugar 1,500 Senator Harkin and Grassley 15,000 The President, Senator Roberts 17,100 Senator Brownback 15,110 Senator Brownback 15,513 The President, Senator McConnell 14,000 The President, Senator McConnell 15,500 The President, Senator McConnell 16,500 The President, Senator McConnell 17,000 The President, Senator McConnell 18,600 The President, Senator McConnell 18,600 The President, Senator McConnell 19,000 The President Senator McConnell	
Illinois. GREAT LAKES—RTC SPECIAL PROGRAMS BARRACKS Illinois. SCOTT AFB—USTRANSCOM JOINT INTEL OPERATIONS CENTER Illinois. Urbana Armony—Readiness Center Indiana. CAMP ATTREBRIX—MILT PURPOSE MACHINE GUIN RANGE Indiana. CAMP ATTREBRIX—MILT PURPOSE MACHINE GUIN RANGE Indiana. CAMP ATTREBRIX—MILT PURPOSE MACHINE GUIN RANGE Indiana. CARD ATTREBRIX—MILT PURPOSE MACHINE GUIN RANGE Indiana. CARD AMENDERS CENTER (Modularity) Indiana. Grane Army Ammunition Activity—Ready Service Magazine Complex Iowa: Mount Pleasant—Readiness Center Addition/Alteration Iowa: Fort Dodge—Vehicle Maintenance and Communications Training Kansas. FORT RILEY—BATLALION COMPLEX (GIF) Kansas. FORT RILEY—BATLALION COMPLEX (GIF) Kansas. Smoby Hill ANG Range—Ubgrade Smoky Hill Range Support Complex Kansas. Smoby Hill ANG Range—Ubgrade Smoky Hill Range Support Complex Kansas. FORT CAMPBELL—INTALION COMPLEX (GIF) Kentucky: FORT CAMPBELL—MILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER (GIF) Kentucky: FORT CAMPBELL—Installation Chapel Center (Design) Kentucky: FORT CAMPBELL—Installation Chapel Center (Design)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Navy Bet-Wide—DIA Army Guard Army Army Army Army Army Army Army Army	Def-Wide—TMA Chem Demil Const Chem Demil Const Army Reserve Army Air Force Navy Navy Navy Navy Navy Navy Navy Navy

	110	
Member	The President; Senators Mikulski and Cardin The President; Senators Mikulski and Cardin The President Senators Levin and Merry The President Senators Levin and Stabenow Senators Levin and Stabenow The President; Senators Levin and Stabenow The President Senators Mobuchar and Coleman Senators Mobuchar and Coleman Senators Mobuchar and Micker The President, Senator Wicker Senator Wicker The President, Senator Wicker Senator Wicker Senator Bond The President, Senator Reid The President Senator Bond Senators Bancus and Tester The President Senator Reid The President Senator Reid The President, Senator Reid The President	_
Funding	9,800 11,600 21,000 1,900 1,900 1,900 1,900 1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500 1,00	010,11
Project	Maryland: SALISBURY—READINESS CENTER ADDALI (Modularity) Massachusetts: METHUEW—READINESS CENTER, ADD/ALT (ADRS) (Modularity) Massachusetts: METHUEW—READINESS CENTER, ADD/ALT (ADRS) (Modularity) Massachusetts: Otis Air National Guard Base—Digital Ground Station Massachusetts: FORT DEVENS—SHOOTHOUSE Michigan: Camp Grayling—Harnatry Squad Battle Course Michigan: Camp Grayling—Barracks Replacement, Phase I Michigan: SAGINAW—ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND (GTF) Minnesota: ABDEN HILLS—READINESS CENTER (GTF) Minnesota: ADDLIN 148th FW Base—Fuel Cell Hangar Mississippi: GULIPORT—25 NAVAL CONSTRUCTION REGIMENT HQ FACILITY Mississippi: GULIPORT—25 NAVAL CONSTRUCTION REGIMENT HQ K-9 Kemel Mississippi: GULIPORT—25 NAVA RESERVE CENTER (GTF) Missouri: FORT LEONARD WOOD—PRIMARY CARE CLINIC ADDITION/ALITERATION Missouri: FORT LEONARD WOOD—PRIMARY CARE CLINIC ADDITION/ALITERATION Missouri: Miscouri Mir Force Base—Upgrade Weapons Storage Area, Phase I Nevada: CREECH ARE—UAS PLIGHT SIM & ACADEMICS FAC Nevada: CREECH ARE—UAS PLIGHT SIM & ACADEMICS FAC Nevada: CREECH ARE—UAS SURFIELD PAVEMENTS Nevada: RELIS ARE—F-16 AGGERSSOR HANGAR/AIRCRATT MAINT UNIT Nevada: NELLIS ARE—F-16 AGGERSSOR HANGAR/AIRCRATT MAINT UNIT Nevada: Nelles HB—H-15 AGGERSSOR HANGAR/AIRCRATT MAINT UNIT Nevada: Nelles HB—H-16 AGGERSSOR PAUGH SIMP AND HANGAR/AIRCRATT MAINT UNIT Nevada: NELLIS ARE—F-16 AGGERSSOR HANGAR/AIRCRATT MAINT UNIT Nevada: NELLIS ARE—F-16 AGGRESSOR HANGAR/AIRCRATT MAINT UNIT Nevada: NELLIS ARE—F-16 AGGRESSOR HANGAR/AIRCRATT MAINT UNIT Nevada: NELLIS ARE—F-16 AGGRESSOR	I NEVAUGE EINONEGULIESS CELITEI
Account	Army Guard Army Reserve Army Reserve Army Guard Army Guard Army Guard Army Guard Army Reserve Army Force Army Force Ari Force	Allily Guald

2,058 Senators Reid and Ensign 1,500 Senator Reid 33,900 The President, Senator Reid 15,440 The President; Senators Lautenberg and	Nenendez 8,160 Senators Lautenberg and Menendez 3,825 The President; Senators Lautenberg and	Menendez 14,500 The President; Senators Domenici and Binga-	4,600 The President; Senators Domenici and Binga-	1,050 The President; Senators Domenici and Binga-	3,150 The President; Senators Domenici and Binga-	2,150 The President; Senators Domenici and Binga-	495 Senators Domenici and Bingaman 18,100 The President; Senators Domenici and Binga-	sident; Senators Domenici and Binga-	8,300 Senators Domenici and Bingaman 29,000 The President 24,000 The Desident Senators Senato	37,000 The President				7,500 Senators Schumer and Clinton 13,494 The President			20,500 The President		39,890 The President	-
Nevada: Las Vegas—Field Maintenance Shop (Design)	. New Jersey: Earle Naval Weapons Station—Main Gate Security Improvements	. New Mexico: HOLLOMAN AFB—F-22 ALTER HANGAR FOR LO/CRF	. New Mexico: HOLLOMAN AFB—F-22A AEROSPACE GROUND EQUIP FAC	. New Mexico: HOLLOMAN AFB—F-22A ADAL A/C MAINT UNIT	. New Mexico: HOLLOMAN AFB—F-22A ADAL FLIGHT SIMULATOR FAC	. New Mexico: HOLLOMAN AFB—F-22A ADAL JET ENGINE MAINTENANCE SHOP	. New Mexico: HOLLOMAN AFB—F-22A Consolidated Munitions Maintenance (Design)	. New Mexico: KIRTLAND AFB—REPLACE FUEL STORAGE TANKS	New Mexico: Cannon AFB—SOF CV-22 Simulator Facility New York: FORT DRUM—BRIGADE COMPLEX-BARRACKS (GTF) New York: FORT DRUM BRIGADE COMPLEX-BARRACKS (GTF)	. I NEW TOTK FORT DRUM—DINIT MAINTENANCE FACILITIES (GTF)	New York: U.S. MILITARY ACADEMY—SCIENCE FACILITY, PH 1	New York: FORT DRUM—MANEUVER AREA TRAINING EQUIP SITE PH3	New York: HANCOCK FIELD—TFI—REAPER 10C/FOC BEDDOWN	. I New York: Gabreski Airport, Westnampton—Parafescue racility, 10eth Rescue Wing, Phase 2 . I New York: KINGSTON—ARMY RESERVE CENTER/LAND	. New York: SHOREHAM—ADD/ALT ARMY RESERVE CENTER	New York: STATEN ISLAND—ARMY RESERVE CENTER (GTF)	. NOTH CATOLINA: FUKI BKAGG—IKAINING SUPPUKI CENIEK (GIF)	North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—ACCESS ROADS PHASE 1	. North Carolina: CAMP LEJEUNE—BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HADNOT POINT	. ו NVIII VAIVIIIA: טמאון בבבטור—טחטורבטו בויבטובט עטחוובוט—וויבויטו טויבה
Army Guard Army Guard Army Reserve Army Wavy	Navy	Air Force	Air Force	Air Force	Air Force	Air Force	Air Force	Def-Wide—DLA	Def-Wide Army	Army	Army Great	Army Guard	Air Guard	Alf Guard Army Reserve	Army Reserve	Army Reserve	Army Army	Army	Navy	yy

Funding Member	38.230 The President 25.000 The President 26.220 The President 38.990 The President 38.990 The President 38.390 The President 38.300 The President 39.320 The President 42.950 The President 30.100 The President 30.480 The President 30.480 The President 30.480 The President 36.740 The President 36.740 The President 36.75 The President 36.75 The President 36.75 The President 36.75 The President 36.79 The President 36.79 The President 36.79 The President 36.70 The President 36.70 The President 36.30 The President 36.30 The President 36.300 The President
Project	North Carolina: CAMP LEIGUNE—BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—CAMP JOHNSON (GTF) North Carolina: CAMP LEIGUNE—CONSOLIDATED MESS HALL-HADNO POINT (200 AREA) (GTF) North Carolina: CAMP LEIGNE—MOD K-RANGES (PHASE 2) (GTF) North Carolina: CAMP LEIGNE—BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—CAMP JOHNSON (GTF) North Carolina: CAMP LEIGNE—BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—CAMP JOHNSON (GTF) North Carolina: CAMP LEIGNE—BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HADNOT POINT (GTF) North Carolina: CHERRY POINT MCAS—BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HADNOT POINT (GTF) North Carolina: CHERRY POINT MCAS—ENGINEERING PRODUCT SUPPORT FACILITY North Carolina: HERRY POINT MCAS—ENGINEERING PRODUCT SUPPORT FACILITY North Carolina: NEW RIVER—BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—MCAS (GTF) North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—NEW ILEMENTARY SCHOOL North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—NEW ILEMENTARY SCHOOL North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—SOF TRAINING FACILITY North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—SOF TRAINING CAPPORTECTION North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—SOF SECURITY/FORCE PROTECTION North Carolina: FORT BRAGG—SOF SECURITY/FORCE PROTECTION OHOR Springfield-Beckley ANG Base—Combat Communications Training Complex (Design) Ohio: Springfield-Beckley ANG Base—Combat Complex (GPF) Ohio: Springfield-Beckley ANG Base—Combat Communications Training Complex (Design) Ohio: Springfield-Beckley
Account	Navy Navy Navy Navy Navy Navy Navy Navy

	39,000 The President, Senator Hutchison The President, Senator Hutchison 148,000 The President, Senator Hutchison The President, Senator Hutchison 34,000 The President, Senator Hutchison The President, Senator Hutchison The President, Senator Hutchison 10,200 The President, Senator Hutchison
Oklahoma: Altus AFB—Construct Consolidated Digital Airport Surveillance Radar (DASR)/RAPCON Facility. Oklahoma: ALTUS AFB—REPLACE FUEL STORAGE DIKES. Oklahoma: TINKER AFB—AFR SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE HANGAR Oregon: The Dalles Amory—Readiness Center (Design) Pennsylvania: TINKER AFB—AFR SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE HANGAR Oregon: Dennsylvania: CARLISLE BARRACKS—MUSEUM SUPPORT FACILITY Pennsylvania: PHILADELPHIA—CONVERT WAREHOUSE TO ADMIN SPACE Pennsylvania: PHILADELPHIA—CONVERT WAREHOUSE TO ADMIN SPACE Pennsylvania: PHILADELPHIA—CONVERT WAREHOUSE TO ADMIN SPACE Pennsylvania: LETTERKENNY ARMY DEPOT—ARMY RESERVE CENTRR Rhode Island: Quonset State Airport—Construct Air Traffic Control Tower Rhode Island: Outh Mingstown ARNG—Army Aviation Support Facility South Carolina: PARRIS ISLAND—THIRD RECRUIT TRAINING BATTALION (PHASE 2) South Carolina: PARRIS ISLAND—THIRD RECRUIT TRAINING BATTALION (PHASE 2) South Carolina: PARRIS ISLAND—THIRD RECRUIT TRAINING BATTALION (PHASE 2) South Carolina: PARRIS ISLAND—THIRD RECRUIT RAINING BATTALION (PHASE 2) South Carolina: PARRIS ISLAND—THIRD RECRUIT RAINING BATTALION (PHASE 2) South Carolina: PARRIS ISLAND—THIRD RECRUIT RAINING BATTALION (PHASE 2) South Carolina: ANDERSON—READINESS CENTER South Davota: Ellsworth Air Force Base—Base Entry and Perimeter Gates South Davota: Cann Rapid—Barracks/Dining/Admin and Parking Complex Phase 1 South Davota: Lellsworth Air Force Base—Base Entry and Perimeter Gates South Davota: Lellsworth Air Force Base—Base Entry and Perimeter Gates South Davota: Lellsworth Air Force Base—Base Entry and Perimeter Gates South Davota: Lellsworth Air Force Base—Base Entry and Perimeter Gates South Davota: Lellsworth Air Force Base—Base Entry and Perimeter Gates South Davota: Lellsworth Air Force Base—Base Entry and Perimeter Gates South Davota: Lellsworth Ready Shelters and Ai	Texas; FORPUS CHRIST—DYNAMIC COMPONENT REBUILD FACILITY (GTF) TEXAS; FORT BLISS—BARRACKS & DINING (GTF) TEXAS; FORT BLISS—BARRACKS & DINING (GTF) TEXAS; FORT BLISS—BARTACKS & DINING (GTF) TEXAS; FORT BLISS—BATTALION COMPLEX (GTF) TEXAS; FORT BLISS—BATTALION COMPLEX (GTF)
Air Force Def-Wide—DLA Def-Wide—DLA Arir Force Reserve Army Guard Army Guard Army Guard Army Guard Army Reserve Army Reserve Army Reserve Army Reserve Army Reserve Army Guard Army Reserve Army Guard Army Reserve	Amy Amy Amy Amy Amy Amy Amy

Account	Project	Funding	Member
•	-		: : :
Army	lexas: FORI BLISS—	98,000	
Army	BLISS—	44,000	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	BLISS—	90,000	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	FORT BLISS—	44,000	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	BLISS—	90,000	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	FORT BLISS—	81,000	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	BLISS	81,000	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	FORT BLISS—	100,000	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT BLISS—	000'6	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT BLISS—DIGITAL MULTIPURPOSE RANGE COMPLEX	42,000	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army		32,000	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: FORT SAM HOUSTON—TRAINEE BARRACKS COMPLEX (GTF)	96,000	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army	Texas: RED RIVER ARMY DEPOT—MANEUVER SYSTEMS SUSTAINMENT CTR, PHASE 1 (GTF)	006'9	The President, Senator Hutchison
Air Force	Texas: Lackland Afb—Bmt recruit dormitory	75,515	The President, Senator Hutchison
Air Force	Texas: FORT HOOD—TACP JOINT AIR GROUND CTR	10,800	The President, Senator Hutchison
Air Force	Texas: Dyess Air Force Base—Multipurpose C-130 Aircraft Maintenance Hangar	21,000	Senators Hutchison and Cornyn
Air Force	Texas: Randolph Air Force Base—Fire and Rescue Station (Design)	972	Senators Hutchison and Cornyn
Air Force	Texas: Goodfellow Air Force Base—Joint Intelligence Technical Training Facility (Design)	1,656	Senators Hutchison and Cornyn
Air Force	Texas: Lackland Air Force Base—Phase 1 Security Forces Building (Design)	006	Senators Hutchison and Cornyn
Air Force	Texas: Sheppard Air Force Base—Centralized Administrative Processing Center (Design)	1,314	Senators Hutchison and Cornyn
Def-Wide—TMA	Texas: Fort Sam Houston—medical instructional facility	13,000	The President, Senator Hutchison
Army Reserve	Texas: SINTON—ARMY RESERVE CENTER (GTF)	9,700	The President, Senator Hutchison
Air Force	Utah: HILL AFB—F-22A HEAVY MAINT FAC & COMPOSITE BACK SHOP	36,000	The President, Senator Hatch
Air Force	Utah: Hill Air Force Base—Three-Bay Fire Station	5,400	Senators Hatch and Bennett
Def-Wide—DLA	Utah: HILL AFB—HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	20,400	The President, Senator Hatch
Army Guard		17,500	The President, Senator Hatch
Army Guard	_	10,200	Senators Leahy and Sanders
Army Guard	Vermont: Westminster Training Site—Zero Range	1,789	Senators Leahy and Sanders
Air Guard	Vermont: BURLINGTON IAP—SECURITY FORCES AND COMM FACILITY	009'9	The President, Senator Leahy
Army	_	7,200	and
Army	Virginia:	14,400	and
Army	Virginia:	90,000	and
Army	Virginia:	10,600	
Army	VII'ginia: FUK MYEK—BARKKACKS (GIF)	14,000	The President; Senators Warner and Wedd

10,500 The President; Senators Warner and Webb 42,830 The President; Senators Warner and Webb 55,701 The President; Senators Warner and Webh	6,350	13,750		36,280 The President; Senators Warner	27,530	2,980		31,000	11,600 The President; Senators Warner and Webb	6,967		15,572	15,500 The President; Senators Warner and Webb	2,950 The President; Senators Warner and Webb	13,600		12,320	54,000	47,000	30,000	27,000	102,000	50,700	34,000	5,500	38,000	32,000	766 Senators Murray and Cantwell	idron (IWAS) Facil- 8,600 Senators Murray and Cantwell		37,500	2,000	7	6.300
Virginia: NORFOLK—CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	Virginia: QUANTCO—INSTRUCTION FACILITY ADDITION—TBS (GTF)	Virginia: QUANTICO—MESS HALL—OUS (GTF)	VII.BIIIIBI: QUANTICO—INFRASTRUC IURE—RUSSELL RUAD (FTASE 1)	Virginia: QUANTICO—AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON (GREEN SIDE)	Virginia: QUANTICO—STUDENT QUARTERS—TBS (PHASE 3)	Virginia: MCB Quantico—Marine Corps Officer Candidate School (OCS) Headqual	Virginia: CRANEY ISLAND—REPLACE FUEL STORAGE TANKS	Virginia: DAM NECK—SOF OPERATIONAL FACILITY INC 2	Virginia: FORT STORY—SOF SMALL ARMS RANGE	Virginia: PENTAGON—PENTAGON ATHLETIC CENTER PHASE 2	Virginia: PENTAGON—PFPA HAZMAT FACILITY	Virginia: PENTAGON—RAVEN ROCK WEST POWER PLANT	Virginia: ARLINGTON—ARLINGTON HALL READINESS CENTER PHASE 2	Virginia: FORT PICKETT—MULTIPURPOSE MACHINE GUN RANGE	Virginia: Ft. Eustis—Training Support Center, Phase 1	Virginia: NORFOLK—EODMU 10 OPERATIONS FACILITY	Virginia: WILLIAMSBURG—ORDNANCE HANDLING CARGO OPS TRAINING SUPPORT	Washington: FORT LEWIS—BATTALION COMPLEX (GTF)	Washington: FORT LEWIS—BATTALION COMPLEX (GTF)	Washington: FORT LEWIS—BRIGADE COMPLEX (GTF)	Washington: FORT LEWIS—CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER (GTF)	Washington: FORT LEWIS—BRIGADE COMPLEX, INCR 3 (Modularity)	Washington: BANGOR—LIMITED AREA PROD & STORAGE COMPLEX (INC V)	Washington: WHIDBEY ISLAND—HANGAR 5 RECAPITALIZATION (INCREMENTED)	Washington: MCCHORD AFB—C-17 ADAL FLIGHT SIMULATOR	Washington: FORT LEWIS—SOF RANGER BATTALION COMPLEX	Washington: FORT LEWIS—AVIATION READINESS CENTER (Modularity)	Washington: Fairchild AFB—Hangar 1001 Improvement	Washington: McChord Air Force Base—262 Information Warfare Aggressor Squa	ity.	Washington: SEATTLE—ARMY RESERVE CENTER (GTF)	West Virginia: Nenova—Hr-State Afmory Addition	West Virginia: Teager Airport, Unarieston—Fruel System/Corrosion Control Hangar Wast Virginia: Martinshiirg Air Rase—C—5 Taxiwav Ungrades (Design)	Wisconsin: Triax Field—Communications and Audio Visual Training Facility
Navy Navy Navo	Navy	Navy	Navy Navy				Def-Wide—DLA	Def-Wide—S0C0M	Def-Wide—S0C0M	Def-Wide—WHS	Def-Wide—WHS	Def-Wide—WHS	Army Guard	Army Guard	Army Reserve	Navy Reserve	Navý Reserve	Army	Army		Army	Army	Navy	Navy	Air Force	Def-Wide—S0C0M	Army Guard	Army Guard	Air Guard		Army Reserve	Army Guard	Air Guard Air Guard	Air Guard

Funding Member	8,600 810 8 7,000 1 22,000 1 19,000 1 27,400 1 8,800 1 21,000 1 20,600 1 35,060 1 35,060 1 35,060 1 35,060 1	15.00 The President 19.00 The President 19.00 The President 19.00 The President 19.00 The President 10.00 The President 10.0
	Wyoming: F. E. WARREN AFB—RENOVATE HISTORIC DORMITORY Wyoming: F. E. WARREN AFB—Missile Service Complex (Design) Wyoming: HEVERNNE MAP—TFI—C—130 SQDN OPERATIONS FACILITY Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—BULK FUEL STORAGE & SUPPLY, PHASE 8 Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—BULK FUEL STORAGE & SUPPLY, PHASE 8 Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—C—130 MAINTENANCE HANGAR Afghanistan: BAGRAM AIR BASE—CARGO HANDLING AREA EXPANSION Cuba: GUANTANAMO BAY—CONSOLIDATED FITNESS COMPLEX Cuba: GUANTANAMO BAY—CONSOLIDATED FITNESS COMPLEX Diego Garcia: DIEGO GARCIA—WHARF UPGRADE AND WAREHOUSE DIEGO CARCIA—WHARF UPGRADE AND WAREHOUSE DIEGOLIT CAMP I EMONIER—TELOMA FACILITY	JUDIOURI: CAMPLI LEMONIEK—LELCUM FACILITY Germany: KATTERBACH—AIRCRAFTYCHICLE. MICRAPINCHICA COMPLEX (GTF). Germany: GERMERSHEIM—LOGISTICS DISTRIBUTION CENTER EUROPE Gerece: SOUDA BAY—FUEL STORAGE TANKS AND PIPELINE REPL Greece: SOUDA BAY—FUEL STORAGE TANKS AND PIPELINE REPL Greece: SOUDA BAY—FUEL STORAGE TANKS AND PIPELINE REPL Gram: GUAM—BACHELOR FRIISTED QUARTERS, MAIN BASE Guam: GUAM—WASTEWARTE COLLECTION SYSTEM & UPGRADE GUAM: GUAM—WASTEWARTE COLLECTION SYSTEM & UPGRADE GUAM: GUAM—WASTEWARTE COLLECTION SYSTEM & UPGRADE GUAM: GUAM—CENTRAL UTILITY PLANT TADY: VICENZA—BDE COMPLEX-BARRACKS/COMMUNITY, INCR 2 (Global Defense Posture) JAPAN: CAMP PLUMPHERYS—FAMILY HOUSING NEW CONSTRUCTION Korea: CAMP HUMPHERYS—FAMILY HOUSING NEW CONSTRUCTION Korea: CAMP HUMPHERYS—FAMILY HOUSING NEW CONSTRUCTION GARA: AL UDED—SOF TRAINING RANGE (Global Defense Posture) GARA: AL UDED—SOF TRAINING RANGE (Global Defense Posture) GARA: AL UDED—SOF TRAINING RANGE (Global Defense Posture) United Kinedom: ROYAL AR FORE LAKEHLENTH—HARDE SYATION
Account		Navy University Army Ge Def-Wide—DLA Ge Def-Wide—DLA Gr Navy Gl Navy Gl Air Force Gl Army Gl Army Ita Army Ja Army Ja Army Ja Army Ko

Navy	Worldwide Unspecified: VARIOUS LOCATIONS—JOINT OPERATIONS & SUPPORT COMPLEX, PHASE	17,800	The President
Air Force Air Force Air Force Def-Wide Der-Wide General Provision	Undewide Unspecified. UAS FIELD TRAINING UNIT OPS COMPLEX Worldwide Unspecified. UAS FIELD TRAINING UNIT MAINT COMPLEX Worldwide Unspecified. COMMON BATTLEFIELD AIRMAN TRNG COMPLEX Worldwide Unspecified. STRATCOM Replacement Facility (Design) Worldwide Unspecified. Various Locations—BMDS-European Midcourse Radar Site Worldwide Unspecified. Various Locations—BMDS-European Interceptor Site France: Lafayette Escadrille Memorial	15,500 22,000 15,000 10,000 108,560 26,072 500	The President The President The President Senator Ben Nelson The President The President The President
	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 2005		
Air Force	Alaska: Elmendorf AFB—Aircraft Support Equip Shop	3,000	The President
Air Force	Alaska: Elmendorf AFB—Add to and Alter for Squad Ops and AMU	8,200	The President
Air Force		1,000	The President
Air Force	Alaska: Elmendori AFB— Aite bug sollo Jupply alid Sedulity Foldes Alaska: Elmendori AFB— Base Engineer Complex	3,600	The President
Air Force	Alaska: Elmendorf AFB—Fuel Cell/Corrosion Control Facility	22,000	The President
Air Force	Alaska: Elmendorf AFB—Medical Training Facility	5,400	The President
Air Force	Alaska: Elmendorf AFB—Operations and Training Facility	8,900	The President
Air Force	Alaska: Elmendorf AFB—Training Fire Station	2,500	The President
Air Force	Alaska: Elmendorf AFB—Vehicle Maintenance Shop	1,500	The President
Army	Alabama: Redstone Arsenal—Rotary Wing Center	46,000	The President
Army	Alabama: Redstone Arsenal—AMC & USASAC Headquarters, Increment II	98,000	The President
Defense-WideMDA	Alabama: Redstone Arsenal—Von Braun Complex Phase 3 Increment II	127,000	The President
Army	Arkansas: Jonesboro—Armed Forces Reserve Center	23,000	The President
Army	Arkansas: NW Arkansas (Fayetteville)—Armed Forces Reserve Center	25,000	The President
Navy	California: MCAS Miramar—HRSC Consolidation	20,940	The President
Navy	California: MCAS Miramar—Construct & Alter Regional Confinement Facility	31,950	The President
Navy	California: NAMS China Lake—Weapons and Armament Facility #1	32,870	The President
Navy	California: NAMS China Lake—Renovate Facilities, Ordnance Area	9,270	The President
Navy	California: NAWS China Lake—Lab Renovation, Building 5	25,520	The President
Navy	California: NAWS China Lake—Ordnance Storage Facilities	12,110	The President
Air Force	Colorado: Buckley AFB—BRAC AFR Training Facility	7,200	The President
Air Force	Colorado: Buckley AFB—BRAC ARPC Administrative	25,000	The President
Army	Connecticut: AFRC Middletown—Armed Forces Reserve Center	68,000	The President
Army	Connecticut: Newtown Armory—Armed Forces Reserve Center	008'99	The President
Air Force	Connecticut: Bradley IAP AGS—Upgrade A-10 Engine CIRF	1,100	The President
Navy	District of Columbia: Washington—Navy Systems Management Activity Relocation	14,963	The President
Navy	l District of Columbia: Washington—Navy Systems Management Activity Warehouse	/,610	The President

-	Funding Member	52 000 The President	_	148,000 The President	810 The President	1,550 The President	3,810 The President	2,006 The President	1,050 The President	10,400 The President	5,000 The President	21,800 The President	8,900 The President	39,600 The President	80,000 The President	7,700 The President	39,000 The President	63,000 The President	3,050 The President	74,000 The President	12,000 The President	1,000 The President	4,000 The President	4,400 The President	1,800 The President	2,600 The President	2,550 The President	5,000 The President	3,000 The President	11,800 The President	1,600 The President	6,200 The President	39,000 The President	1,450 The President	4,900 The President
	Fun		_		_	_		-		-			-						-			-			-	-									_
	Project	Delaware: Dover AEB—hoint Medical Examiner Facility	Delaware: AFRC Newark—Armed Forces Reserve Center	Florida: Eglin AFB—Special Forces Complex	Florida: Eglin AFB—BRAC F-35 Construction Haul Road	Florida: Eglin AFB—BRAC F–35 Duke Field Barriers	Florida: Eglin AFB—F-35 (JSF) Renovate Maintenance Dock B1318	Florida: Eglin AFB—F–35 (JSF) Renovate Maintenance Dock B1344	Florida: Eglin AFB—F—35 (JSF) Renovate Warehouse B1404	Florida: Eglin AFB—F–35 (JSF) Utility Infrastructure Upgrades	Florida: Eglin AFB—JSF IFT Dining Facility	Florida: Eglin AFB—JSF Marine Corps/Navy Hangar (Increment II)	Florida: Eglin AFB—JSF Munition Maintenance	Florida: NAS Pensacola—BRAC-CSO Bachelor Quarters	Georgia: Fort Benning—Medical Facility, Increment I	Georgia: Fort Benning—Headquarters Bldg, Armor Officer Basic Crs	Georgia: Fort Benning—General Instruction Complex 2, Increment I	Georgia: Fort Benning—Vehicle Maintenance Instruction Facility	Georgia: Fort Benning—CIDC Field Operations Bldg	Georgia: Fort Benning—Infrastructure Support, Increment II	Georgia: Fort Gillem—Armed Forces Reserve Center, Add/Alt	Georgia: Moody AFB—BRAC Add/Alter Dental Clinic	Georgia: Moody AFB—BRAC Child Development Center	Georgia: Moody AFB—BRAC Community Activity Center	Georgia: Moody AFB—BRAC Transient Lodging Facility	Georgia: Moody AFB—BRAC Visiting Quarters	Georgia: Moody AFB—LOLA/Ramp/Gun Berm	Hawaii: Hickam AFB—Flight Simulator Training Facility	lowa: Sioux Gateway APT—KC—135 Test Apron and Taxiway	Illinois: Carbondale—Armed Forces Reserve Center	Illinois: Capital APT AGS—F-16 CIRF Sound Suppressor Foundation	Illinois: Capital APT AGS—Upgrade F—16 Engine CIRF	Indiana: Greenwood (Indianapolis)—Armed Forces Reserve Center	Kansas: McConnell AFB—Munitions Delivery Road	Kansas: McConnell AFB—STAMP Relocation
	Account	Armv		Army				Air Force	Air Force	Air Force	Air Force	Air Force	Air Force		Army		Army	Army	Army	Army	Army	Air Force	Air Force		Air Force	Air Force	Air Force	Air Force	Air Force	Army	Air Force		Army	Air Force	Air Force

Air Force	Kansas: McConnell AFB—STRAPP Relocation	1,800	The President
Army	Kentucky: Fort Knox—Human Resources Command Complex, Increment III	55,400	The President
Army	Kentucky: Fort Knox—Army Reserve Center, Phase 2	28,000	The President
Army	Louisiana: Shreveport—Armed Forces Reserve Center	16,500	The President
Air Force	Louisiana: New Orleans ARS—Establish F-15 CIRF	5,100	The President
Air Force	Louisiana: New Orleans ARS—F-15 CIRF Sound Suppressor Foundation	1,500	The President
Air Force	Massachusetts: Barnes MPT AGS—EOD Facility	1,750	The President
Army	Maryland: Aberdeen Proving Ground—Medical Research Lab, Chem Bio Defense	27,000	The President
Army	Maryland: Aberdeen Proving Ground—Non-Medical Chem Bio Fac	27,000	The President
Army	Maryland: Aberdeen Proving Ground—Headquarters Bldg, Army Test and Eval Cmd	43,000	The President
Army	Maryland: Aberdeen Proving Ground—C4ISR, Phase 2, Increment I	000'66	The President
Army	Maryland: Aberdeen Proving Ground—C4ISR, Phase 1, Increment III	142,000	The President
Army	Maryland: Aberdeen Proving Ground—Army Research Lab Vehicle Technology	35,000	The President
Army	Maryland: Bethesda—Community Support Facilities	11,200	The President
Army	Maryland: Fort Meade—MILDEP Adjudication Activities	51,000	The President
Army	Maryland: Fort Meade—Defense Media Activity, Increment I	44,000	The President
Air Force	Maryland: Andrews AFB—BRAC Construct Administrative Facility	53,000	The President
Air Force	Maryland: Andrews AFB—BRAC Construct POV Lane, Pearl Harbor Gate	1,350	The President
Defense-Wide—DISA	Maryland: Fort Meade—Construct DISA Building	130,128	The President
Defense-Wide—TMA	Maryland: Bethesda (WRNMMC)—Medical Center Addition—Increment II	201,350	The President
Navy	Maine: Inspector-Instructor Bath—Facility Renovation Project	240	The President
Navy	Maine: Portsmouth—Special Purpose BN Ops Facility	2,900	The President
Army	Michigan: Detroit Arsenal—Administrative Office Buildings, Increment I	26,000	The President
Army	Michigan: Detroit Arsenal—Weapons Maintenance and Operations Fac	6,400	The President
Air Force	Michigan: Selfridge ANGB—Add To Alert Complex	870	The President
Army	Missouri: Leonard Wood—Prime Power School Complex	29,000	The President
Air Force	Missouri: Lambert—St. Louis IAP AGS—Relocate 157 AOG	4,000	The President
Defense-Wide-TMA	Mississippi: Keesler AFB—Community Hospital	67,700	The President
Army	North Carolina: Fort Bragg—Headquarters Bldg, FORSCOM/USARC, Increment II	150,000	The President
Army	Nebraska: Beatrice Readiness Center—Armed Forces Reserve Center	13,290	The President
Army	New Hampshire: Pease AFRC—Armed Forces Reserve Center	34,000	The President
Army	New Jersey: Lakehurst AFRC—Equipment Concentration Site	27,000	The President
Army	New Jersey: Picatinny Arsenal—Packaging, Handling, Shipping & Trans Ctr	26,000	The President
Army	New Jersey: Picatinny Arsenal—Fuze Eng Cmplx/Explosive Magazines	25,000	The President
Army	New Jersey: Picatinny Arsenal—Guns & Weapons Systems Lab (Turret)	12,000	The President
Army	New Jersey: Picatinny Arsenal—Guns & Weapons Systems Tech Data	13,000	The President
Navy	New Jersey: McGuire AFB (Cookstown)—Aviation Supply Dept & AIMD Ops Facility	37,010	The President
Navy	New Jersey: McGuire AFB (Cookstown)—Munitions Maintenance Facility	1,800	The President
Navy	New Jersey: McGuire AFB (Cookstown)—NAVY VR Fleet Logistics Ops Facility (INCR II of II)	28,882	The President
Navy	New Jersey: McGuire AFB (Cookstown)—Aviation Support Facility Hangar Renovation	12,000	The President

	Wember	60 The President	÷	_	<u> </u>	000 The President	218 The President	14 The President	000 The President	300 The President	000 The President	.00 The President	100 The President	000 The President	000 The President	500 The President	000 The President		000 The President			<u> </u>	i00 The President	_	<u>. </u>	<u> </u>	320 The President	000 The President	So The President	300 The President	200 The President	000 The President	000 The President	100 The President)00 The President
	Funding	4 260	41.941	7,800	27,000	100,000	65,218	30,714	40,000	12,600	30,000	12,100	54,900	23,000	47,000	45,500	24,000	18,500	30,000	20,570	2,450	4,920	19,500	28,000	36,000	37,000	15,320	102,000	2,350	19,300	5,200	24,000	25,000	103,000	145,000
Project of the state of the sta	Project	New Jersey: McGuire AFB (Cookstown)—C—130 Flight Simulator Facility	New Jersey: McGuire AFB (Cookstown)—Helicopters Hangars & MAG HO	Nevada: Nellis AFB—Construct Airfield Pavements	New York: AFRC Farmingdale—Armed Forces Reserve Center, Increment II	New York: West Point—US Military Academy Prep School, Increment I	Ohio: Columbus—Armed Forces Reserve Center	Ohio: Mansfield—Armed Forces Reserve Center	Ohio: Wright-Patterson AFB—Add to and Alter Sensors Laboratory (AFRL/SN)	Ohio: Wright-Patterson AFB—Pipeline Dormitory	Ohio: Wright-Patterson AFB—USAFSAM (Increment II)	Oklahoma: Broken Arrow—Armed Forces Reserve Center	Oklahoma: Broken Arrow—Armed Forces Reserve Center	Oklahoma: Muskogee—Armed Forces Reserve Center	Oklahoma: Fort Siil—ADA Brigade Complex, Increment II	Oregon: Camp Wythcombe—Armed Forces Reserve Center	Pennsylvania: AFRC Lewisburg—Armed Forces Reserve Center	Pennsylvania: AFRC Williamsport—Armed Forces Reserve Center	Pennsylvania: AFRC Willow Grove—Armed Forces Reserve Center	Pennsylvania: Philadelphia—Renovate Building 9	Pennsylvania: Tobyhanna Army Depot—Radar Maintenance Facility	Pennsylvania: NMCRC Pittsburgh—NMCRC Moundsville to NMCRC Pittsburgh, PA	Puerto Rico: AFRC Fort Allen—Armed Forces Reserve Center	Puerto Rico: AFRC Fort Buchanan—Armed Forces Reserve Center	_				_	_	_	_	_	_	_
	Account	Naw	Naw	Air Force	Army		Army	Army			Air Force	Army	Army			Army	Army	Army	Army	Navy	Navy	Navy	Army	Army	Army			Army	- 8	Army	Air Force	Army	Army	Army	Army

Army		79,000	The President
Army	Texas: Fort Bliss—Community Infrastructure	32,000	The President
Army	Texas:	40,000	The President
Army	Texas:	22,000	The President
Army	Texas:	41,000	The President
Army	Texas:	29,000	The President
Army	Texas:	29,000	The President
Air Force	Texas:	1,050	The President
Air Force	Texas:	1,150	The President
Air Force	Texas:	2,650	The President
Air Force	Texas:	18,000	The President
Air Force	Texas:	96,400	The President
Air Force	Texas:	41,200	The President
Air Force	Texas: Fort Sam Houston—METC Student Dorm #2 (Increment II	33,700	The President
Air Force	Texas: Fort Sam Houston—METC Student Dorm #3 (Increment I)	48,000	The President
Air Force	Texas: Fort Sam Houston—Tri-Service Research Facility	79,500	The President
Air Force	Texas: Randolph AFB—AF Audit Agency Relocation	1,336	The President
Defense-Wide—TMA	Texas: Fort Sam Houston—San Antonio Military Medical Center (North) Incr II	294,074	The President
Defense-Wide-TMA	Texas: Lackland AFB—WHMC Renovation of Ambulatory Care Center	51,000	The President
Air Force	Utah: Hill AFB—Renovate LANTIRN CIRF Bldgs 584 & 578	2,500	The President
Army	Virginia: Arlington Hall—Armed Forces Reserve Center, Add/Alt	80,100	The President
Army		8,300	The President
Army		23,000	The President
Army		48,000	The President
Army	Virginia: Fort Belvoir—Infrastructure Support, Increment II	20,000	The President
Army	Virginia: Fort Belvoir—Defense Access Roads, EPG	36,000	The President
Army	Virginia: Fort Eustis—Headquarters Building, TRADOC	113,000	The President
Army	Virginia: Fort Lee—USAF Transportation Management School	16,500	The President
Army	Virginia:	12,000	The President
Army	Virginia:	6,348	The President
Army	Virginia: Fort Lee—Administrative Building (DCMA)	23,000	The President
Army	Virginia: Fort Lee—Combat Service Support School, Ph 2, Increment II	143,000	The President
Army	Virginia: Fort Lee—JCOE for Culinary Training	17,000	The President
Defense-Wide—NGA	Virginia: Fort Belvoir—NGA Headquarters Facility	743,868	The President
Defense-Wide—TMA	Virginia: Fort Belvoir—Hospital Replacement—Increment III	197,750	The President
Defense-Wide	Virginia: Fort Belvoir—Office Complex	274,330	The President
Navy	Virginia: MCB Quantico—Collocate MILDEP Invest Agencies (INCR II of II)	213,109	The President
Navy	Virginia: Chesapeake—Joint Regional Correctional Facility (INCR I of II)	33,000	The President
Navy	Virginia: MCB Quantico—Pre-trial Detainee Facility	5,570	The President
Navy	Virginia: NS Norfolk—Renovate V47 for Combat Craft Facility	7,140	The President

Funding Member	21,000 The President 28,000 The President 28,000 The President 12,800 The President 25,375 The President 6,600 The President 1,150 The President		32,000 The President	The	를	30,000 The President	밀	127,000 The President	20,000 The President 16,547 The President	The	The	59,026 The President 49,600 The President	The	The	e i	The L	i Pe	빌	The	발	150,245 The President
Project	Virginia: Rivanna Sta (Charlottesville)—Joint Use Intelligence Analysis Facility—Phase 2 Vermont. AFRC White River Junction—Armed Forces Reserve Center Washington: AFRC Everett—Armed Forces Reserve Center Washington: Fairchild AFB—Relocate Combat Communications Wisconsin: Madison—Armed Forces Reserve Center Wisconsin: Madison—Armed Forces Reserve Center Wisconsin: Gen Mitchell IAP AGS—Add Hydrant Refueling Outlet	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION AND IMPROVEMENTS	Germany: Wiesbaden—Family Housing Replacement	Germany: Wiesbaden—Family Housing Replacement Carmany: Wiesbaden—Family Housing Replacement	Alaska: Fort Greely—Privatization (126 units)	Alaska: Fort Wainwright—Privatization (104 units)	Georgia: Fort Stewart—Privatization (932 units)	Texas: Fort Bliss—Privatization (763 units)	Germany: Wiesbaden/Hainerberg—Improvements (97 units)	Cuba: Guantanamo Bay—Replace Granadillo Circle	Cuba: Guantanamo Bay—Replace Granadillo Circle	california: Camp Pendieton—Public Private Venture, Phase /	Hawaii: Kaneohe Bay—Public Private Venture, Phase 3	Mississippi: Gulfport—SE Region Privatization	North Carolina: Camp Lejeune—Public Private Venture, Phase 5	Japan: CFA Sasebo—Wholehouse Revitalization, Sakura Tower	Japan: CFA Sasebo—Wholehouse Revitalization, Dragon Crest	Japan: CFA Sasebo—Wholehouse Improvement, Hario Village	Japan: MCAS Iwakuni—Revitalize Monzen Townhouses, Phase 2	United Kingdom: RAF Lakenheath—Family Housing Replacement (182 units)	l Japan: Kadena AB—Improve Family Housing, Phase 9 (614 units)
Account	Defense-Wide-DIA Army Army Army Air force Army Army Army Army Army		Army Family Housing Construction		Army Construction Improvements	Army Construction Improvements	Army Construction Improvements	Army Construction Improvements	Army Construction Improvements	Navy Family Housing Construction	Navy Family Housing Construction	Navy Construction Improvements Navy Construction Improvements	Navy Construction Improvements	Navy Construction Improvements	Navy Construction Improvements	Navy Construction Improvements	Navy Construction Improvements	Navy Construction Improvements	Navy Construction Improvements	Air Force Family Housing Construction	Air Force Construction Improvements

ction Improvements	Air Force Construction Improvements Japan: Kadena AB—Install Government Furnished Materials (314 units)	1,716	1,716 The President 368 The President
ements	Air Force Construction Improvements Japan: Misawa AB—Improve Family Housing, Phase 4 (370 units)	46,829	46,829 The President
Air Force Construction Improvements	Japan: Yokota AB—Improve Family Housing, Phase 7 (350 units)	51,007	The President
nents	Portugal: Lajes AB—Improve Family Housing (184 units)	41,275	The President
Air Force Construction Improvements	United Kingdom: RAF Alconbury—Improve Family Housing (71 units)	13,153	The President
Air Force Construction Improvements	United Kingdom: RAF Feltwell—Renovate Family Housing & Infrastructure (16 units)	11,700	1,700 The President
nents	Air Force Construction Improvements United Kingdom: RAF Menwith Hill—Improve Family Housing (1 unit)	20	50 The President
	DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS MAJOR CONSTRUCTION		
VA, Construction, Major Projects	Denver, Colorado: New Medical Facility Orlando, Florida: New Medical Facility	20,000	20,000 The President, Senators Allard and Salazar 20,000 The President, Senators Martinez and Bill Nel-
VA, Construction, Major Projects	San Juan, Puerto Rico. Seismic Corrections Building	64,400 111,412	son 64,400 The President 111,412 The President, Senators Martinez and Bill Nel-
VA, Construction, Major Projects VA. Construction. Major Projects	St. Louis, Missouri: Medical Facility Improvements and Cemetery Expansion	5,000	5,000 The President, Senator Bond 17,430 The President, Senators Martinez and Bill Nel-
		33 900	son 33 900 The President
VA, Construction, Major Projects	Massachusetts National Cemetery: Gravesite Expansion and Cemetery Improvements	20,500	The President, Senators Kennedy and Kerry
s	Calverton, New York, National Cemetery: Gravesite Expansion and Cemetery Improvements	29,000	The President, Senators Clinton and Schumer

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2008 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009

[In thousands of dollars]

				Sonato Committee recommendation	recommendation
lham	2008	Dudant notimoto	Committee	compared with (+ or	(+ 0r -)
IRBIII	appropriation	puuget estimate	recommendation	2008 appropriation	Budget estimate
TITLE I—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE					
Miltary construction, Army Rescission	3,936,583 — 8,690	4,615,920	4,561,561 - 65,120	+624,978 $-56,430$	-54,359 $-65,120$
	3,927,893	4,615,920	4,496,441	+ 568,548	-119,479
Military construction, Navy and Marine Corps	2,198,394 — 10,557	3,096,399	3,159,191	+960,797 +10,557	+ 62,792
Total	2,187,837	3,096,399	3,159,191	+ 971,354	12 762,792 +
Military construction, Air Force	1,159,747 - 10,470	934,892	1,058,694	-101,053 + 2,390	+ 123,802 - 8,080
Total	1,149,277	934,892	1,050,614	- 98,663	+ 115,722
Military construction, Defense-WideRescission	1,609,596 - 10,192	1,783,998	1,688,270	+ 78,674 + 10,192	- 95,728
Total	1,599,404	1,783,998	1,688,270	+88,866	- 95,728
Total, Active components	8,864,411	10,431,209	10,394,516	+ 1,530,105	- 36,693
Military construction, Army National Guard	536,656	539,296	699,099	+124,013	+121,373
Military construction, Array Reserve Military construction, Array Reserve Military construction, Array Reserve	287,537 148,133 64,430	34,374 281,687 57,045	180,286 357,387 61,045	$\begin{array}{c} -1,400 \\ -107,251 \\ +209,254 \\ -3,385 \end{array}$	$^{-1,400}_{+145,912}$ $^{+75,700}_{+4,000}$
Miltary construction, Air Force Reserve Rescission	28,359 — 3,069	19,265	29,915	+1,556 +3,069	+ 10,650

Total	25,290	19,265	29,915	+4,625	+10,650
Total, Reserve components	1,062,046	931,667	1,287,902	+ 225,856	+ 356,235
Total, Military construction Appropriations Rescissions	9,926,457 (9,969,435) (42,978)	11,362,876 (11,362,876)	11,682,418 (11,757,018) (-74,600)	+ 1,755,961 (+ 1,787,583) (- 31,622)	+ 319,542 (+ 394,142) (- 74,600)
North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program	201,400	240,867	240,867	+ 39,467	
Family housing construction, Army Rescission	424,400 — 4,559	678,580	678,580	+ 254,180 + 4,559	
Total	419,841	678,580	678,580	+ 258,739	
Family housing operation and maintenance, Army Family housing construction, Navy and Marine Corps Family housing operation and maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps	731,920 293,129 371,404	716,110 382,778 376,062	721,110 381,073 381,062	$-10,810 \\ +87,944 \\ +9,658$	+5,000 $-1,705$ $+5,000$
Family housing construction, Air Force	327,747 $-15,000$	395,879	395,879	+68,132 +15,000	
Total	312,747	395,879	395,879	+ 83,132	
Family housing operation and maintenance, Air Force Family housing operation and maintenance, Defense-Wide Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund Homeowners assistance fund	688,335 48,848 500	599,465 49,231 850 4,500	604,465 49,231 850 4,500	- 83,870 + 383 + 350 + 4,500	+ 5,000
Total, Family housing Appropriations Recissions	2,866,724 (2,886,283) (-19,559)	3,203,455 (3,203,455)	3,216,750 (3,216,750)	+ 350,026 (+ 330,467) (+ 19,559)	+ 13,295 (+ 13,295)
Chemical demilitarization construction, Defense-Wide	104,176	134,278	144,278	+ 40,102	+ 10,000
Base realignment and closure account, 1990 Base realignment and closure account, 2005 Base realignment and closure account, 2005	295,689 7,235,591	393,377 9,065,386	468,377 8,991,700	+ 172,688 + 1,756,109	+ 75,000 - 73,686
Total, Base realignment and closure	7,531,280	9,458,763	9,460,077	+ 1,928,797	+1,314
Total, title I	20,630,037 (20,692,574)	24,400,239 (24,400,239)	24,744,390 (24,818,990)	+ 4,114,353 (+ 4,126,416)	+ 344,151 (+ 418,751)

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2008 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

				126							
recommendation 1 (+ or -) Budget estimate	(-74,600)		+ 746,000				+ 746,000	+1,514,929	+1,514,929		
Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -) 2008 Budget estima	(-12,063)		+1,875,359 $+532,655$ $+1,050$	-15,389 $-138,000$	+2,648 -10 (-107)	+ 18	+ 2,258,340	+ 8,422,761 $-$ 1,936,549	+ 6,486,212	-3,442,000 $-75,000$	-3,517,000
Committee recommendation	(-74,600)		43,111,681 3,832,944 42,300	2,000 (500) —246,000	157,210 61 (3,180) 320	646	46,901,162	35,590,432	35,590,432		
Budget estimate			43,111,681 3,086,944 42,300	2,000 (500) —246,000	157,210 61 (3,180) 320	646	46,155,162	34,075,503	34,075,503		
2008 appropriation	(-62,537)		41,236,322 3,300,289 41,250	17,389 (500) -108,000	154,562 71 (3,287) 311	628	44,642,822	27,167,671 1,936,549	29,104,220	3,442,000 75,000	3,517,000
ltem	Rescissions	TITLE II—DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS Veterans Benefits Administration	Compensation and pensions Readjustment benefits Veterans in identities Compensation and identities Compensation of the compens	veerals nousing benefit program rund:	Administrative expenses	Native American veteran housing loan program account	Total, Veterans Benefits Administration	Medical services ¹	Subtotal	Medical support and compliance ¹	Subtotal

Medical facilities	3,592,000 508,000	4,661,000	4,961,000	$^{+1,369,000}_{-508,000}$	+ 300,000
Subtotal	4,100,000 411,000 69,000	4,661,000	4,961,000	+ 861,000 + 115,800 - 69,000	+ 300,000 + 84,800
Subtotal	480,000	442,000	526,800	+ 46,800	+ 84,800
Medical care cost recovery collections. Offsetting collections	$-2,414,000 \\ 2,414,000$	-1,879,000 1,879,000	-2,544,000 2,544,000	$-130,000\\+130,000$	- 665,000 + 665,000
Total, Veterans Health Administration Appropriations Emergency appropriations	37,201,220 (34,612,671) (2,588,549)	39,178,503 (39,178,503)	41,078,232 (41,078,232)	+ 3,877,012 (+ 6,465,561) (- 2,588,549)	+1,899,729 (+1,899,729)
National Cemetery Administration National Cemetery Administration Contingent emergency (Public Law 110–161)	166,809 28,191	180,959	230,000	+ 63,191 - 28,191	+ 49,041
Total, National Cemetery Administration	195,000	180,959	230,000	+ 35,000	+ 49,041
General operating expenses	1,471,837 133,163	1,699,867	1,779,125	+ 307,288 - 133,163	+ 79,258
Subtotal	1,605,000 1,859,217 107,248	1,699,867	1,779,125	+ 174,125 + 611,949 - 107,248	+ 79,258 + 29,100
Subtotal	1,966,465 72,599 7,901	2,442,066	2,471,166 93,900	+ 504,701 + 21,301 - 7,901	+ 29,100 + 17,400
Subtotal	80,500 727,400 341,700	76,500	93,900	+ 13,400 + 490,347 - 341,700	+ 17,400 + 636,165

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2008 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

						128	8					
recommendation (+ or -)	Budget estimate	+ 636,165	+ 400,000	+ 400,000	+ 165,000	+ 165,000	+ 10,000	+ 10,000	+ 1,336,923		+4,031,693	(+4,031,693)
Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)	2008 appropriation	+ 148,647	+ 496,022 - 397,139	+ 98,883	$^{+165,000}_{-80,000}$	+ 85,000	$^{+10,000}_{-7,500}$	+ 2,500	+1,027,256 (+2,101,907) (-1,074,651)	+ 66,000 - 66,000 + 6,000 - 6,000	+7,197,608	(+10,888,999) (-3,757,391) (+66,000)
Committee	recommendation	1,217,747	7.29,418	729,418	250,000	250,000	42,000	42,000	6,583,356		94,792,750	(94, / 92, / 50)
1	Budget estimate	581,582	323,418	329,418	85,000	85,000	32,000	32,000	5,246,433 (5,246,433)		90,761,057	(90,/61,05/) (94,/92,/50)
2008	appropriation	1,069,100	397,139	630,535	85,000 80,000	165,000	32,000 7,500	39,500	5,556,100 (4,481,449) (1,074,651)	- 66,000 66,000 - 6,000 6,000	87,595,142	(83,903,751) (3,757,391) (-66,000)
"	trem	Subtotal	Contribution, minor projects	Subtotal	Grants for construction of State extended care facilities	Subtotal	Grants for the construction of State veterans cemeteries	Subtotal	Total, Departmental Administration Appropriations Emergency appropriations Administrative Provisions	Sec. 230 VA Medical Services (Public Law 110–28) Sec. 230 Construction Major Projects (Public Law 110–28) Sec. 234 VA General Operating Expenses Sec. 234 State Approving Agencies	Total, title II	Appropriations

(Limitation on direct loans)	(3,787)	(3,680)	(3,680)	(-107)	
TITLE III—RELATED AGENCIES					
American Battle Monuments Commission					
Salaries and expenses	44,600	47,470	59,470	+ 14,870	
			(200)	(+ 200)	(+ 200)
Foreign currency fluctuations account	11,000	17,100	17,100	+ 6,100	
Total, American Battle Monuments Commission	25,600	64,570	76,570	+ 20,970	+ 12,000
U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims					
Salaries and expenses	22,717	23,975	23,975	+1,258	
Department of Defense—Civil					
Cemeterial Expenses, Army					
Salaries and expenses	31,230	31,230	42,230	+ 11,000	+ 11,000
Armed Forces Retirement Home					
Operation and maintenance	55,724 800	63,010	63,010	+7,286 800	
Total, Armed Forces Retirement Home	56,524	63,010	63,010	+ 6,486	
Total, title III	166,071 (166,071)	182,785 (182,785)	205,785 (205,785) (500)	+ 39,714 (+ 39,714) (+ 500)	+ 23,000 (+ 23,000) (+ 500)
Grand total		115 344 081	119 742 925	+ 11.351.675	+ 4 398 844
Appropriations Rescissions	(104,	(115,344,081)	(119,817,525) (-74,600)	(+15,055,129) (-12,063)	(+4,473,444) (-74,600)
Emergency appropriations Contingent emergency appropriations Descriptions (amoranous announisations)	(3,691,391)			(-66,000) (-3,691,391)	
(By transfer) (Limitation on direct loans)		(3,680)	(500)	(+500) (-107)	(+ 200)

The budget request proposes to combine funding for medical services and medical administration.

 \circ